Confronting the Neglected Problem of Snake Bite Envenoming: The Need for a Global Partnership

José María Gutiérrez*, R. David G. Theakston, David A. Warrell

Envenoming resulting from snake bites is an important public health hazard in many regions, particularly in tropical and subtropical countries [1–3]. Although antivenoms are being produced by various laboratories in every continent, the burden of snake bite envenoming—causing both morbidity and mortality—still has a great impact on the population and on health-care systems, especially in Africa, Asia, Oceania, and Latin America [4]. Unfortunately, public health authorities, nationally and internationally, have given little attention to this problem, relegating snake bite envenoming to the category of a major neglected disease of the 21st century.

The Global Burden of Snake Bite Envenoming

In the tropical developing countries where snake bites occur most commonly, there are few reliable incidence data. One serious attempt to assess global snake bite mortality was the survey undertaken by Swaroop and Grabb in 1954, which was based largely on hospital admissions [3]. Subsequent work has revealed gross underreporting of deaths in this study—for example, in Nigeria [5] and Thailand [1,6]. One reason is that records of patients treated by traditional methods are missing from official databases, and deaths reported at the hamlet or district level may not be sent on to ministry headquarters. Accepting these limitations, the fragmentary evidence available suggests that several million bites and envenomings occur worldwide each year, with tens of thousands of deaths [2,3].

Properly designed population surveys are likely to give a far more accurate picture of snake bite incidence, morbidity, and mortality. In Asia, the highest recorded mortality was 162 snake bite deaths per 100,000 people per year, in the Eastern Terai of Nepal [7]. In Africa, for instance, the incidence of snake bites in the Benue Valley of northeastern Nigeria was 497 per 100,000 people per year, with a mortality of 12.2% [5]. The reasons for the high levels of snake bite mortality in tropical developing countries include scarcity of antivenoms, poor health services, and difficulties with rapid access to health centres [8]. Large numbers of victims survive with permanent physical and psychological sequelae, mostly due to the tissue-damaging effects of snake venoms. Young agricultural workers, especially males, are the most highly affected group, making snake bite envenoming a truly occupational disease—a fact that is frequently overlooked by national authorities. Children are also common victims of snake bites. There is significant seasonal variation in snake bite incidence that is attributable to climate, especially to rain fall and temperature, which determine annual cycles of agricultural activity.

Species That Cause High Morbidity and Mortality

Most severe cases of snake bite envenoming are inflicted by species of the family Elapidae (cobras, kraits, mambas, Australasian species, and sea snakes) and the family Viperidae (rattlesnakes, lance-headed pit vipers, and true vipers). The species causing the largest numbers of bites and fatalities are Echis sp. (saw-scaled vipers) in northern Africa, Bothrops asper and B. atrox (lance-headed pit vipers) in Central and South America, and Naja sp. (cobras) and Bungarus sp. (kraits) in Asia [6, 9–11] (Figure 1). Many other species, although not responsible for a large number of cases worldwide, constitute a serious problem in specific regions.

Clinical Features of Snake Bite Envenoming

Envenomings by most viperid snakes inflict prominent local tissue damage, characterised by swelling, blistering, haemorrhage, and necrosis of skeletal muscle (Figure 2). Bites by some elapids, such as African spitting cobras and some Asian cobras, also induce local necrosis. Such local pathology is mostly due to the action of phospholipases A₄ and zinc-dependent metalloproteinases [12,13]. These local effects develop rapidly after the bite; consequently, a delay in the access to health facilities frequently results in drastic tissue damage and permanent disability. Systemic effects of envenoming are also common and more immediately life-threatening. In elapid snake bites, neurotoxicity

Funding: JMG received support from Vicerrectoría de Investigación, Universidad de Costa Rica.

Competing Interests: Vicerrectoría de Investigación, Universidad de Costa Rica had no role in the preparation of this article. The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.


Copyright: © 2006 Gutiérrez et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

José María Gutiérrez is at the Instituto Clodomiro Picado, Facultad de Microbiología, Universidad de Costa Rica, San José, Costa Rica. R. David G. Theakston is at the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom. David A. Warrell is at the Nuffield Department of Clinical Medicine, University of Oxford, Oxford, United Kingdom

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E mail: jgutier@icp.ucr.ac.cr

The Neglected Diseases section focuses attention either on a specific disease or describes a novel strategy for approaching neglected health issues in general.
is a typical consequence, attributable to effects of pre- and/or postsynaptically acting neurotoxins targeting neuromuscular junctions. These toxins cause progressive descending paralysis, which may become life-threatening when bulbar and respiratory muscles are involved. In envenomings by several elapid snakes and some vipers, such as South American rattlesnakes, there is a generalised rhabdomyolysis induced by phospholipases A₂, which may cause myoglobinuria, hyperkalaemia, and acute renal failure. Systemic effects in viperid snake bites include spontaneous haemorrhage—cerebral haemorrhage being the most serious manifestation—defibrinogenation, disseminated intravascular coagulation, and cardiovascular shock secondary to hypovolaemia, vasodilation, and direct effects on the myocardium. Acute renal failure and acute respiratory distress syndrome are also described following viperid snake bites. There are abundant exceptions to this general pathophysiological profile since the amazing complexity of snake venom proteomes includes toxins with diverse clinical effects (see [14] for a general view on the clinical manifestations of snake bite envenomings).

Antivenoms and Ancillary Treatments in Envenoming

The intravenous administration of animal-derived (mostly horse or sheep) antivenoms is the mainstay and the only specific treatment of snake bite envenoming. Many laboratories produce antivenoms using various methodologies, which yield three different types of products depending on the nature of the active principle. In some cases, antivenoms consist of whole IgG molecules, but the majority of producers manufacture antivenoms composed of F(ab′)₂ fragments obtained by pepsin digestion of IgG, and a few laboratories produce Fab fragments after papain digestion of IgG [4,15]. Variations in the molecular mass of the active principle, as well as other immunological divergences among these types of antivenoms, determine different pharmacokinetic profiles, with evident pharmacodynamic implications—such as the described recurrence of envenoming—especially when using Fab antivenoms [16]. The large immunochemical diversity of snake venoms explains why the efficacy of antivenoms is generally restricted to a limited geographical and biological spectrum.

Clinical investigations have established that generally antivenoms are highly effective in the neutralisation of toxins responsible for systemic effects such as haemorrhage, coagulopathy, and haemodynamic disturbances. Similarly, a rapid reversal of neurotoxicity caused by postsynaptic neurotoxins in elapid envenomings is well established [1]. In contrast, antivenoms are not very effective in the reversal of neurotoxicity induced by presynaptically acting phospholipases A₂. The main problem associated with antivenom efficacy has to do with local tissue damage [1]. This problem is not due to the lack of neutralizing antibodies in antivenoms, but rather to the extremely rapid development of local pathology, which renders it difficult for neutralizing antibodies to access the area before irreversible damage occurs [17].

Antivenom safety is also of current concern since, although the safety profile of some products is satisfactory, the administration of other antivenoms is associated with a high incidence of early and late adverse reactions [4,15]. The precise mechanisms responsible for these reactions...
Developing New Treatments to Reduce Local Tissue Damage

Hydrolases such as metalloproteinases, phospholipases A₂, and possibly hyaluronidases are mostly responsible for the local tissue damage frequently observed in snake bite envenoming. It is very difficult for IgG and its fragments to neutralise these toxins, so there is an urgent need to develop new therapies to counteract local venom effects. Venom hydrolases are homologous with endogenous mammalian enzymes, such as matrix metalloproteinases, a disintegrin and metalloproteinase (ADAM) enzymes, and inflammatory phospholipases A₂. The pharmaceutical industry has developed a large number of inhibitors to these mammalian enzymes because of their relevance in many pathologies. Since many of these drugs have already been tested in clinical trials, there is an excellent opportunity to use some of these inhibitors to prevent local effects of snake bite envenoming. For instance, the peptidomimetic hydroxamate metalloproteinase inhibitor “batimastat” is highly effective in preventing local tissue damage and some systemic effects induced by the venom of B. asper [18,19]. Similarly, phospholipase A₂ and hyaluronidase inhibitors have proven successful at reducing the extent of venom-induced tissue damage at the experimental level [20,21]. The advantages of this strategy are the following: (1) such inhibitors are usually low-molecular-mass components that can diffuse rapidly in the tissues, and (2) such inhibitors would be effective against a wide variety of venom metalloproteinases and phospholipases A₂ present in the venoms of different genera and species, since most of them act at the active sites of these enzymes, which are highly conserved.

Towards More Effective and Safer Antivenoms

Despite the success of antivenoms in the therapy of snake bite envenoming, a number of research groups are working to improve the quality of antivenoms using different approaches: (1) new immunisation strategies, such as DNA immunisation or the use of purified relevant toxins as antigens instead of the whole venom [22]; (2) improved methods of antibody purification, aiming to obtain more refined products with reduced protein content [23]; (3) improved viral safety of antivenoms, by introducing steps such as pasteurisation, caprylic acid stabilisation, and nanofiltration [24]; (4) the search for other animal species from which it is possible to derive antivenoms—such as camels, which have a particular type of IgG devoid of light chains, and hens, which have IgY in their egg yolk [25]; and (5) preparation of antivenoms that combine complete antibodies with recombinant “nanobodies”, which by having a very low molecular mass may reach tissue compartments more readily than whole IgG or conventional IgG fragments [26]. In addition, there is a clear need for the design and development of controlled, randomised clinical trials in many countries to assess the effectiveness and safety of the antivenoms being used or introduced in such regions.

Conclusion: The Need for a Global Partnership

In various parts of the world, there is a continuing crisis in the production, deployment, and accessibility of antivenoms. This is particularly serious in Africa since various traditional suppliers have stopped producing antivenoms for this continent, and the privatisation of other producers has also
affected supply. It is therefore a matter of great urgency to promote international collaboration—best coordinated by the World Health Organization—involving national and regional health organisations and diverse public–private partnerships in order to accomplish the following goals:

1. To gather accurate epidemiological information on the impact and characteristics of snake bite envenoming in many regions, using properly designed community studies;
2. To provide effective and safe antivenoms in those regions where they are not currently available. This includes, in the short term, the commitment of various laboratories to produce antivenoms for use in Africa [27–29]. Innovative approaches to pharmaceutical laboratories, both public and private, should be initiated in order to foster improvement in antivenom supply [30,31]. The long-term goal should be to generate an effective transfer of antivenom-producing technologies to regions hyperendemic for snake bites, to allow them to manufacture their own antivenoms (Figure 3).
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