Ebola and other viral haemorrhagic fevers
Be prepared, with new guidance featuring old and well established principles
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The ongoing Ebola outbreak in West Africa is the largest and most complicated that the world has even seen. Since it was first identified in the forested regions of south eastern Guinea in March, 2 it has spread to Liberia, Sierra Leone, and Nigeria and has now been declared a “public health emergency of international concern” by the World Health Organization. 2

Ebola virus is one of a group of zoonotic viruses that can cause severe disease in humans. 3,4 Viruses that cause viral haemorrhagic fever include Lassa virus, Crimean-Congo haemorrhagic fever virus, Marburg virus, and emerging ones such as Lujo virus. These viruses are of particular public health importance because of their ability to spread to carers and healthcare workers, the often high case fatality rate, difficulties in their rapid recognition, and the lack of effective specific treatments. 3,6

The current epidemic is caused by the Zaire strain of Ebola virus, which has a mortality of 50-90% in endemic settings. No licensed cure or vaccine is available, although research is in progress to develop these and two American healthcare workers are reported to have received an experimental monoclonal antibody preparation after acquiring Ebola virus infection in Liberia. 7 The keys to case management are early recognition and isolation of cases, use of personal protective equipment, and the provision of supportive medical care to reduce mortality. 2,6

Guidance on management of viral haemorrhagic fever was developed for UK healthcare professionals after a laboratory acquired case of Ebola infection, 4 and the first cases of Lassa fever imported to the United Kingdom in the 1970s. The guidance was revised by the Advisory Committee on Dangerous Pathogens in 2012 and updated last month. 9,10 Similar guidelines are available in the United States 4 and European countries, 11 and they differ in emphasis from those developed for use in resource poor settings. 6 Guidance and information for the British public are also available in a range of reliable internet resources including NHS Choices. 12-14

Imported cases of viral haemorrhagic fever in the UK are rare and patients are often healthcare workers, military personnel, or others who work in rural environments. 15 These diseases differ from infections such as influenza or severe acute respiratory syndrome because they are usually transmitted by direct contact with blood or other body secretions rather than being airborne. Also, patients with viral haemorrhagic fever are not infectious until they develop symptoms. The likelihood of epidemic transmission in Western settings, including to fellow travellers on airplanes, is therefore low.

The initial clinical presentation is non-specific, so viral haemorrhagic fever should be considered in any patient with a fever or history of fever in the previous 24 hours who has returned from an endemic area in the past 21 days (the longest incubation period). Most febrile travellers returning from endemic areas will have other infections, such as malaria, which also need rapid diagnosis and management. 16 Unfortunately, a travel history is rarely elicited in most day to day consultations, leading to delays in diagnosis and in the isolation of patients at risk. 17-19

The updated guidance is aimed at a range of clinicians, both specialist and non-specialist. It includes flow diagrams, tables, and technical appendices that offer clear advice on the assessment of exposure risk, management of patients, and all aspects of infection control. It links to the UK’s Imported Fever Service, 20 which can provide case specific advice on risk assessment and rapid diagnostic testing to augment advice from local infection specialists.

The guidance recommends that patients initially identified as having a possible viral haemorrhagic fever should be isolated until the results of specific investigations are obtained from reference laboratories, which may take up to 24 hours. It is important not to delay diagnosis and treatment of more common diseases, such as malaria or typhoid, during this period. In the past this has been a problem outside specialist centres, owing to safety concerns associated with performing otherwise routine blood tests in patients with a suspected viral haemorrhagic fever. The updated guidance is welcome because it acknowledges that it is safe to perform these tests locally to support clinical
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