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Abstract

“Far-West” Africa is known to be a secondary contact zone between the two major malaria vectors Anopheles coluzzii and A. gambiae. We investigated gene-flow and potentially adaptive introgression between these species along a west-to-east transect in Guinea Bissau, the putative core of this hybrid zone. To evaluate the extent and direction of gene flow, we genotyped site 702 in Intron-1 of the para Voltage-Gated Sodium Channel gene, a species-diagnostic nucleotide position throughout most of A. coluzzii and A. gambiae sympatric range. We also analyzed polymorphism in the thioester-binding domain (TED) of the innate immunity-linked thioester-containing protein 1 (TEP1) to investigate whether elevated hybridization might facilitate the exchange of variants linked to adaptive immunity and Plasmodium refractoriness. Our results confirm asymmetric introgression of genetic material from A. coluzzii to A. gambiae and disruption of linkage between the centromeric “genomic islands” of inter-specific divergence. We report that A. gambiae from the Guinean hybrid zone possesses an introgressed TEP1 resistant allelic class, found exclusively in A. coluzzii elsewhere and apparently swept to fixation in West Africa (i.e. Mali and Burkina Faso). However, no detectable fixation of this allele was found in Guinea Bissau, which may suggest that ecological pressures driving segregation between the two species in larval habitats in this region may be different from those experienced in northern and more arid parts of the species’ range. Finally, our results also suggest a genetic subdivision between coastal and inland A. gambiae Guinean populations and provide clues on the importance of ecological factors in intra-specific differentiation processes.
Introduction

Anopheles gambiae (Giles) and A. coluzzii (Coetzee & Wilkerson sp. n.) (formerly defined as A. gambiae s.s.) M and S molecular forms based on X-linked SNPs in ribosomal DNA [1] are arguably the most important cryptic species of mosquitoes transmitting human malaria in sub-Saharan Africa. Restricted gene flow between A. gambiae and A. coluzzii in natural populations from sympatric areas of West and Central Africa is attributed to pre-mating mechanisms of reproductive isolation, selection against hybrids and ecologically-driven divergent selection [2, 3, 4, 5, 6]. Variation in larval habitats strongly influences species segregation: A. gambiae is associated with small ephemeral puddles, whereas A. coluzzii breeds in larger and more stable ponds, often created by agriculture, urbanization, or other human activities [7, 8, 9].

Genetic divergence between A. gambiae and A. coluzzii appears to be concentrated in "genomic islands of divergence" located in peri-centromeric regions of chromosome-X, -2 and -3 [10, 11], but it is also detectable in other smaller areas across the genome, some outside of centromeres [12, 13, 14, 15, 16]. A comparative genome-wide scan identified a significant area of inter-specific divergence on chromosome-3L, including five known or suspected immune response genes [17]. Of these, the thioester-containing protein 1 (TEP1) encodes a complement-like opsonin, binding of which triggers killing of gram-negative bacteria and protozoa via phagocytosis [18]. TEP1 is highly polymorphic [19] and shows amino acid substitutions in the thioester-binding domain (TED) associated with pathogen resistance phenotypes [18]. In fact, experimental infections demonstrated that laboratory-reared A. gambiae individuals homozygous or heterozygous for TEP1 R1 [18] and TEP1 R2 [17] alleles are significantly more resistant to Plasmodium and bacterial infections than mosquitoes carrying other TEP1 alleles. In contrast individuals carrying TEP1 R2 [18] and TEP1 A [17] alleles show less resistant phenotypes. TEP1 genotyping of natural populations indicates that TEP1 R2 is absent or very rare in A. gambiae, but is fixed in A. coluzzii from West Africa (i.e. Mali and Burkina Faso) [17]. Given the relatively low rates and intensities of natural Plasmodium infection in both mosquito species, it was speculated that the most likely source of pathogen-mediated selection for resistance came from larval habitat [17]. Specifically, the longer-lasting and more biotically diverse aquatic milieu exploited by A. coluzzii, presumably harboring richer pathogen populations than temporary breeding sites exploited by A. gambiae, would exert higher selective pressures on the immune system of the former species [17, 20].

Although recent analyses suggest that hybridization between A. gambiae and A. coluzzii may be more dynamic than previously appreciated [6], the “Far-West” African region likely represents the most stable hybridization zone. High frequencies of A. gambiae x A. coluzzii hybrids have been repeatedly recorded in The Gambia (up to 7%) [21] and Guinea Bissau (>20%) [22, 23] leading to the hypothesis that these “Far-West” areas of the species’ range may represent a secondary contact zone in which local ecological settings have significantly disrupted reproductive barriers [21].

Hybrid zones offer an excellent opportunity to examine the outcome of genetic exchange of traits responsible for species segregation and to identify possible changes in ecological conditions inducing relaxation of the reproductive isolation found elsewhere in the sympatric range of their distribution [24]. Data collected so far on the genetic background of parental and hybrid individuals from the A. gambiae/A. coluzzii secondary contact zone indicate a preferential acquisition by A. gambiae of A. coluzzii alleles suggesting asymmetric introgression from A. coluzzii to A. gambiae [6, 23, 25].

In this paper we surveyed parental and hybrid mosquitoes from a West-to-East transect in Guinea Bissau to investigate gene-flow and potentially adaptive introgression between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae in their secondary contact zone. First, we evaluated the extent and direction
of gene flow, using the species-informative site 702 in Intron-1 (Int-1\textsuperscript{702}) of the Voltage-Gated Sodium Channel (VGSC) gene, located within the chromosome-2L "genomic island". This site is characterized by species-specific alleles in West and Central Africa (\textit{A. coluzzii} = Int-1\textsuperscript{C}; \textit{A. gambiae} = Int-1\textsuperscript{T}), which define species-specific Intron-1 haplogroups in strong linkage disequilibrium with the species-diagnostic X-linked rDNA SNPs \cite{26, 27}. Second, we investigated the effect of hybridization on the exchange of adaptive alleles in the secondary contact zone by analyzing polymorphism in the catalytic TED domain of the \textit{TEP1} gene on chromosome-3.

**Materials and Methods**

Field collected samples and species identification

\textit{Anopheles gambiae} s.l. adult females were selected from a larger sample collected in the rainy season of 2010 (October) in five villages located along a West-to-East geographical transect in Guinea Bissau: Antula (11° 53' 49" N—15° 35' 29" W), Safim (11° 57' 00" N—15° 39' 00" W), Mansoa (12° 04' 00" N—15° 19' 00" W), Ga-Mbana (12° 03' 00" N—14° 55' 00" W) and Leibala (11° 52' 53.96" N—15° 37' 4.06" W) (Fig 1). Field collections (not conducted in protected areas, nor involving endangered or protected species) were approved by and carried out under the...
guidance of the Guinea Bissau National Institute of Public Health (INASA). Indoor sampling was performed in private houses after permission by owners (informed on research aims) with CDC light traps in Antula and Ga-Mbana and with mechanical aspirators (Indoor Resting Collection, IRC) in Safim, Mansoa and Leibala.

Morphological identification was performed using the available taxonomic keys [28, 29, 30]. Specimens were stored in silica gel-filled tubes until DNA extraction using DNAzol (Life Technologies) or DNeasy Blood & Tissue Kit (Qiagen) was carried out. Identification of A. gambiae s.s. and A. coluzzii was carried out using two methods: SINE-PCR based on SINE insertion [31] and IMP-PCR based on IGS mutations [32]. We chose samples to be genotyped from within each sample site to increase the number of specimens of the less-frequent species and of the hybrid category. The latter includes all individuals heterozygous for both diagnostic markers (i.e. Ms\textsuperscript{SINE}/Ms\textsuperscript{IGS}, N = 31) and specimens showing discordant SINE and IGS PCR patterns (i.e. MM\textsuperscript{SINE}/Ms\textsuperscript{IGS}, N = 4; Ss\textsuperscript{SINE}/Ms\textsuperscript{IGS}, N = 4; MS\textsuperscript{SINE}/MM\textsuperscript{IGS}, N = 2; from Safim and Mansoa), interpreted as being advanced generation hybrids (see [6, 11, 33, 34] for further details on species and hybrid identification in the secondary contact area).

**Genotyping of Int-1\textsuperscript{702} SNP**

We genotyped Int-1\textsuperscript{702} using a primer-introduced restriction analysis assay (PIRA-PCR) designed on available Int-1 alignments [26, 27]. A forward primer, INTeco-f (5'-ATTATGCTTTTTACAATGCCAACGAAT-3'), was designed to incorporate a C-to-G mismatch at the 4\textsuperscript{th} base from the 3'-end. In the presence of a 'T' at site 702 and of a fixed 'C' at site 703 (as observed in West Africa [26, 27 35]), the -3' gAAT sequence of INTeco-f creates a recognition site for the EcoRI restriction enzyme (i.e. G' AATTC) within the PCR product amplified with INTeco-f and reverse primer INTa-r (5'-GGAATCTATCCACATTATCTG-3'). The restriction produces a 265 bp or a 240 bp band for Int-1C/C and Int-1T/T homozygotes, respectively; heterozygotes display both bands. PCR reactions were carried out in a 10 μl reaction which contained 1x Buffer, 1 pmol of each primer, 0.2 mM of each dNTP, 1.5 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, 2.5 U Taq DNA polymerase, and 8–10 ng of template DNA extracted from a single mosquito. Thermocycler conditions were 94°C for 10 min followed by thirty-five cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 54°C for 30 sec and 72°C for 1 min, with a final elongation at 72°C for 10 min. Five microliters of each PCR product were digested with 10 U of EcoRI enzyme (New England Biolabs, UK) with 1× buffer in a final volume of 15 μl incubated at 37°C for 1 hour. The restriction products were run on 3% agarose gels stained with ethidium bromide. To obtain stronger bands on templates that proved difficult, a semi-nested PCR protocol was employed: on a first round of amplification, PCR products were obtained using INTeco-f and INTb-r (5'-ATCTTGGCAGATCTTGTCGG-3'), then diluted 1:100 and used as template for a second round of amplification under the PCR conditions described above.

**TEP1 amplification and sequencing**

A 456 bp fragment of the TEP1-TED domain was amplified with primers OB2996F (5'-CAC GGTCAATCAAGAACCTGGAC-3') [19] and EMTep1R (5'-TCCAGCAATGCCATCAACCATAT-3'), the latter specifically designed for the aim of this work in order to avoid co-amplification of other TEP-related paralogs, which were instead pervasively co-amplified with other primer couples available in literature. Amplifications were performed in a 15 μl reaction-mix using 0.5–1.0 μl of template DNA using the High Fidelity AccuPrime Taq DNA Polymerase kit (Life Technologies) following manufacturer’s guidelines. Thermocycler conditions were as follows: initial denaturation at 94°C for 2 min followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 30 sec, 54°C for 30 sec, 68°C for 1 min, with a final elongation at 68°C for 7 min. The resulting products were
analysed on 1–2% agarose gels stained with GelRed (Biotium), purified with the SureClean Kit (Bioline) and sequenced at the BMR Genomics s.r.l. (Padua, Italy). Sequences are available in GenBank under Accession Numbers KR091079—KR091309.

Sequence and population genetic analysis

**TEP1** chromatograms were edited and trimmed to remove low-quality base calls with Staden Package ver. 2003.1.6 [36]. A final 387 bp alignment of genotype sequences was produced using MAFFT ver. 7 [37] and alleles phased using the PHASE algorithm [38]. Resulting **TEP1** alleles were identified as susceptible or resistant based on key residues in the catalytic loop and pre-α-loop found in the **TED** portion [39]. The sequenced **TED** fragment did not allow us to discriminate among previously described variants within susceptible and resistant **TEP1** allelic classes, because such distinction is also based on residues outside the catalytic and pre-α-loop region [17, 18]. So, for the purpose of this study, we chose to name allelic classes as follows: **TEP1s** = ‘susceptible’ class, comparable to **TEP1**/*S1, TEP1*/S2, TEP1*/S3 [18] and **TEP1s** [17]; **TEP1r1** = ‘resistant’ allele comparable to **TEP1**/*R1 [18] and **TEP1r** [17]; **TEP1r2** = **TEP1** ‘resistant’ allele plausibly corresponding to **TEP1**/*R2 [18] and **TEP1r** [17]. A median-joining network was built for **TEP1s** and **TEP1r** allelic classes with NETWORK ver. 4.510 [40]. **TEP1** genotyping data obtained by B. White and collaborators [17] were used for comparison.

DnaSP v5.10.1 [41] was used to estimate genetic polymorphism and to perform neutrality tests, i.e. Tajima’s D, Fu & Li D* and Wall’s Q statistics. F-statistics (FST and FIS) [42], departures from Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium (HWE) and linkage disequilibrium (LD) were estimated for **TEP1** and Int-1702 genotyping data using ARLEQUIN 3.5 [43] and GENEPOP’007 [44].

Results and Discussion

The westernmost extreme of the sympatric range of *Anopheles coluzzii* and *A. gambiae* is believed to be the core of a secondary contact region where disruption of genetic association is observed among “genomic islands” of divergence on centromeres of chromosome-X, -2 and -3, and a preferential transfer of genetic material from *A. coluzzii* to *A. gambiae* occurs (i.e., asymmetric introgression) [21, 23, 25, 34]. These phenomena are confirmed by our results from the genotyping of the Int-1702 SNP of the VGSC gene in Guinean populations (Fig 1). Results show that, as in the rest of the species range, Int-1C allele is almost fixed in Guinean *A. coluzzii*. However, in contrast to other areas, it is also found at high frequencies (up to 94%) in sympatric *A. gambiae* populations from the coastal/cropland areas of Antula, Safim and Mansoa. Thus, the association between Int-1702 and species-specific markers on chromosome-X (i.e. IGS, SINE) observed elsewhere [26, 27] is lost in these populations (S1 Table). It is worth noting that the very low frequency of kdr-associated mutations in these three *A. gambiae* sample sites (Vicente JL, personal communication) precludes the explanation that this unprecedented Int-1702 pattern might result from hitchhiking driven by insecticide selective pressure acting on kdr locus, as shown to occur in other African regions [35, 45].

Previously, no data were available from the “Far-West” secondary contact region on functional polymorphisms of potential adaptive significance (such as in immune-related genes) that differentiate *A. coluzzii* and *A. gambiae* in the rest of their sympatric range. Our genetic analysis of **TEP1**-**TED**—whose **TEP1r1** allele confers high resistance to pathogens and is confined to *A. coluzzii* in West and Central Africa [17] (Fig 1)—provides the first insights on the exchange and polymorphism of this potentially adaptive protein in the “Far-West” region. First, susceptible (**TEP1s**) and resistant (**TEP1r1** and **TEP1r2**) alleles are shown to be shared by the two species (Fig 1). Indeed, the occurrence of the **TEP1r1** allele in *A. gambiae* at frequencies
up to 11% contrasts with the virtual absence of this allele in the rest of the species range. Lack of TEP1r1 private haplotypes in Guinean A. gambiae suggest that this allele was acquired from A. coluzzii (Fig 2).

Yet, despite evidence of inter-specific gene-flow, significant genetic differentiation between A. coluzzii and A. gambiae remains (F_{ST} = 0.19, S2 Table), probably reflecting past segregation of TEP1 resistant alleles in the hybridizing parental species. TEP1r1 is more frequent in A. coluzzii than in A. gambiae (χ² = 46.9; p < 0.001), whereas the opposite is observed for TEP1r2 (χ² = 20.8; p < 0.001) (Fig 1, Table 1). Second, our data highlights that TEP1r1 allele frequency varies from 0.1% to 0.5% in Guinean A. coluzzii but never reach fixation (Table 2, Fig 3) as it occurs in co-specific populations from northern savanna areas of West Africa [17].

Polymorphism analysis shows that the TEP1-TED portion analyzed is well-conserved within each allelic class (TEP1s: π_S = 1.0, π_A = 0.1; TEP1r1: π_S = 0.5, π_A = 0.2; Table 2), likely retaining functionally-relevant residues known to confer resistance or susceptibility to pathogens [18, 39] (Fig 4). The four most common TEP1s haplotypes (-hap1, -hap2, -hap4 and -hap5) are those found in the rest of Africa [17, 19] and are shared between species (Fig 2). Of the resistant alleles (Figs 2 and 4), the most frequent TEP1r1 haplotype found is related to a functional variant found exclusively in West-Africa (i.e. TEP1rB) [17], and a single TEP1r2 haplotype is observed along the entire transect, corresponding to Tep1R2 [18] and TEP1rA, which has a broad distribution [17]. Some novel TEP1r1 protein variants (i.e. -hap7, -hap8) are also observed; the phenotypic consequences of these are currently unknown.
Finally, our analysis suggests intra-specific genetic subdivision within *A. gambiae* from coastal areas of Guinea Bissau eastwards (Fig 1). Firstly, *A. gambiae* populations from Western coastal/flooded cropland areas (i.e. Safim, Antula and Mansoa) show higher frequencies of Int-1C (mean = 85.2%) than those from drier savanna-like areas in the eastern part of the geographical transect (i.e. Ga-Mbana and Leibala; mean Int-1C frequency = 29.2%) ($\chi^2 = 20.1; p<<0.001$). These coastal *A. gambiae* populations are also significantly differentiated from the easternmost population examined for the TEP1 locus (i.e. Leibala; $F_{ST} = 0.34-0.45, p<0.05$; S2 Table). Moreover, TEP1r2 frequency does not exceed 9.0% in the *A. gambiae* coastal populations, but is significantly higher (21%) in Leibala ($\chi^2 = 6.855; p<0.01$) (Fig 1, Table 1). In addition, *A. gambiae* from Leibala also differs from coastal populations via near-exclusivity of the TEP1r1-hap3 haplotype (shared with only one *A. coluzzii* from Ga-Mbana, Fig 2), whose coding sequence contains two residues (‘K’ and ‘M’) related to the phenotypically-resistant TEP1/C3R1 [18], a possible recombinant TEP1r/B/C3R2 allele [17] (Fig 4). Notably, this West-to-East genetic discontinuity is also revealed by the analysis of chromosome-3 microsatellite polymorphisms along the same geographic transect in Guinea Bissau (Pinto J., personal communication). This pattern may be due either to a different origin of “coastal” and “inland” *A. gambiae* populations, or to natural selection promoting niche partitioning and, hence, genetic splitting at a local scale within this species. It is worth noting that intra-specific genetic divergence between geographically close populations of *A. gambiae* belonging to different ecological

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Int-1702 genotype frequencies (%)</th>
<th>TEP1 genotype frequencies (%)</th>
<th>s/s</th>
<th>s/r1</th>
<th>r1/r1</th>
<th>s/r2</th>
<th>r2/r2</th>
<th>FIS</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td>Int-1C/C Int-1C/T Int-1T/T FIS</td>
<td>s/s</td>
<td>s/r1</td>
<td>r1/r1</td>
<td>s/r2</td>
<td>r2/r2</td>
<td>FIS</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. coluzzii</em></td>
<td>85</td>
<td>97.7 2.3 - -0.01</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>51.8</td>
<td>17.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hybrids</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>65.8 22.0 12.2 0.39*</td>
<td>68.3</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>A. gambiae</em></td>
<td>105</td>
<td>43.8 20.0 36.2 0.60**</td>
<td>59.0</td>
<td>12.4</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>231</td>
<td>67.5 13.9 18.6 0.64**</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>29.4</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>12.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>0.07**</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 1. Int-1702 and TEP1 genotype frequencies and $F_{IS}$. Genotype frequencies of Int-1702 and TEP1 in *A. gambiae* s.s. (i.e. SS$^{SINE/SSIGS}$), *A. coluzzii* (i.e. MM$^{SINE/MMIGS}$) and hybrids (i.e. 31 MS$^{SINE/MSIGS}$, 4 MM$^{SINE/MMIGS}$, 4 SS$^{SINE/MSIGS}$ and 2 MS$^{SINE/MMIGS}$) are reported as percentages (%). N = sample size, $F_{IS}$ = inbreeding coefficient. Significant $F_{IS}$ indicating deviations from HWE are reported in bold: *p<0.05  **p<0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127804.t001

Finally, our analysis suggests intra-specific genetic subdivision within *A. gambiae* from coastal areas of Guinea Bissau eastwards (Fig 1). Firstly, *A. gambiae* populations from Western coastal/flooded cropland areas (i.e. Safim, Antula and Mansoa) show higher frequencies of Int-1C (mean = 85.2%) than those from drier savanna-like areas in the eastern part of the geographical transect (i.e. Ga-Mbana and Leibala; mean Int-1C frequency = 29.2%) ($\chi^2 = 20.1; p<<0.001$). These coastal *A. gambiae* populations are also significantly differentiated from the easternmost population examined for the TEP1 locus (i.e. Leibala; $F_{ST} = 0.34-0.45, p<0.05$; S2 Table). Moreover, TEP1r2 frequency does not exceed 9.0% in the *A. gambiae* coastal populations, but is significantly higher (21%) in Leibala ($\chi^2 = 6.855; p<0.01$) (Fig 1, Table 1). In addition, *A. gambiae* from Leibala also differs from coastal populations via near-exclusivity of the TEP1r1-hap3 haplotype (shared with only one *A. coluzzii* from Ga-Mbana, Fig 2), whose coding sequence contains two residues (‘K’ and ‘M’) related to the phenotypically-resistant TEP1/C3R1 [18], a possible recombinant TEP1r/B/C3R2 allele [17] (Fig 4). Notably, this West-to-East genetic discontinuity is also revealed by the analysis of chromosome-3 microsatellite polymorphisms along the same geographic transect in Guinea Bissau (Pinto J., personal communication). This pattern may be due either to a different origin of “coastal” and “inland” *A. gambiae* populations, or to natural selection promoting niche partitioning and, hence, genetic splitting at a local scale within this species. It is worth noting that intra-specific genetic divergence between geographically close populations of *A. gambiae* belonging to different ecological
settings has already been reported from the “Far-West” region (i.e. from The Gambia), where a potential role of introgressive hybridization in triggering this divergence at a meso-geographical scale has been hypothesized [46].

### Table 2. TEP1 polymorphism and neutrality tests.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>n</th>
<th>H</th>
<th>Hd</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Eta</th>
<th>θ</th>
<th>N-SYN</th>
<th>SYN</th>
<th>θ_A</th>
<th>θ_S</th>
<th>D^syn</th>
<th>D*</th>
<th>Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>By allelic classes (all)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEP1s</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-1.27</td>
<td>-5.16</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEP1r1</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEP1r2</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEP1s (by groups)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. coluzzii</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-1.03</td>
<td>-3.49</td>
<td>0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. gambiae</td>
<td>163</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-1.13</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hybrids</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
<td>-1.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TEP1r1 (by groups)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. coluzzii</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>-0.50</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. gambiae</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.56</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-1.15</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hybrids</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.41</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>-0.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In each locality (all allelic classes)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antula</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-2.15</td>
<td>-5.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Safim</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mansoa</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>1.67</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ga-Mbana</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>3.49***</td>
<td>1.69*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leibala</td>
<td>114</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>3.09**</td>
<td>1.91*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overall</strong></td>
<td>462</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>n.a.</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>2.61*</td>
<td>-0.58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Summary statistics for TEP1 sequence polymorphism are reported a) within each TEP1 allelic class in the whole sample, b) within TEP1s and TEP1r1 in A. gambiae, A. coluzzii and hybrids, c) for the whole Guinean sample and within each locality (all TEP1 allelic classes). n = n° of alleles, H = n° of haplotypes, Hd = haplotype diversity, S = n° of segregating sites, Eta = total n° of mutations, θ = nucleotide diversity (at non-synonymous = θ_A or at synonymous = θ_S sites), θ = Watterson’s estimator, N-SYN = n° of non-synonymous mutations, SYN = n° synonymous mutations, Tajima D^syn = Tajima’s D test based on synonymous substitutions only. n.a. = not applicable. Deviations from neutrality tests are in bold:

- * p < 0.05
- ** p < 0.01
- *** p < 0.001.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127804.t002

Fig 3. Comparison of TEP1 genotype distribution in West/Central Africa and Guinea Bissau. Relative frequencies of TEP1 genotypes in Guinea Bissau are reported in Table 1 and those from West and Central Africa are from White et al. (2011).

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0127804.g003
Conclusions

The introgression of the TEP1r1 allele from A. coluzzii to A. gambiae in Guinea Bissau (Fig 1) shows that hybridization can promote the transfer of potentially adaptive immune-resistant alleles from a ‘donor’ (A. coluzzii) to a ‘recipient’ (A. gambiae) vector species. Introgressive hybridization may favor the rapid acquisition of advantageous traits from one species to another, but the adaptive significance (or fitness effects) of the genetic variant entering the ‘recipient’ species should be ascertained [47]. It is tempting to speculate that the observed absence of fixation and/or relatively low frequency of the ‘novel’ TEP1r1 acquired by A. gambiae in Guinea Bissau could be due to a lower adaptive benefit of this allele to the ‘recipient’ species in this region, where hybridization between A. gambiae and A. coluzzii is highest and stable. It would be interesting to monitor TEP1 allele exchange also in other African regions where assortative mating was shown to occasionally break down [6] and to assess whether introgressed resistant-alleles increase in frequency in A. gambiae: this could enhance the immune responsiveness of this species and, thus, its ability to compete with A. coluzzii in permanent larval sites, with potential repercussions on vector ecology, distribution and, eventually, malaria transmission especially in those areas where larval habitats are strongly segregated. In fact, it has been hypothesized that the more biotically diverse aquatic milieu and the higher bacterial load in larval sites typical of A. coluzzii in dry savannah areas are the most likely selective forces driving fixation of TEP1r1 in these northern populations [17, 20]. The observed lack of fixation of TEP1r1 in Guinea Bissau might be related to a greater availability of water resources due to a relatively higher annual rainfall regime in this westernmost region when compared to northern savanna areas [48]. The more humid ecological context of Guinea Bissau is likely to cause
frequent replenishment of *A. coluzzii* larval habitats with clean-water all-year round, thus diluting the bacterial load in permanent ponds and possibly reducing immune stress for mosquito larvae. Indeed, mean annual precipitation in Guinea Bissau (e.g., ~1500 mm/yr in Leibala to 2000 mm/yr in Antula) is considerably higher than in northern regions (e.g., Mali: Bamako, 1100 mm/yr; Burkina Faso: Ouagadougou, 800 mm/yr; Bobo Dioulasso, 1100 mm/yr) [25, 49]. The present data on TEP1 allele distributions in Guinea Bissau could indirectly support the role of pathogens in potentially driving and maintaining fixation of TEP1r1 in *A. coluzzii* larvae in Mali and Burkina Faso, where a lower and seasonal precipitation regime may increase water stagnation and concentration of organic matter in permanent breeding sites, thereby increasing pathogen density and diversity. This might also explain the lower frequency or absence of the TEP1r1 allele in *A. coluzzii* collected close to coastal areas of Ghana and Cameroon [17]. Further studies testing correlations among TEP1 genotype frequencies, chromosomal inversion polymorphisms (known to be highly differentiated between coastal Guinea Bissau and inland/ northern savannah areas [50]), climatic/ecological conditions and pathogen loads in breeding sites are needed to confirm these hypotheses.
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