Delayed mortality effects cut the malaria transmission potential of insecticide resistant mosquitoes

Mafalda Viana^{1*}, Angela Hughes^{2*}, Jason Matthiopoulos¹, Hilary Ranson^{2§} and Heather M. Ferguson¹

¹ Institute of Biodiversity, Animal Health and Comparative Medicine, College of Medical, Veterinary and Life Sciences, University of Glasgow, Glasgow G12 8QQ, United Kingdom; ²Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, Liverpool, L3 5QA, United Kingdom;

Submitted to Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America

Malaria transmission has been substantially reduced across Africa through the distribution of long-lasting insecticide treated bednets (LLINs). However, the emergence of insecticide resistance within mosquito vectors risks jeopardizing the future efficacy of this control strategy. The severity of this threat is uncertain because the consequences of resistance for mosquito fitness are poorly understood: whilst resistant mosquitoes are no longer immediately killed upon contact with LLINs, their transmission potential may be curtailed because of longer-term fitness costs that persist beyond the first 24 hours after exposure. Here, we used a Bayesian state-space model to quantify the immediate (within 24h of exposure) and delayed (>24h after exposure) impact of insecticides on daily survival and malaria transmission potential of moderately and highly resistant laboratory populations of the major African malaria vector Anopheles gambiae. Contact with LLINs reduced the immediate survival of moderately and highly resistant An. gambiae strains by 60-100% and 3-61% respectively, and delayed mortality impacts occurring beyond the first 24 hours after exposure further reduced their overall lifespans by nearly half. In total, insecticide exposure was predicted to reduce the lifetime malaria transmission potential of insecticide resistant vectors by two thirds, with delayed effects accounting for at least half of this reduction. The existence of substantial, previously unreported, delayed mortality effects within highly resistant malaria vectors following exposure to insecticides does not diminish the threat of growing resistance, but posits an explanation for the apparent paradox of continued LLIN effectiveness in the presence of high insecticide resistance.

Anopheles gambiae | Insecticide resistance | Delayed mortality | statespace models | transmission potential

Introduction

Insecticides are the most widespread and successful strategy to control and eliminate insect pest populations (1-3). However, their extensive use has inevitably triggered intense selection for insecticide resistance (IR) in targeted populations (4, 5). Consequently, resistance to one or more classes of insecticides has now been documented in over 440 insects and mite species (6). Resistance can spread extremely fast after its initial emergence. For example, the frequency of mutations associated with pyrethroid resistance has increased 50-1000 fold in insects such as aphids and mosquitoes in less than a decade (7, 8).

The challenge of IR is particularly acute in the *Anopheles* mosquitoes that transmit malaria. Malaria remains a leading cause of mortality and morbidity throughout the tropics, where it is estimated to have killed approximately 438,000 people in 2015 alone (9). Historically, disease burden has been highest in sub-Saharan Africa, but great progress has been achieved over the past 15 years with the number of malaria cases being halved (9, 10). The widespread use of long-lasting insecticide-treated bednets (LLINs) has been the major contributor to this decline (10). LLINs provide physical protection from mosquito bites to people sleeping under them, but the main reason for their success is that the insecticides in them kill mosquitoes within a few hours of contact. The addition of insecticides to nets can almost double

the preventive effect of LLINs (11). Only one class of insecticides, the pyrethroids, has World Health Organization (WHO) approval for use on LLINs (12), and their widespread use has led to the rapid emergence and increase of pyrethroid resistance all across Africa (13). With alternative insecticides for LLINs still several years away from being licensed (14), there is great concern that rapidly increasing IR levels will soon erode and reverse current and future malaria control gains.

The WHO classifies mosquitoes as being IR if the population mortality is <90% in the 24 hours following exposure to insecticides in standardized bioassays (15). According to this definition, resistance to at least one class of insecticide has been identified in malaria vectors from 64 countries with ongoing malaria transmission since 2010 (15). Whilst standardized definitions of resistance are of value for surveillance, the reliability of current metrics for predicting the epidemiological consequences of IR are unclear. Specifically, it is unclear how LLINs maintain high levels of efficacy despite increasing levels of IR. We hypothesize that although IR mosquitoes are no longer killed upon immediate contact with insecticides, they may still suffer longer-term consequences from exposure that indirectly reduce their disease transmission potential.

Mosquito survival is the most important biological determinant of malaria transmission intensity (16, 17). This is because only mosquitoes that survive at least 9 further days after consuming infected blood (i.e. the minimum time required for the parasite to complete its extrinsic incubation period (18)) are capable of onward transmission. Malaria vector survival rates are typically low in natural populations, with <20% expected to

Significance

Insecticide resistance poses one of the greatest challenges to the control of malaria and other vector-borne diseases. Quantifying the magnitude of its impact is essential to ensure the sustainability of future control programmes. Mosquito vectors are defined as "resistant" when insecticides are no longer able to kill them on contact. However, they may suffer longer-term impairment following insecticide exposure that reduces their ability to transmit disease. We show that even highly resistant strains of the major malaria vector Anopheles gambiae have their lifespan cut by \sim 50% after exposure to long-lasting insecticide-treated nets (LLINS). These delayed effects are sufficient to reduce their malaria transmission potential by two-thirds and could partially explain why insecticide resistance is not inextricably associated with LLIN failure.

Reserved for Publication Footnotes



Fig. 1. – Experimental data. Top panels show the observed daily survival curves, i.e. the proportion of mosquitoes from day x-1 alive at day x for each exposure regime (across panels), strain (different colours) and treatment (filled vs open symbols) combination. Vertical dotted lines correspond to the time of exposure. Middle panels show the immediate mortality rate of each group, i.e. within 24h of exposure to pyrethroids. Replicates shown with different shades of the same colour. Bottom panels show the delayed mortality rate of each group, i.e. 24 to 72h after exposure to pyrethroids.



Fig. 2. – Estimated impact of delayed effects of exposure to insecticides on mosquito daily survival of moderately (blue) and highly (red) resistant strains. The dotted line corresponds to the baseline daily survival (and controls) of both strains and the shaded area to the 95% credible interval.

survive long enough to transmit (16, 19). Consequently, even if insecticides have no immediate impact on IR vectors, they could still have a considerable impact on malaria transmission if they reduce the long-term survival of vectors. Additionally, delayed mortality effects of insecticides could effectively slow down the spread of resistance by imposing a cost that prevents resistance genes from going to fixation. Whilst the potential advantages of slow acting insecticides have received theoretical consideration (20), there has been little assessment of whether such effects are already acting within natural vector populations. In this study we test whether reductions in the survival of resistant lines of the major African malaria vector, *Anopheles gambiae*, following repeated insecticide exposures, are evident beyond the first 24 hours after exposure and quantify the associated consequences for their malaria transmission potential. Demonstration of de-



Fig. 3. – Modelled daily survival curves of *An. gambiae s.s* after different exposure regimes to LLINs. Full lines represent the curve estimated from fitting the binomial model to the data, and the dotted lines represent the counterfactual curve predicted with no delayed effects. Lines correspond to the median prediction with shaded 95% credible intervals.



Fig. 4. – Contour plots of the mean number of infectious bites per mosquito of TOR (blue upper panels) and TIA (red bottom panels) strains obtained for mosquitoes exposed to untreated (control) and insecticide-treated nets with and without delayed effects across varying probabilities of biting (x-axis) and exposure (y-axis).

layed mortality impacts from LLIN exposure in resistant malaria vectors could considerably alter prediction of the epidemiological risk posed by IR (16, 17).

Results

We investigated the immediate (within 24 hours) and life-long impact of insecticide exposure in two IR strains of *Anopheles* gambiae mosquitoes: i) *Tiassale* (TIA) and ii) *Tororo* (TOR). Both strains are defined as pyrethroid-resistant according to the WHO definition (15) but the exposure duration required to kill 50% of the TIA is 26 times longer than for the TOR strain, indicating that the levels of IR are substantially higher in the former (21). Cohorts of ~100 females of each strain were exposed either to a LLIN coated with the pyrethroid deltamethrin (Permanet 2.0®; LLIN treatment) or to an untreated bednet (control) in WHO standard cone bioassays (15). Over a series of different experiments, the frequency with which mosquitoes were exposed

Table 1. – Estimated (EST; i.e. with delayed effects) and counterfactual (CF; i.e. without delayed effects) mean daily survival over mosquitoes entire lifespan, and mean proportion of mosquitoes alive at day 9 after first exposure, for each treatment (exposed or unexposed to insecticides), strain and exposure regime: A: daily exposure; B: Exposure every 4 days; and C1 and C2: Exposure with simultaneous blood meal. Dash reflect absence of CF value.

274

275

276

277

278

279

280

281

282

283

284

285

286

287

288

289

290

291

292

293

294

295

296

297

298

299

300

301

302

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

325

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

| Strain | Regime | Mean daily survival | | Prop. alive day 9 | |
|-----------------|--------|------------------------|---------|----------------------|------|
| | | | | | |
| | | EST | CF | EST | CF |
| TIA (exposed) | A | 0.80 | 0.90 | 0.25 | 0.77 |
| | В | 0.74 | 0.85 | 0.60 | 0.74 |
| | C1 | 0.70 | 0.79 | 0.58 | 0.69 |
| | C2 | 0.64 | 0.74 | 0.39 | 0.52 |
| TOR (exposed) | А | 0.46 | 0.88 | 0.00 | 0.29 |
| | В | 0.43 | 0.81 | 0.05 | 0.42 |
| | C1 | 0.35 | 0.66 | 0.07 | 0.33 |
| | C2 | 0.38 | 0.70 | 0.02 | 0.16 |
| TIA (unexposed) | А | 0.83 | - | 0.75 | - |
| | В | 0.80 | - | 0.70 | - |
| | C1 | 0.96 | · · · · | 0.95 | - |
| | C2 | 0.96 | | 0.96 | I-C |
| TOR (unexposed) | A | 0.83 | - / / | 0.75 | |
| | В | 0.82 | - | 0.74 | |
| | C1 | 0.93 | - | 0.91 | - |
| | C2 | 0.93 | - | 0.91 | - |

to these treatments varied: A) Daily exposure for 5 consecutive days; B) Exposure every 4 days, for a maximum of 4 exposures over 16 days, and C) Exposure & feed, where mosquitoes were exposed every 4 to 6 days for a maximum of 4 exposures, and blood-fed during exposure (in contrast to other regimes where mosquitoes were fed only sugar water; see Methods). These regimes were selected to investigate a range of biologically plausible exposures. Specifically, under natural conditions An. gambiae is expected to blood fed once every 2-4 days (22). If a bloodmeal is successfully obtained, the mosquito will refrain from feeding until eggs have been laid (~4 days). Regime A mimics a mosquito that is repeatedly prevented from biting by the presence of a LLIN (thus contacts LLINs on consecutive nights), whereas Regime C corresponds to the scenario where the mosquito is able to bite through the LLIN while simultaneously feeding. Together these regimes cover the likely maximum (daily) and minimum (every 4 days) exposure that An. gambiae would expect in areas of high LLIN coverage. In all experiments, mosquitoes were first exposed to insecticides when they were 4-5 days old, and then monitored daily to record mortality until no survivors remained (i.e. maximum of 44 days). Each experiment (A, B & C) was replicated twice per strain, with the exception of the Daily exposure experiment for which there was only one replicate per strain in the control treatment.

Across all experimental regimes, mosquito survival was lower after exposure to insecticides in comparison to the control treatments (Fig. 1 upper plots, black versus coloured lines). Survival was also higher in the more resistant TIA than TOR strain (red vs blue lines), but consistent between replicates of the same experimental treatment and strain combination (lines of same colour). Overall, mortality rates in the 24 hours following exposure to insecticides ranged from 60-100% in the TOR strain, and 3-61% in the TIA strain. The 24-hour mortality of mosquitoes exposed to untreated nets was <20% in both strains (Fig. 1 middle panels). The mortality rate between 24h and 72h (within 1 and 4 days) after last exposure of TIA ranged from 7-100%, which was higher than

Footline Author

that of the controls that ranged 2-57% (Fig. 1, bottom panels). When present this delayed mortality was also higher in the TOR strain (20-100%) than in the controls.

Impact of immediate and delayed effects on survival

Our aim was to test whether reductions in mosquito survival following insecticide exposure persisted beyond the first 24 hours after exposure. To distinguish and quantify these immediate and delayed impacts, we used a Bayesian nonlinear state-space model (SSM) on the cohort data, in which observed daily survival was modelled as a binomial process. Briefly, the model described the daily survival of each strain under the different exposure regimes (A-C) and treatments (exposed or control). Amongst the candidate models tested (i.e. models with varying covariate combinations; see Methods for further details), the one with the highest degree of support incorporated both immediate and delayed impacts of insecticide exposure, and senescence (i.e. increase in baseline mortality rate with age; see Methods and model fit in Fig. S1 in Supplementary Information [SI]). Support for the inclusion of both immediate and delayed impacts of insecticide exposure was particularly strong (see Table S2 and S3 in SI).

The magnitude of insecticide impacts varied between strains (Fig. 2, blue and red lines). For example, the mean daily survival of the TOR strain was 3.7 times lower in the 24 hours following insecticide exposure (at t=0 in Fig. 2) than in the unexposed control (Table I), whereas survival in the TIA strain was only 1.2 times lower than the controls over the same period. Similar strain differences were observed in the magnitude of delayed mortality impacts (>24h after exposure; Fig. 2). Although both strains experienced a permanent reduction in survival >24h following LLIN exposure (i.e. the pre-exposure age-independent baseline daily survival levels are never achieved again, Fig. 2 dotted lines); TIA mosquitoes were predicted to require \sim 7 days to recover their daily survival rate to 95% of the baseline, whereas TOR mosquitoes required ~14 days (i.e. Fig. 2). The delayed mortality effects of TIA disappear faster mainly because the initial impact on TOR survival (i.e. immediate mortality) was much greater, which resulted in a longer period of recovery back (asymptotically) to the baseline daily survival (i.e. control daily survival rate; Fig. 2). After exposure to untreated nets, the daily survival of control mosquitoes from either strain was unaffected by longterm residual impact of insecticides, and remained at baseline levels (Fig. 2, dotted line).

To further investigate the magnitude of delayed mortality impacts of insecticide exposure, we used our model to contrast scenarios in which these effects were present (as estimated in data, EST) and in which they were removed (counterfactual, CF). Comparison of the estimated and counterfactual survival estimates (Fig. 3, Table I) indicates that the median lifespan of TOR mosquitoes is reduced by 17-57% in the presence of delayed mortality impacts relative to when they are absent. The median life span in the TIA strain was also estimated to be reduced by 0-40% (depending on exposure regime) in the presence of delayed mortality impacts of insecticides (Fig. 3, Table S4). We investigated how these delayed mortality impacts influenced the proportion of mosquitoes surviving for 9 days after 1st exposure; which is the minimum necessary time for a mosquito to transmit malaria assuming it was infected on first bite (18). The proportion of TIA mosquitoes expected to live at least 9 days following insecticide exposure was predicted to be 25-60% (across different exposure regimes) in the presence of observed levels of delayed mortality, rising to 52-77% when these effects were counterfactually removed (Table I). These differences were even more pronounced within the TOR strain, where <7% were estimated to survive for 9 days following insecticide exposure when delayed mortality impacts were acting (EST), compared to 16-42% when only immediate impacts were assumed (CF, Table I).

341

342

343

344

345

409 The impact of insecticides also differed between insecticide 410 exposure regimes (within each strain). In both strains, mosquito 411 mean daily survival across their lifespan was higher in regime 412 A, with consecutive daily exposures, than in the regime B with 413 similar number but more spaced out exposures (e.g. Table I). 414 However, a smaller proportion of mosquitoes survived until 9 415 days after first bite in higher frequency daily exposure compared 416 to other treatments (e.g. regime A vs. B and C). For example, 417 no TOR mosquitoes were estimated to be alive at day 9 in the 418 daily exposure regime compared to 2-7% in treatments where 419 exposures were spaced over 4-5 days. Similarly, 25% of TIA 420 mosquitoes were estimated to survive until day 9 under the daily 421 exposure regime, compared to 39-60% when exposures were 422 spaced out (Table I). For regime C, the mean daily survival 423 was $\sim 10\%$ lower in both strains compared to regimes A and B. 424 However, the comparative magnitude of all longevity measures (Table I) between strains was similar with those of regime B, 425 426 which had similar exposure frequencies. Despite these differences 427 across regimes, the magnitude of delayed insecticide impact was 428 relatively similar. For example, the counterfactual mean daily 429 survival of the TOR strain was approximately 1.9 fold higher 430 than that estimated under each of the three exposure regimes. 431 Similarly, the counterfactual mean daily survival of the TIA strain 432 was approximately 1.2 fold across all exposure regimes (Table I). 433

Empirically, the delayed effects were higher in Regime C (Fig. 1, bottom panels). To guarantee that the detection of delayed effects was not purely driven by this regime in our models, we rerun the model without regime C. The magnitudes of immediate and delayed effects were slightly smaller but still significant in this analysis, and show clear evidence of delayed effects even with the exclusion of Regime C. These outputs are shown in SI (Table S3).

Implications for malaria transmission potential

434

435

436

437

438

439

440

441

442

443

444

445

446

447

448

449

450

451

452

453

454

455

456

457

458

459

462

467

472

Using the observed and counterfactual survival curves, we developed a stochastic individual-based simulation to investigate the potential epidemiological consequences of delayed mortality following insecticide exposure in IR strains of An. gambiae. These impacts were quantified in terms of the number of potentially infectious bites a mosquito would be expected to deliver under scenarios where the mortality effects following exposure to insecticides is of a similar magnitude to that detected in our experimental data. Our simulation predicted the probability distribution of the number of infectious bites that a TIA and TOR mosquito could deliver over its lifetime (assuming it was infected on its first bite). Transmission potential (quantified as the mean of this distribution) was simulated under varying levels of insecticide exposure and biting probabilities (detailed in Methods and SI). Predictions were obtained both in the presence of immediate and delayed mortality effects following exposure (as observed in our data), and under the counterfactual scenario where these delayed mortality effects were absent.

Under the control scenarios (exposure to untreated nets), 460 transmission potential was dependent only on biting probabil-461 ity (Fig. 4, left panels) and was relatively high, with 47% of mosquitoes from both strains having potential to deliver at least 463 1 infectious bite (Fig. 4). Exposure to LLINs was estimated 464 to reduce the overall transmission potential of both TIA and 465 TOR strains by 3.3 and 7.8 times respectively (see reduction of 466 dark blue and red areas across panels in Fig. 4). Notably, there were marked differences between the transmission potential of 468 mosquitoes exposed to insecticides, depending on whether they 469 were assumed to experience immediate mortality impacts, or both 470 immediate and delayed impacts of the magnitude detected in our 471 experiments (Fig. 4). For example, across all combinations of biting and exposure probabilities, the proportion of TIA mosquitoes 473 expected to deliver at least one infectious bite was 33% when 474 only immediate mortality was considered, compared to 14% when 475 476 delayed impacts were also incorporated. Similarly, for the TOR strain, the proportion of mosquitoes with potential to deliver one 477 478 infectious bite fell from 12% to 6% when delayed as well as immediate mortality impacts were included. Thus, incorporation of 479 delayed mortality effects from insecticide exposure is expected to 480 significantly curtail the transmission potential of even technically-481 defined "resistant" malaria vectors. 482

483

484

494

495

496

497

498

499

500

501

502

503

504

505

506

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

529

530

531

Discussion

485 The cumulative impact of LLIN exposure on the survival of even 486 highly resistant An. gambiae mosquitoes was estimated to reduce 487 their expected lifetime transmission by 3-fold, with delayed effects 488 accounting for at least half of this reduction. If delayed mortality 489 effects of similar magnitude occur in natural conditions, estimates 490 of transmission potential of IR mosquitoes should be reduced to 491 \sim 50% to what would be assumed if insecticides had no impact on 492 their survival. 493

To our knowledge, delayed mortality effects of a similar magnitude to ours have not been described in malaria vectors or any other insecticide resistant insect. Although the distinction between immediate and delayed mortality has been discussed for other resistant insects (e.g. lesser grain borer which infects maize (23)), the magnitude of the effects from exposure to pesticides has not been accurately quantified. Our results are the first clear evidence that delayed mortality effects occur in IR Anopheles sp., and that they are of sufficient magnitude to have important epidemiological implications for the continued control of malaria.

The magnitude of delayed mortality effects varied between the two An. gambiae strains used here. These differential impacts may be reflective of the mechanisms of resistance within these two strains. Physiological resistance to insecticides can arise through target site mutations that interfere with insecticide binding, metabolic resistance in which insecticides are detoxified by the overproduction of enzymes, and penetration resistance in which the mosquito cuticle is altered in a way that inhibits insecticide uptake (13). The TOR strain exhibits target site resistance through the L1014S kdr mutation (24); but has shown no clear evidence for metabolic resistance. In contrast, the TIA strain has both target site resistance arising from a high frequency of 1014F kdr allele and metabolic resistance arising from elevated expression of key P450s (25). It is likely that the long-term impacts of LLIN exposure on mosquito survival were minimized in the TIA strain because of its additional capacity to detoxify residual insecticides. If so, the delayed mortality effects could be a transitory feature arising along the evolutionary pathway from full susceptibility to 'complete' resistance (e.g. resistance via multiple mechanisms). For example, delayed mortality impacts may be of most significance in populations where resistance has newly arisen and is conferred by a limited range of target site mutations, but have minimal impact in populations that have developed both multiple resistance mechanisms and compensatory mutations through years of intense selection. Thus even though delayed mortality impacts of insecticides may be reducing the transmission potential of IR mosquitoes under current conditions, this mitigating effect could become eroded by continued, intense selection for resistance in the future.

532 Our findings may help explain the apparent paradox of in-533 creases in the number of malaria cases averted over time that 534 are attributed to LLINs across Africa (10), even in the face 535 of increasing resistance. If IR was causing widespread failure 536 of LLINs, the impact of LLINS on malaria transmission across 537 Africa would be reduced. The available evidence on how IR 538 influences malaria risk is small and shows some discrepancies. 539 For example, parallel studies in Malawi where An. funestus is 540 moderately resistant variously reported that LLINs appeared to 541 have little impact (i.e. when the endpoint was prevalence (26)), or 542 were still reducing transmission by 30% (i.e. when the endpoint 543 was incidence (27). However, recent models suggest that LLINs 544

Footline Author

545 continue to be responsible for the vast majority of malaria cases 546 averted in Africa over the last decade (10) even with increasing 547 IR. The presence of these delayed mortality effects, which re-548 duce the impact of IR on transmission, may help explain why a 549 widespread, catastrophic impact of IR has not yet been observed. 550 But because the reduction in malaria transmission potential by 551 mosquitoes exposed to LLINs seems to decrease with increasing 552 intensity of IR (i.e. TOR vs TIA), our findings also serve as a 553 warning that resistance could eventually reduce the public health 554 benefit of pyrethroid-based LLINs.

555 Some studies have shown that exposure to insecticides alters 556 the behavior of IR arthropods in a way that could indirectly 557 reduce their fitness (e.g. altered dispersal, reduced neurosensory 558 perception and higher risk of predation (13, 28)). For example, 559 exposure to neonicotinoid insecticides at sub-lethal concentration 560 decreases the feeding activity of the grain aphid (23). Similarly, 561 An. gambiae exposed to LLINs seem to temporarily lose the 562 ability to host-seek (29). This study did not test for such ad-563 ditional indirect impacts, however preliminary data indicates a 564 reduction in the feeding success of exposed IR mosquitoes. In 565 this and other studies (30, 31) it was observed that the legs of 566 mosquitoes can become detached when trying to feed through 567 nets, which would be one mechanism to explain their subsequent 568 reduction in blood feeding. Further work is needed to quantify 569 this phenomenon and other indirect fitness consequences of 570 LLIN exposure in IR mosquitoes to calculate their combined 571 impact on transmission (13). Alternatively, contact with LLINs 572 could prompt behavioural changes that increase the transmis-573 sion potential of IR mosquitoes, by for example, changing the 574 time and location of their biting to avoid nets (e.g. "behavioural 575 resistance" (32)). Furthermore, previous studies have suggested 576 that resistance is associated with changes in the susceptibility of 577 mosquitoes to infection (ranging from an enhancement, reduc-578 tion, or no change (33–35)). IR also drives various physiological 579 modifications that may ultimately impact survival and parasite 580 competence (28). For example, resistant Anopheles and other 581 taxa, have an increased capacity to tolerate oxidative stress, which 582 in turn reduces long-term survival (36, 37). Thus whilst results 583 presented here constitute valuable proof-of-principle on delayed 584 mortality impacts from insecticide exposure, consideration of a 585 wider range of indirect consequences is needed to accurately 586 predict the transmission potential of IR mosquitoes. 587

A previous study tested for a cumulative impact of low dose insecticide exposure in Anopheles, but found no evidence of higher mosquito mortality following repeated exposures (33). Similarly, our results show no association between the immediate mortality of mosquitoes following exposure, and the number of times they had been previously exposed. However, we also show that mosquitoes' natural mortality varies with age. Older mosquitoes have been previously shown to be more susceptible to pyrethroids than their younger counterparts (33, 38). Our findings suggest this result may have been driven by changes in the natural mortality of mosquitoes over time (i.e. senescence) rather than increases in susceptibility to insecticide exposure. The ability to estimate additional effects, such as senescence, is one of the advantages of using our modeling approach. The state-space framework used to analyze the survival curves was also critical for the quantification of the non-linear effect of delayed effects of exposure on mosquito mortality, which would not be possible with more commonly used survival analysis.

Our findings highlight the importance of investigating the impacts of resistance beyond immediate mortality. The existence of previously ignored delayed mortality effects presents a hypothesis for why the presence of pyrethroid resistance in African malaria vectors does not appear to have resulted in widespread reductions in LLIN efficacy (10, 27). However, the present study warns that increasing resistance could erode the ability of LLINs

Footline Author

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

to hold back malaria. As the degree of resistance increases, the 613 magnitude of these delayed mortality impacts may diminish and 614 eventually disappear. This study provides a proof-of-principle for 615 the existence of these delayed mortality effects at a magnitude 616 that could have significant implications for malaria transmission. 617 618 Ideally the next step would be to validate these findings in wild populations, and assess their relevance to operational control. 619 There are currently several constraints to testing this hypothesis 620 in the field; namely difficulties in aging and determining the 621 622 history of insecticide exposure of wild mosquitoes and markrecapture methods for survival estimation have poor efficiency (39). Whilst technology develops, alternatively, this phenomenon could be investigated under semi-field conditions (40) where wild mosquitoes can be exposed to LLINs under realistic but contained conditions. Further empirical studies combined with the modeling framework developed here will be vital for prediction of the impact of insecticide resistance on malaria control.

Methods

Experimental design 632 Two strains of An. gambiae mosquitoes differing in their IR levels were 633 used in this study: Tiassale (TIA) which originates from Southern Cote d'Ivoire, 634 and Tororo (TOR) from Uganda. Details of their resistance profile can be found in (21) and references therein. A fully susceptible strain was not 635 included in this study as all mosquitoes die within 24h and hence delayed 636 mortality cannot be measured. Cohorts of \sim 100 mosquitoes of each strain 637 were exposed to Permanet 2.0 LLINs containing 50mg/m² deltamethrin 638 (Vestergaard-Frandsen), the standard dose to mimic field exposures, or to an insecticide-free bednet for 3 minutes using the WHO cone bioassay (15). 639 Details of the experimental design, such as sample sizes and frequency of 640 exposure are detailed in Table S1 in SI. Three alternative exposure regimes 641 were used A) Daily exposure; B) Exposure every 4 days; and C) Exposure & feed; and two replicates were carried out for each regime and strain 642 combination. The mosquitoes for the replicates were taken from different 643 colony cohorts apart from those in regime A, which were from the same 644 colony cohort (hence only 1 replicate was available for A). Mortality was 645 recorded daily starting 24 hours after the first exposure and all surviving 646 mosquitoes were held with access to sugar solution ad *libitum*. For the exposure regime C, mosquitoes were starved of sugar water 12 hours prior to 647 exposure and mosquitoes were aspirated into two containers, one covered 648 with a Permanet 2.0 and the second with an untreated net. Mosquitoes 649 were provided access to a blood meal for twenty minutes via a volunteer's 650 arm rested on the netting of each container. Unfed mosquitoes were then counted and discarded. Mortality was recorded daily starting 24 hours after 651 the first exposure. At the end of the bioassay, daily mortality was available 652 for a total of 1497 mosquitoes, from 22 different experimental groups (3 653 exposure regimes, 2 strains, 2 treatments i.e. exposed and non-exposed to 654 insecticide, and 2 replicates). 655

Bavesian survival model

A Bayesian state-space model (SSM) was constructed to quantify the impact of the different insecticide exposure regimes on An. gambiae survival, and disentangle the impacts of immediate (i.e. within 24 hours of exposure) and long-term cumulative mortality. The observed number of mosquitoes alive, $N_{i,t}$, in each experimental replicate i (22 in total), at time t, was modelled as a binomial variable: $N_{i,t} \sim \text{Binomial (S}_{i,t}, N_{i,t-1})$; where $N_{i,t-1}$ is the total number of mosquitoes alive in group *i* at time *t*-1 and $S_{i,t}$, is the probability of daily survival described with a logit link to its non-linear predictor $(\overline{S}_{i,t})$:

$$\bar{S}_{i,t} = \beta_0 + \beta_1 t + \beta_2 t^2 - \beta_{3,x,s} E_{i,t} + u_i \tag{1}$$

Here, β_0 corresponds to the intercept and the coefficients β_1 and β_2 were used to incorporate natural mortality (i.e. senescence) over time (or age, t). The short-term 'immediate' impact of exposure to a (treated or untreated) bednet, on mosquito daily survival was represented by the coefficient $\beta_{3},$ which was allowed to have a different value for each treatment x (i.e. exposed or unexposed to insecticides) and strain s (i.e. TIA or TOR) combination. Biologically, $\beta_{3,x,s}$ corresponds to the magnitude (in the predictor scale) of the reduction in daily survival occurring after exposure. Exposure is treated as the non-linear covariate E and was introduced to quantify the postulated delayed effects of insecticide, which was constructed as the superposition of multiple, time-decaying effects corresponding to the multiple exposure regimes:

$$E_{i,r} = \sum e^{-\beta_{4,x,s} \Delta T_{i,t}} \tag{2}$$

677 where, β_4 quantifies the decay rate of the delayed mosquito mortality risk 678 after exposure, and is specific to each treatment x and strain s; and ΔT the 679 time since last exposure in each replicate i at time t. The coefficient u was incorporated into the model as a Gaussian random effect that accounts for 680

PNAS | Issue Date | Volume | Issue Number | 5

656

657

658

659

660

661

662

663

665

666

667

668

669

670

671

672

673

681other unattributed differences between replicates. Further details, including682prior distributions and model code are provided in SI.

Model selection

683

684

685

686

687

688

689

690

691

692

693

694

695

696

697

698

699

700

701

702

703

704

705

706

707

708

709

710

711

712

713

714

715

716

717

718

719

720

721

722

723

724

725

. 726

727

728

729

730

731

732

733

734

735

736

737

738

739

740

741

742

743

744

745

746

747

748

An initial set of 11 candidate models representing differing, biologically plausible permutations of our predefined coefficients: i.e. senescence (as a linear or quadratic effect), immediate effects of exposure, delayed effects of exposure and random effect of replicate; were constructed (see Table S2 in SI). After assessing convergence, model goodness-of-fit and the Deviance Information Criterion (DIC) of all candidate models (41), we chose the best model (described in equation 1). All models were fit using Monte Carlo Markov Chain methods within software JAGS (42) via interface with R (R Development Core Team). Further details can be found in SI.

Prediction of the impact of delayed effects

The survival curves $S_{i,t}$ for each replicate were estimated as a function of the predicted coefficients obtained from equation 1. The relative impact of delayed effects was quantified by comparing these survival curves, which incorporated delayed effects of the magnitude detected in experimental results, with "counterfactual" scenarios in which their effect had been removed after model fitting. This was done during the refit of the model by setting the decay rate coefficient of delayed effects ($\beta_{4,x,s}$) to the very high value of 10000 (i.e. delayed effects do not exist and only immediate mortality can impact mosquito survival).

Transmission potential (Tp)

A stochastic individual-based simulation was used to investigate the potential epidemiological consequences (i.e. transmission potential, T_p) of delayed mortality following insecticide exposure in resistant strains of *An. gambiae*. These impacts were quantified in terms of the number of potentially infectious bites a mosquito would be expected to deliver under scenarios when exposure to insecticides is of a similar magnitude as detected in our experimental data.

We simulated transmission potential for the full range of combinations for the probabilities of biting and exposure, although some of the combinations in this space of scenarios are unlikely (e.g. it is near-impossible that with an exposure probability of 1 implying an intact LLIN, biting probability can ever approach 1). We explored the space of exposure and biting probabilities through 400 distinct combination scenarios (20x20 values) and each scenario was simulated 1500 times to obtain a frequency distribution for the number of infections bites. The simulation used the following assumptions: (i) adult female mosquitoes began their life on day zero, and were given their first

- Aktar W, Sengupta D, Chowdhury A (2009) Impact of pesticides use in agriculture: their benefits and hazards. *Interdiscip Toxicol* 2(1):1–12.
- Dedryver C-A, Le Ralec A, Fabre F (2010) The conflicting relationships between aphids and men: A review of aphid damage and control strategies. C R Biol 333(6–7):539–553.
- Hemingway J (2014) The role of vector control in stopping the transmission of malaria: threats and opportunities. *Philos Trans R Soc London B Biol Sci* 369(1645).
- 4. Melander AL (1914) Can insects become resistant to sprays? J Econ Entomol 15:400-404.
- Whalon ME, Mota-Sanchez D (2008) Global pesticide resistance in arthropods (CABI, Wallingford) doi:10.1007/s13398-014-0173-7.2.
- Roush RT, Tabashnik BE (2002) Pesticide Resistance in Arthropods (Springer New York, New York [etc.]) doi:10.1007/978-1-4684-6429-0.
- Foster SP, et al. (2014) A mutation (L1014F) in the voltage-gated sodium channel of the grain aphid, Sitobion avenae, is associated with resistance to pyrethroid insecticides. *Pest Manag Sci* 70(8):1249–1253.
- Toé KH, et al. (2014) Increased Pyrethroid Resistance in Malaria Vectors and Decreased Bed Net Effectiveness, Burkina Faso. *Emerg Infect Dis* 20(10):1691–1696.
 WHO (2015) *World Malaria Report 2015* doi:978 92 4 156515 8.
- Bhatt S, et al. (2015) The effect of malaria control on Plasmodium falciparum in Africa between 2000 and 2015. *Nature* 526(7572):207–211.
- Russell TL, et al. (2010) Impact of promoting longer-lasting insecticide treatment of bed nets upon malaria transmission in a rural Tanzanian setting with pre-existing high coverage of untreated nets. *MalarJ* 9:187.
- Kelly-Hope L, Ranson H, Hemingway J (2008) Lessons from the past: managing insecticide resistance in malaria control and eradication programmes. *Lancet Infect Dis* 8(6):387–389.
- Ranson H, et al. (2011) Pyrethroid resistance in African anopheline mosquitoes: what are the implications for malaria control? *Trends Parasitol* 27(2):91–98.
- Ranson H, Lissenden (2016) Insecticide resistance in African Anopheles mosquitos: A worsening situation that needs urgent action to maintain malaria control. *Trends Parasitol* In press.
- WHO (2013) Test procedures for insecticide resistance monitoring in malaria vector mosquitoes.
 Garret-Jones C, Shidrawi GR (1969) Malaria vectorial capacity of a population of Anopheles gambiae. WHO Bull 40:531–545.
- Ferguson HM, et al. (2012) Selection of mosquito life-histories: a hidden weapon against malaria? *Malar J* 11:106.
- Beier JC (1998) Malaria parasite development in mosquitoes. *Annu Rev Entomol* 43:519–543.
 Noden BH, Kent MD, Beier JC (1995) The impact of variations in temperature on early
- Plasmodium falciparum development in Anopheles stephensi. *Parasitology* 111(05):539–545.
 20. Read AF, Lynch PA, Thomas MB (2009) How to Make Evolution-Proof Insecticides for Malaria Control. *PLoS Biol* 7(4):e1000058.
- Bagi J, et al. (2015) When a discriminating dose assay is not enough: measuring the intensity of insecticide resistance in malaria vectors. *Malar J* 14:210.
- Gillies MT (1953) The duration of the gonotrophic cycle in Anopheles gambiae and Anopheles funestus, with a note on the efficiency of hand catching. *East Afr Med J* 30(4):129–135.

6 | www.pnas.org --- ---

opportunity to blood-feed on day 2; (ii) all mosquitoes became infected with 749 malaria upon their first blood meal; after feeding, surviving mosquitoes had 750 the opportunity to blood feed again every 3 days; (iii) Feeding success was 751 determined as a binomial distribution based on the probability of biting 752 achieved for each draw; (iv) mosquitoes become infectious after an average of 12 days after becoming infected; This incubation period was drawn from 753 a normal distribution with mean 12 and standard deviation of 1.5, which 754 resulted in a range between 9 days and 23 days (values known to occur at 755 temperatures between 30° and 20°C (18)). 756

Based on these assumptions and the generated probabilities of exposure and biting, a binomial process was simulated to determine when a mosquito was exposed to insecticides and when it was successful at biting, during their lifetime (i.e. from day 1 to day 50). The daily survival of each mosquito was based on the estimated posterior distributions of the SSM implemented to our experimental data (i.e. equation 1). For each mosquito of each strain (TIA and TOR) and treatment (exposed to insecticide treated nets and control), the survival curves (equation 1) were re-estimated using the exposure over time (i.e. across the 50 days when exposures occurred) obtained from the exposure-biting relationship, and independent draws from the posterior distributions of the coefficients obtained from the SSM for the respective observed and counterfactual (without delayed effects) survival curves. The use of the posterior distributions, as opposed to a mean coefficient, ensured that all uncertainty was correctly propagated through to the estimates of transmission potential. The survival state of a mosquito at day t (alive or dead from day 1 to 50) was also defined through a binomial process with a probability of daily survival.

Finally, the total number of infectious bites expected to be delivered by a mosquito, or transmission potential (T_p) of each mosquito, was obtained:

$$T_{p} = \sum_{t} S_{t} B_{t} I_{t} \tag{3}$$

Where S_t is the survival state on day t (i.e. alive or dead), B_t is the number of bites on day t and I_t is the infectious state on day t. The T_p of each mosquito were finally used to generate a heatmap of transmission potential across the varying exposure and biting probabilities, for each strain, with and without delayed effects.

Acknowledgements.

Financial support was provided by EU-FP7 grant no: 265660 "AvecNet".

- Iversen Getchell A, Subramanyam B (2008) Immediate and Delayed Mortality of Rhyzopertha dominica (Coleoptera: Bostrichidae) and Sitophilus oryzae (Coleoptera: Curculionidae) Adults Exposed to Spinosad-Treated Commodities. J Econ Entomol 101(3):1022–1027.
- Ramphul U, et al. (2009) Insecticide resistance and its association with target-site mutations in natural populations of Anopheles gambiae from eastern Uganda. *Trans R Soc Trop Med Hyg* 103 (11):1121–1126.
- Edi CVA, Koudou BG, Jones CM, Weetman D, Ranson H (2012) Multiple-Insecticide Resistance in Anopheles gambiae Mosquitoes, Southern Côte d'Ivoire. *Emerg Infect Dis* 18(9):1508–1511.
- 26. Mathanga DP, et al. (2015) The effectiveness of long-lasting, insecticide-treated nets in a setting of pyrethroid resistance: a case-control study among febrile children 6 to 59 months of age in Machinga District, Malawi. *Malar J* 14(1):457.
- Lindblade KA, et al. (2015) A cohort study of the effectiveness of insecticide-treated bed nets to prevent malaria in an area of moderate pyrethroid resistance, Malawi. *Malar J* 14:31.
 Rivero A, Vézilier J, Weill M, Read AF, Gandon S (2010) Insecticide Control of Vector-
 - Borne Diseases: When Is Insecticide Resistance a Problem? *PLoS Pathog* 6(8):e1001000.
- Siegert PY, Walker E, Miller JR (2009) Differential behavioral responses of Anopheles gambiae (Diptera: Culicidae) modulate mortality caused by pyrethroid-treated bednets. J Econ Entomol 102(6):2061–71.
- Alexander B, et al. (2009) Susceptibility to chemical insecticides of two Brazilian populations of the visceral leishmaniasis vector Lutzomyia longipalpis (Diptera: Psychodidae). *Trop Med Int Health* 14(10):1272–1277.
- Norris LC, Norris DE (2011) Insecticide resistance in Culex quinquefasciatus mosquitoes after the introduction of insecticide-treated bed nets in Macha, Zambia. J Vector Ecol 36(2):411–420.
- Parker JEA, et al. (2015) Infrared video tracking of Anopheles gambiae at insecticide-treated bed nets reveals rapid decisive impact after brief localised net contact. *Sci Rep* 5:13392.
- Glunt KD, Thomas MB, Read AF (2011) The Effects of Age, Exposure History and Malaria Infection on the Susceptibility of Anopheles Mosquitoes to Low Concentrations of Pyrethroid. *PLoS One* 6(9):e24968.
- Vontas J, et al. (2007) Transcriptional analysis of insecticide resistance in Anopheles stephensi using cross-species microarray hybridization. *Insect Mol Biol* 16(3):315–324.
- Alout H, Berthomieu A, Hadjivassilis A, Weill M (2007) A new amino-acid substitution in acetylcholinesterase 1 confers insecticide resistance to Culex pipiens mosquitoes from Cyprus. *Insect Biochem Mol Biol* 37(1):41–47.
- Vontas JG, Small GJ, Hemingway J (2001) Glutathione S-transferases as antioxidant defence agents confer pyrethroid resistance in Nilaparvata lugens. *Biochem J* 357(Pt 1):65–72.
 Oliver S V, Brooke BD (2016) The Role of Oxidative Stress in the Longevity and Insecticide
- Onver S V, Brooke BD (2016) The Role of Oxidative Stress in the Longevity and insecticide Resistance Phenotype of the Major Malaria Vectors Anopheles arabiensis and Anopheles funestus. *PLoS One* 11(3):e0151049.
- Jones CM, et al. (2012) Aging partially restores the efficacy of malaria vector control in insecticide-resistant populations of Anopheles gambiae s.l. from Burkina Faso. *Malar J* 11:24.
 Buonaccorsi JP, Harrington LC, Edman JD (2003) Estimation and Comparison of Mosquito 816

Footline Author

801

802

803

804

805

806

807

808

809

810

811

812

813

757

758

759

760

761

762

763

764

- Survival Rates with Release-Recapture-Removal Data. J Med Entomol 40(1):6-17. 40. Ferguson HM, et al. (2008) Establishment of a large semi-field system for experimental study
- of African malaria vector ecology and control in Tanzania. Malar J 7(1):158. 41. Spiegelhalter DJ, Best NG, Carlin BP, van der Linde A (2002) Bayesian measures of model complexity and fit. J R Stat Soc Ser B (Statistical Methodol 64(4):583–639.
- 42. Plummer M (2003) JAGS: A program for analysis of Bayesian graphical models using Gibbs sampling. doi:http://www.ci.tuwien.ac.at/Conferences/DSC.html.

Submission PDF