# Short Report

**Open Access** 

# Analysis of apyrase 5' upstream region validates improved Anopheles gambiae transformation technique

Fabrizio Lombardo<sup>\*1,4</sup>, Gareth J Lycett<sup>2,5</sup>, Alessandra Lanfrancotti<sup>1,6</sup>, Mario Coluzzi<sup>1</sup> and Bruno Arcà<sup>1,3</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>Department of Public Health – Parasitology Section, "Sapienza" University of Rome, Piazzale Aldo Moro 5, 00185, Roma, Italy, <sup>2</sup>European Molecular Biology Laboratory, Meyerhofstrasse 1, 69117-Heidelberg, Germany, <sup>3</sup>Department of Structural and Functional Biology, University Federico II, Via Cinthia, 80126, Napoli, Italy, <sup>4</sup>Imperial College London, Imperial College Road, SW7 2AZ, London, UK, <sup>5</sup>Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, L3 5QA, Liverpool, UK and <sup>6</sup>Kennedy Institute of Rheumatology, Imperial College London, 1 Aspenlea Road, W6 8LH, London, UK

Email: Fabrizio Lombardo\* - f.lombardo@imperial.ac.uk; Gareth J Lycett - gareth.lycett@liverpool.ac.uk; Alessandra Lanfrancotti - a.lanfrancotti@imperial.ac.uk; Mario Coluzzi - mario.coluzzi@uniroma1.it; Bruno Arcà - arca@unina.it

\* Corresponding author

Published: 19 February 2009

BMC Research Notes 2009, 2:24 doi:10.1186/1756-0500-2-24

This article is available from: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1756-0500/2/24

© 2009 Lombardo et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<u>http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0</u>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Received: 9 September 2008

Accepted: 19 February 2009

#### Abstract

**Background:** Genetic transformation of the malaria mosquito Anopheles gambiae has been successfully achieved in recent years, and represents a potentially powerful tool for researchers. Tissue-, stage- and sex-specific promoters are essential requirements to support the development of new applications for the transformation technique and potential malaria control strategies. During the *Plasmodium* lifecycle in the invertebrate host, four major mosquito cell types are involved in interactions with the parasite: hemocytes and fat body cells, which provide humoral and cellular components of the innate immune response, midgut and salivary glands representing the epithelial barriers traversed by the parasite during its lifecycle in the mosquito.

**Findings:** We have analyzed the upstream regulatory sequence of the *An. gambiae* salivary glandspecific *apyrase* (*AgApy*) gene in transgenic *An. gambiae* using a *piggyBac* transposable element vector marked by a *3xP3* promoter:*DsRed* gene fusion. Efficient germ-line transformation in *An. gambiae* mosquitoes was obtained and several integration events in at least three different G<sub>0</sub> families were detected. *LacZ* reporter gene expression was analyzed in three transgenic lines/groups, and in only one group was tissue-specific expression restricted to salivary glands.

**Conclusion:** Our data describe an efficient genetic transformation of *An. gambiae* embryos. However, expression from the selected region of the *AgApy* promoter is weak and position effects may mask tissue- and stage- specific activity in transgenic mosquitoes.

### Background

The mosquito *Anopheles gambiae* is the main vector of the human malaria parasite *Plasmodium falciparum* in sub-Saharan Africa. Within the insect, the parasite undergoes a

complex life-cycle that includes fertilization, midgut invasion, sporozoite maturation, avoidance of the mosquito innate immune response and, as prerequisite for a successful transmission, recognition and entrance into the salivary glands [1]. The development of tools for mosquito genetic manipulation have provided evidence that *Plasmodium* development can be modified in the anopheline vector and opened new perspectives for studies on vector biology and on parasite-vector-host interactions [2,3].

Several studies in the last decade reported the successful use of tissue-specific promoters for directing the expression of exogenous genes in different mosquito target organs (primarily midgut, hemocoel and salivary glands), mainly in the yellow fever vector *Aedes aegypti* and in the Asian malaria vector *Anopheles stephensi* [4-7]. As far as the main African malaria vector *An. gambiae* is concerned, after the initial successful transformation [8] only one additional study with transgenic *An. gambiae* has been reported so far [9]. In both cases, low transformation efficiencies were observed.

One of our specific interests has been the analysis of An. gambiae salivary gland-specific promoters. We have previously analyzed the putative promoter regions of the An. gambiae salivary gland-specific D7-related 4 (D7r4) and apyrase (AgApy) genes in the fruitfly and in An. stephensi [10-12]. We reported that a short region (~800 bp) from the An. gambiae AgApy promoter was able to drive stageand tissue-specific expression of the reporter gene in transgenic An. stephensi. Compared to the endogenous expression pattern of the AgApy gene in An. gambiae, however, the level of expression in transgenic An. stephensi was low and the transgene was expressed in the proximal-lateral rather than distal-lateral lobes [12,13]. We concluded that additional regulatory information, possibly located upstream, was missing in the short fragment used. It was also clear that the putative An. gambiae promoter needed to be examined directly in this species before more firm conclusions could be drawn. In this context, we should mention that while this work was in progress, robust salivary gland specific expression of a reporter gene in An. stephensi was reported using a promoter fragment from the An. stephensi aapp gene [14].

## Methods

If not otherwise indicated, experimental procedures were according to Sambrook and colleagues [15]. The sequences of the oligonucleotide primers used in this study are listed in the Additional file 1.

## **Plasmid construction**

pBac(3xP3RED)AgApy was constructed by amplification of a 2454 bp fragment from the *AgApy* promoter followed by cloning into a shuttle bluescript-based vector upstream of the *E. coli LacZ* coding region and the bovine growth hormone (*bgh*) terminator. The resulting cassette was transferred into the pSLfa1180fa plasmid vector [16] and then inserted in the pBac [3xP3-DsRed] vector containing the *DsRed* coding sequence regulated by 3xP3 promoter and SV40 terminator [17]. The resulting pBac(3xP3RED)AgApy construct was purified using the QIAGEN Plasmid Midi kit (QIAGEN, Germany), sequenced and used for embryo microinjection after mixing with phspBac [18], ethanol precipitation and resuspension at 500 µg/ml (3.5:1.5 vector:transposase).

## An. gambiae germline transformation

Embryonic injections were performed essentially as described by Lobo N.F. and colleagues [19]. The detailed protocol used to perform *An. gambiae* embryos injections is reported in the Additional file 2.

## Southern hybridization

Eight micrograms of genomic DNA from each transgenic group were digested with *Hind*III, fractionated on a 0.8% agarose gel and transferred on to a nylon membrane. Hybridization and washings were performed at  $65^{\circ}$ C under high stringency conditions. The pBac probes (pBacL and pBacR, spanning the pBac arms) were obtained by PCR, whilst the *AgApy* 1,8 kbp promoter fragment used as probe was obtained by *EcoR*I digestion of the pBac(3xP3RED)AgApy transformation plasmid.

## RNA Extraction and RT-PCR

Total RNA was extracted using the TRIZOL Reagent (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA), treated with RNase free-DNaseI (Invitrogen) and approximately 80 ng used to synthesize cDNA for PCR amplification using the Super-Script one-step RT-PCR system (Invitrogen) according to manufacturer instructions. Reverse transcription (50°C, 30 minutes) and heat inactivation of the reverse transcriptase (94°C, 2 minutes) were followed by 25 (*rpS7* mRNA) or 35 (*LacZ* and *DsRed* mRNA) PCR cycles: 30 seconds at 94°C, 30 seconds at 55°C, 1 minute at 72°C. Control PCR amplifications without the reverse transcription step were also performed. All the reactions were performed at least twice using different batches of RNA preparations.

## **Results and discussion**

We describe here the *in vivo* analysis of a large 5' regulatory region taken from the *AgApy* gene of *An. gambiae*. A fragment of ~2.4 kb, including the short 5'UTR (16 nucleotides) of *AgApy* was ligated in front of the *LacZ* reporter gene and the *bgh* terminator. This expression cassette was inserted into the *3xP3/DsRed* marked *piggyBac* vector [17] and the resultant plasmid (Fig. 1A) used to generate transgenic *An. gambiae*.

In three injection periods carried out over consecutive days on egg batches taken from the same pool of blood fed adults, 796 embryos were injected. From these, 102 larvae hatched and 71  $G_0$  adults (8.9%, 35 males and 36



#### Figure I

**Transformation construct and Southern blot hybridization**. (A) Schematic representation of the transformation vector pBac(3xP3RED)AgApy used for the *An. gambiae* germ line transformation. The piggyBac left (pBacL) and right (pBacR) arms, the 3xP3 promoter, the *DsRed* transformation marker, the SV40 terminator, the *AgApy* promoter, the *LacZ* reporter gene and the *bgh* terminator are shown. Bars represent the hybridization probes: probe B, hybridizing to the left and right inverted terminal repeats, and probe P, corresponding to a fragment of the *AgApy* promoter. E and H indicate *Eco*RI and *Hind*I restriction sites. (B and C) *Hind*III digested genomic DNA from the different *An. gambiae* transgenic lines are indicated on the top. (B) Hybridization with probe B (Fig. 1A), which detects the piggyBac arms: each insertion is expected to yield two bands of variable size. (C) Hybridization with probe P (Fig. 1A), which detects the *AgApy* promoter: here, each insertion is expected to yield four bands of fixed size irrespective of transgene copy number, two from the endogenous *AgApy* gene (end) and two from the transgene (tra). The numbers on the left refer to the molecular weight marker (Kbp).

females) emerged (Table 1). Selection of transformants was carried out following mating  $G_0$  adults in small groups. Briefly, according to the day of emergence five male and three female pools (A to H) were established and out-crossed to the parental wild type strain. Screening

of the  $G_1$  progeny for *DsRed* expression in early larval stages allowed the identification of two pools yielding fluorescent progeny: pool D (15  $G_0$  females) and pool E (8  $G_0$  males) (Table 2). After the first oviposition, the nine surviving females of group D were forced to lay eggs indi-

Table 1: Inj	ected embryos,	hatched larvae	and <b>G</b> <sub>0</sub> adults
--------------	----------------	----------------	----------------------------------

Injection	Embryos	Larvae	G <sub>0</sub> adults
Inj. I	184	38 (20,6%)	17
Inj. 2	336	18 (5,3%)	14
Inj. 3	276	46 (16,6%)	40
Total	796	102 (12,8%)	71 (8,9%)

vidually, allowing the identification within this pool of at least two independent founders. In total, groups D and E yielded 48 fluorescent larvae out of approximately 1000 screened (~4.8%) and 223 fluorescent larvae out of ~3500 screened (~6.3%), respectively (Table 2). G<sub>1</sub> progenies were grouped considering the different depositions and the independent founders, when possible (D group), and then were out-crossed to the wt strain. Single ovipositions from fifteen females of the different G<sub>1</sub> groups were screened in order to identify, if present, different insertions. Indeed, the pattern and the intensity of the red fluorescence in G<sub>2</sub> individuals showed variability between and within pools D and E, suggesting the presence of different integration events, in terms of both position and/or number (data not shown).

Southern blot hybridization was performed on  $G_3$  progeny from each of the 15 transgenic groups. This analysis distinguished 13 different genotypes, the majority (8 out of 13) of which corresponded to multiple insertions (Fig. 1B). More specifically, five lines (D2, D5, D7, D8 and E9 = E11) showed a single integration of the transgene; four groups (D1, D3, E10 and E14) carried a double integration; three groups (D6, E12 and E13 = E15) included three copies of the transgene and one (D4) had four or more integrations. Hybridization with a labeled region of the *AgApy* promoter (probe P, Fig. 1A) indicated the presence

Table	2:	Mating	and	screening	strategy

Pools G <sub>0</sub> *	f/wt G <sub>I</sub> §
A (4 M)	0/1800 (3)
B (5 F)	0/800 (3)
C (7 M)	0/3000 (3)
D (15 F)	48/1000 (2)
E (8 M)	223/3500 (3)
F (9 M)	0/4000 (3)
G (16 F)	0/700 (3)
H (7 M)	0/2400 (3)
Total (71: 35M, 36F)	271/16700

\* Mating groups (A-H) and number of  $G_0$  males (M) or females (F) per group are indicated.

 $^{\S}$  Number of fluorescent (f) and wild type (wt) G<sub>1</sub> larvae found during the screening. The number of ovipositions per each mating group is indicated in brackets.

of fragments of the expected size both for the endogenous and recombinant *AgApy* promoter (Fig. 1C) in virtually all cases. The only exception was line D2 in which the recombinant promoter was not detected, suggesting that transgene rearrangement, involving loss of this region, most likely took place. In the remaining 14 genotypes, the expected correlation between transgene copy number, as estimated from the total of transposon arms (Fig. 1B), and intensity of signal corresponding to the recombinant *AgApy* promoter (Fig. 1C), was observed.

Three transgenic groups carrying alternative numbers and sites of transgene insertion were selected for further analysis: the E9 line, with a single insertion, and groups D4 and D6, carrying multiple copies of the transgene. It should be noted that the selection of groups was influenced significantly by the loss, shortly after initial selection, of a number of the thirteen genotypes originally obtained.

Analysis of the three transgenic lines revealed that betagalactosidase activity was not detectable using colorimetric assays in either salivary glands or carcasses of adult females. In addition, immuno-staining of whole female salivary glands and western blot analysis of salivary gland extracts both failed to detect beta-galactosidase protein (data not shown).

*LacZ* reporter gene expression analysis was therefore performed by RT-PCR. Initially, the primers LacZF1 and LacZR2, previously employed to characterize *LacZ* expression in transgenic *An. stephensi*, were used [12]. However, amplifications indicated significantly lower expression levels in transgenic *An. gambiae* (see Additional file 3), explaining also the inability to detect beta-galactosidase activity or protein in these lines. For this reason, a novel, better performing primers pair (LBF and LBR) was selected and employed for the following RT-PCR amplifications.

Stage and tissue expression analysis indicated that each of the three transgenic families analyzed exhibited a different temporal and spatial expression pattern of reporter gene expression (Fig. 2). Only in group D6 (carrying three copies of the transgene), were LacZ transcripts detected specifically in the adult female salivary glands and not in the female carcasses. However, reporter gene expression was also observed in males, indicating that sex-specificity of expression was not conserved. In group D4, which carries multiple copies of the transgene, LacZ transcripts were detected in all developmental stages. As such, it is likely that at least one transgene copy comes under the effect of surrounding genomic region, which confers a constitutive pattern of expression. In E9 line, carrying a single insertion, the LacZ gene was found highly expressed during larval and pupal stages and also clearly detectable in adult



#### Figure 2

**Developmental- and tissue-specific** *LacZ* expression analysis of three *An. gambiae* transgenic lines. Total RNA from wild-type and transgenic mosquitoes was used to synthesize cDNA which was then amplified by PCR; as a control, PCR amplification of RNA templates without Reverse Transcriptase treatment was performed. The transgenic lines/families analyzed are indicated on the left. LacZ, RT-PCR amplification with *LacZ-bghT* specific primers, 35 cycles; rpS7, RT-PCR amplification with *rpS7*-specific primers, 25 cycles in order to keep the amplification below the saturation level; control, PCR amplification with *LacZ-bghT*-specific primers, 35 cycles. L, third and fourth instar larvae; P, pupae; sg, adult female salivary glands; c, carcasses (whole female body without salivary glands); m, males.

males, whereas expression in female salivary glands was barely detectable. This expression pattern is also probably conferred by 'position effect' of transgene insertion.

In conclusion, we report the efficient genetic transformation of *An. gambiae* and the characterization of an extended regulatory region of the salivary gland-specific *AgApy* gene. The transformation frequency (i.e. the percentage of  $G_0$  survivors producing fluorescent offspring) was estimated between 4 and 18% taking into consideration the potential occurrence of integration events early or late during germ-line development and the possible segregation of multiple insertions on different chromosomes. Since 71 G<sub>0</sub> adults were batch mated, a minimum of 3 founders (two from group D and one from group E) identified and 13 distinct genotypes differentiated by Southern analysis, we calculated that from 3 to 13 independent integration events might have occurred. This transformation frequency is significantly higher as compared to those previously reported in primary research articles respectively by Kim W. and colleagues (1.2%, with 2 independent insertions out of 163 G<sub>0</sub> adults) and Grossman G.L. and colleagues (0.6%, with only one transgenic founder out of 172 G<sub>0</sub> crossed) [8,9]. Indeed, our report represents the first research paper validating the improvements and modifications introduced in the last few years and reviewed by Lobo N.F. and colleagues, where a transformation frequency range between 5 and 17% is observed [19]. We should also note the high number of multiple integrations obtained in our experiment (eight out of thirteen transgenic pedigrees). Insertion of multiple copies of the transgene is not always desirable because it can complicate line analysis and interpretation of the results, particularly since advanced genetic tools such as balancer chromosomes are not available for mosquitoes. It is widely documented that arthropod transformation by piggyBac yields multiple genomic insertions of the transgene [20]. The variability of its occurrence in different transformation experiments may depend from several factors and, among these, a primary role may be played by the ratio between transposon and helper plasmid and the timing (and temperature) of injections in relation to embryo development. However, there appears to be no simple correlation between transposon/helper ratio and occurrence of multiple insertions in the transformation experiments of anopheline mosquitoes documented to date (see Additional file 4).

Several hypotheses can be made to explain the lack of correspondence between the endogenous expression profile of the AgApy gene and the weak expression achieved in our transgenic mosquitoes (e.g. the construct misses enhancers or other regulatory regions, transcript instability or poor translational level), however it would remain speculative. Certainly, the results obtained both in An. stephensi [12] and in An. gambiae indicate that the control of sexand tissue-specific expression by the AgApy promoter is more complex than originally anticipated. The selection of a longer portion of the AgApy promoter in comparison to the one analyzed in An. stephensi mosquitoes and the genetic transformation of the endogenous organism did not improve the efficacy of the putative AgApy promoter in transgenic insects. In particular, while a basal stage- and tissue-specific transcriptional activity is observed at least in one An. gambiae transgenic family, elements able to confer the typical strong and female-specific expression profile are again lacking. In conclusion, the AgApy salivary

gland promoter described here, which is the only one examined in *An. gambiae* so far, was not capable to drive the expected strong tissue-specificity of expression, although it may be still useful when low levels of expression of the transgene are needed. Further efforts have to be addressed toward the identification and characterization of a strong salivary glands specific promoter in transgenic *An. gambiae*. The use of classical transposon-mediated approach in combination with insulators [21] or with site-specific integrases [22] to minimize variation produced by position effect would enhance research focused on this topic.

## **Competing interests**

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## **Authors' contributions**

FL, GJL, BA, AL and MC participated in the design of the study and of the experiments. FL, GJL and AL performed the experiments. FL, GJL and BA analyzed the data. FL, GJL and BA wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Additional material

## Additional file 1

**Primers list**. This file contains the nucleotide sequences of the primers used in the work. Click here for file [http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-2-24-S1.pdf]

## Additional file 2

**An. gambiae** *embryos injection protocol*. In this file is reported the detailed protocol adopted in this work to microinject An. gambiae embryos.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-2-24-S2.pdf]

## Additional file 3

**LacZ expression analysis in transgenic adult mosquitoes**. In this file is documented the comparison by RT-PCR between LacZ expression in transgenic An. gambiae and in transgenic An. stephensi mosquitoes, transformed with a shorter fragment of the same AgApy promoter.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-2-24-S3.pdf]

## Additional file 4

piggyBac-mediated genetic transformation of Anophelinae mosquitoes. A table listing the reports to date available in literature of genetic transformation of mosquitoes of the sub-family Anophelinae with piggy-Bac-based constructs is presented. Essential features from each transormation analysis are compared and references are indicated.

Click here for file

[http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1756-0500-2-24-S4.pdf]

## Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to F.C. Kafatos for the hospitality to F. Lombardo in his lab and for sharing the mosquito transformation facilities. We also wish to thank M. Calzetta for technical help with the mosquito colonies. A. Lanfrancotti was supported by Compagnia di San Paolo-IMI (Torino Italy). F. Lombardo was in part supported by the Fondazione "Istituto Pasteur-Cenci Bolognetti". This work is part of the activities of the BioMalPar European Network of Excellence supported by a European grant (LSHP-CT-2004-503578) from the Priority I "Life Sciences, Genomics and Biotechnology for Health" in the 6th Framework Programme.

#### References

- Matuschewski K: Getting infectious: formation and maturation of Plasmodium sporozoites in the Anopheles vector. Cell Microbiol 2006, 8(10):1547-1556.
- Jacobs-Lorena M: Interrupting malaria transmission by genetic manipulation of anopheline mosquitoes. J Vector Borne Dis 2003, 40(3-4):73-77.
- 3. James AA: Blocking malaria parasite invasion of mosquito salivary glands. J Exp Biol 2003, 206(Pt 21):3817-3821.
- Catteruccia F, Nolan T, Loukeris TG, Blass C, Savakis C, Kafatos FC, Crisanti A: Stable germline transformation of the malaria mosquito Anopheles stephensi. Nature 2000, 405(6789):959-962.
- Coates CJ, Jasinskiene N, Miyashiro L, James AA: Mariner transposition and transformation of the yellow fever mosquito, Aedes aegypti. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1998, 95(7):3748-3751.
- Kokoza V, Ahmed A, Wimmer EA, Raikhel AS: Efficient transformation of the yellow fever mosquito Aedes aegypti using the piggyBac transposable element vector pBac[3xP3-EGFP afm]. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 2001, 31(12):1137-1143.
- 7. Lycett GJ, Kafatos FC, Loukeris TG: Conditional expression in the malaria mosquito Anopheles stephensi with Tet-On and Tet-Off systems. *Genetics* 2004, 167(4):1781-1790.
- Grossman GL, Rafferty CS, Clayton JR, Stevens TK, Mukabayire O, Benedict MQ: Germline transformation of the malaria vector, Anopheles gambiae, with the piggyBac transposable element. Insect Mol Biol 2001, 10(6):597-604.
- Kim W, Koo H, Richman AM, Seeley D, Vizioli J, Klocko AD, O'Brochta DA: Ectopic expression of a cecropin transgene in the human malaria vector mosquito Anopheles gambiae (Diptera: Culicidae): effects on susceptibility to Plasmodium. J Med Entomol 2004, 41(3):447-455.
- Arcà B, Lombardo F, Lanfrancotti A, Spanos L, Veneri M, Louis C, Coluzzi M: A cluster of four D7-related genes is expressed in the salivary glands of the African malaria vector Anopheles gambiae. Insect Mol Biol 2002, 11(1):47-55.
- Lombardo F, Di Cristina M, Spanos L, Louis C, Coluzzi M, Arcà B: Promoter sequences of the putative Anopheles gambiae apyrase confer salivary gland expression in Drosophila melanogaster. J Biol Chem 2000, 275(31):23861-23868.
- Lombardo F, Nolan T, Lycett G, Lanfrancotti A, Stich N, Catteruccia F, Louis C, Coluzzi M, Arcà B: An Anopheles gambiae salivary gland promoter analysis in Drosophila melanogaster and Anopheles stephensi. Insect Mol Biol 2005, 14(2):207-216.
- Arcà B, Lombardo F, de Lara Capurro M, della Torre A, Dimopoulos G, James AA, Coluzzi M: Trapping cDNAs encoding secreted proteins from the salivary glands of the malaria vector Anopheles gambiae. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 1999, 96(4):1516-1521.
- Yoshida S, Watanabe H: Robust salivary gland-specific transgene expression in Anopheles stephensi mosquito. Insect Mol Biol 2006, 15(4):403-410.
- Sambrook J, Fritsh EF, Maniatis Te, (eds.): Molecular Cloning: A Laboratory Manual. 2nd edition. Cold Spring Harbor Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY; 1989.
- Horn C, Wimmer EA: A versatile vector set for animal transgenesis. Dev Genes Evol 2000, 210(12):630-637.
- Horn C, Schmid BG, Pogoda FS, Wimmer EA: Fluorescent transformation markers for insect transgenesis. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 2002, 32(10):1221-1235.
- Handler AM, Harrell RA 2nd: Germline transformation of Drosophila melanogaster with the piggyBac transposon vector. Insect Mol Biol 1999, 8(4):449-457.

- Lobo NF, Clayton JR, Fraser MJ, Kafatos FC, Collins FH: High efficiency germ-line transformation of mosquitoes. Nat Protoc 2006, 1(3):1312-1317.
- Handler ÁM: Use of the piggyBac transposon for germ-line transformation of insects. Insect Biochem Mol Biol 2002, 32(10):1211-1220.
- Sarkar A, Atapattu A, Belikoff EJ, Heinrich JC, Li X, Horn C, Wimmer EA, Scott MJ: Insulated piggyBac vectors for insect transgenesis. BMC Biotechnol 2006, 6:27.
- Nimmo DD, Alphey L, Meredith JM, Eggleston P: High efficiency site-specific genetic engineering of the mosquito genome. Insect Mol Biol 2006, 15(2):129-136.

