Manuel W Hetzel et al.

Insecticide-treated nets and malaria prevalence, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014

Manuel W Hetzel,[a] Justin Pulford,[b] Yangta Ura,[c] Sharon Jamea-Maiasa,[c] Anthony Tandrapah,[c] Nandao Tarongka,* Lina Lorry,[c] Leanne J Robinson,[c] Ken Lilley,[d] Leo Makita,[e] Peter M Siba[c] & Ivo Mueller[f]

[a] Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Socinstrasse 57, Basel, 4002, Switzerland.

[b] Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom.

[c] Deceased, formerly, Papua New Guinea Institute of Medical Research, Goroka, Papua New Guinea.

[d] Australian Army Malaria Institute, Enoggera, Australia.

[e] National Department of Health, Waigani, Papua New Guinea.

[f] Walter and Eliza Hall Institute of Medical Research, Parkville, Australia.

* Deceased, formerly, Papua New Guinea Institute of Medical Research, Goroka, Papua New Guinea.

Correspondence to Manuel W. Hetzel (email: manuel.hetzel@swisstph.ch)

Abstract

Objective To investigate changes in malaria prevalence in Papua New Guinea after the distribution of long-lasting Insecticide-treated nets, starting in 2004, and the introduction of artemisinin-based combination therapy in 2011.

Methods Two malaria surveys were conducted in 2010–2011 and 2013–2014. They included 77 and 92 randomly selected villages, respectively. In each village, all members of 30 randomly selected households gave blood samples and were assessed for malaria infection by light microscopy. In addition, data were obtained from a malaria survey performed in 2008–2009.

Results The prevalence of malaria below 1600 m in altitude decreased from 11.1% (95% confidence interval, CI: 8.5–14.3) in 2008–2009 to 5.1% (95% CI 3.6–7.4) in 2010–2011 and 0.9% (95% CI 0.6–1.5) in 2013–2014. Prevalence decreased with altitude. *Plasmodium falciparum* was more common than *P. vivax* overall, but not everywhere, and initially the prevalence of *P. vivax* infection decreased more slowly than *P. falciparum* infection. Malaria infections were clustered in households. In contrast to findings in 2008–2009, no significant association between net use and prevalence was found in the later two surveys. The prevalence of both fever and splenomegaly also decreased but their association with malaria infection became stronger.

Conclusion Large-scale insecticide-treated net distribution was associated with an unprecedented decline in malaria prevalence throughout Papua New Guinea, including epidemic-prone highland areas. The decline was accompanied by broader health benefits, such as decreased morbidity. Better clinical management of nonmalarial fever and research into residual malaria transmission are required.

Introduction

Historically, malaria has been endemic throughout Papua New Guinea, except in highland areas over 1600 m, where temperatures are low and there is no stable local transmission, though imported cases and epidemics do occur.[1–3] The causative parasites *Plasmodium falciparum*, *Plasmodium vivax, Plasmodium malariae* and *Plasmodium ovale* are transmitted by various *Anopheles* mosquito species adapted to distinct ecological niches.[4] The epidemiology of malaria in the country and, consequently, its control are complex due to the number of parasite and mosquito species present, the variety of mosquito behaviour, the diversity of the natural environment and operational difficulties.

Since 2004, the country's national malaria control programme has been supported by the Global Fund to Fight AIDS, Tuberculosis and Malaria. National campaigns were organized to distributed free, long-lasting insecticide-treated nets at the household level and, since late 2011, malaria rapid diagnostics tests, improved diagnostic microscopy and artemisinin-based combination therapy have increasingly been provided at public and church-run health-care facilities.[5,6]

In 2008–2009, towards the end of the first insecticide-treated net campaign, the Papua New Guinean Institute of Medical Research conducted a country-wide malaria indicator survey. It documented that 65% of households in areas covered by the campaign owned long-lasting insecticide-treated nets and that 33% of people were using them.[5] In addition, malaria was found to be widespread, with a heterogeneous prevalence.[7] Light microscopy diagnosis indicated that *P. falciparum* was the most common species, followed by *P. vivax*, which dominated in several locations. A few *P. malariae* infections were found but *P. ovale* was not detected in any sample. Within 1 year of the initial insecticide-treated net campaign, a significant reduction in the prevalence, incidence and transmission of malaria was documented at selected sites, even though insect vectors tended to feed outdoors.[8] However, entomological investigations indicated that biting patterns and changes in these patterns may reduce the impact of vector control.[9]

Subsequent national malaria surveys conducted by the Papua New Guinean Institute of Medical Research in 2010–2011 and 2013–2014 to evaluate the national malaria control programme provided evidence that coverage with long-lasting insecticide-treated nets had increased.[6,10] Here, we present data on malaria prevalence from these follow-up surveys and analyse changes relative to the baseline survey of 2008–2009.

Methods

National malaria surveys were conducted from November 2010 to August 2011 and from November 2013 to August 2014. In both, five villages were randomly selected from each of the country's 20 provinces – organized in four regions – using a list of villages identified in the 2000 national census – the most up-to-date.[11] Not all provinces or selected villages could be included because of problems with access and security. The pre-2012 province structure was adopted to ensure comparability over time: Hela Province was considered part of Southern Highlands Province and Jiwaka Province, part of Western Highlands Province. For each village, the survey team leader selected a random sample of 30 households using a list compiled by village leaders. All members of sampled households were eligible for inclusion. The sample size, which took into account financial and operational constraints, was adequate for detecting a 25% reduction in parasitaemia from 2008–2009 to 2010–2011 at the regional level at a 95% level of significance with 80% power. The first survey in 2008–2009, whose results are presented for comparison, included villages from only districts covered by the long-lasting insecticide-treated net campaign but the method of selecting households and their members was identical to that in subsequent surveys.[7]

Data were collected using an adapted Malaria Indicator Survey questionnaire.[12] Household heads provided details of each household member's demographic characteristics and coverage by malaria interventions. A capillary blood sample was collected by finger-stick from each available, consenting household member aged over 5 months. Trained study nurses prepared one thick and one thin blood film for light microscopy. The haemoglobin concentration was measured using a portable HemoCue Hb 201$^+$ photometric analyser (HemoCue AB, Ängelholm, Sweden). Symptomatic household members were offered a malaria rapid diagnostic test and treatment or referral to the nearest health-care facility, where appropriate. Axillary temperature was measured using an electronic thermometer and children aged between 2 and 9 years had their spleen palpated. Each patient's blood sample was accompanied by information on recent travel. The locations of the survey villages were determined using a hand-held Garmin eTrex Global Positioning System device (Garmin Ltd., Olathe, United States of America).

Malaria was diagnosed by light microscopy at the Papua New Guinean Institute of Medical Research following established procedures.[7,13] Each slide was examined independently by two microscopists, each viewing a minimum of 200 thick film fields. Slides with discordant results were examined by a third microscopist, who was certified at World Health Organization (WHO) level 1 or 2. A slide was considered positive for malaria if

judged positive by at least two microscopists. For the 2010–2011 survey, additional assessments of unclear species identifications were performed at the Australian Army Malaria Institute in Australia by WHO-certified level-1 malaria microscopists. The number of parasites per 200 white blood cells was determined. The study was approved by the Papua New Guinea Medical Research Advisory Committee (MRAC no. 07.30 and no. 10.12).

Data analysis

Measures of the prevalence of malaria infection and morbidity were age-standardized using the standard population for Asia given by the International Network for the Demographic Evaluation of Populations and Their Health (INDEPTH).[14] Results are presented separately for villages below 1600 m in altitude and include comparisons with data from the 2008–2009 survey. For villages at 1600 m or higher, we compared data from the 2010–2011 and 2013–2014 surveys only as the 2008–2009 survey included few highland villages. To account for stratified sampling, national estimates were weighted, as described elsewhere.[5] Splenomegaly was defined as a palpable spleen (i.e. Hackett grade 1 to 5) and anaemia was defined according to WHO recommendations, which include age-specific cut-offs and altitude corrections.[15] Living in a high-quality house served as a proxy for having both good sanitation and a relatively high socioeconomic status, as defined elsewhere.[10] Binary variables were compared using χ^2 tests and logistic regression, and non-normally distributed variables were compared using the non-parametric Mann–Whitney U test. Data analyses were conducted using Stata/IC v. 14.0 (StataCorp LP., College Station, USA) and the survey design was taken into account by using the svy – Stata's set of commands for survey data analysis.

Results

In the 2010–2011 survey, blood samples were collected from 10 060 individuals. Of the 77 villages included, 58 (75.3%) were below 1200 m in altitude, 5 (6.5%) were between 1200 and 1599 m and 14 (18.2%), with 1539 participants, were at 1600 m or higher (Table 1). In the 2013–2014 survey, blood samples were collected from 8408 individuals. Of the 92 villages included, 66 (71.7%) were below 1200 m, 4 (4.3%) were between 1200 and 1599 m and 22 (23.9%), with 1536 participants, were at 1600 m or higher (Table 1). The small number of villages at intermediate altitudes reflects the population distribution in Papua New Guinea.[1] The age distribution of survey participants is shown in Table 2 (available at: http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/95/##/##-######). In the 2010–2011 survey, the participants' median age was 19 years (interquartile range, IQR: 8–36): 14.1% (1418/10 060) were aged under 5 years and 52.8% (5290/10 028) were female. In the 2013–2014 survey, the

comparable figures were 22 years (IQR: 9–38), 11.7% (985/8408) and 52.3% (4363/8348), respectively.

Malaria prevalence

Nationally, in villages below 1600 m in altitude, the age-standardized prevalence of malaria, as diagnosed by light microscopy, decreased significantly from 11.1% (95% confidence interval, CI: 8.5–14.3) in 2008–2009 to 5.1% (95% CI: 3.6–7.4) in 2010–2011 ($P < 0.001$) and to 0.9% (95% CI: 0.6–1.5) in 2013–2014 ($P < 0.001$). The prevalence of *P. falciparum* infection was higher than that of *P. vivax* infection in all surveys (Table 3). There was no evidence of *P. ovale* in any sample. For individual *Plasmodium* species, the difference in infection prevalence between subsequent surveys was significant at a *P*-value ≤ 0.001 for all comparisons except for *P. malariae* infection, for which the *P*-value for the difference between subsequent surveys was < 0.05, and for mixed *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* infection between the 2008–2009 and 2010–2011 surveys, where the decrease was not significant.

Between the 2008–2009 and 2010–2011 surveys, an increase in the prevalence of *P. vivax* infection was noted in two of the country's four regions (Fig. 1) and in several provinces (Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, both available at: http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/95/##/##-######), which led to a decrease in the ratio of *P. falciparum* to *P. vivax* infection. However, the prevalence of infection by both species decreased in all provinces between 2010–2011 and 2013–2014. In 2013–2014, no parasites were detected in any sample from 11 of the 19 provinces surveyed (Table 4, available at: http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/95/##/##-######).

In highland villages at 1600 m and above, the age-standardized prevalence of malaria decreased from 0.7% (95% CI: 0.3–1.2) in 2010–2011 to 0.1% (95% CI: 0–0.7) in 2013–2014 ($P = 0.004$). In the 2010–2011 survey, the prevalence of *P. falciparum* infection was higher than that of *P. vivax* infection in highland villages: 0.6% (95% CI: 0.3–1.1) and 0.1% (95% CI: 0–0.7), respectively. In the 2013–2014 survey, the prevalence of *P. falciparum* infection was 0.1% (95% CI: 0–0.4), whereas no *P. vivax* infections were detected. Moreover, no *P. malariae*, *P. ovale* or mixed infections were found.

Predictors of infection

Regression analysis findings are presented in Table 5. Univariable logistic regression found that, in 2010–2011, malaria infection was significantly less likely above 1600 m (odds ratio, OR: 0.15; 95% CI: 0.06–0.38); in 2013–2014, the corresponding OR was 0.04 (95% CI: 0.00–0.33). The prevalence of infection below 1600 m was significantly lower in older

individuals in all years (Fig. 4): $P < 0.001$ for the 2008–2009 and 2010–2011 surveys and $P = 0.03$ for the 2013–2014 survey. For *P. falciparum* infection, the peak prevalence shifted to a younger age between 2008–2009 and 2010–2011 but there was no corresponding change for *P. vivax* infection (Fig. 5, available at: http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/95/##/##-######). In 2013–2014, the difference in the prevalence of *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* infection between age groups was not significant. However, 62.7% (95% CI: 42.2–79.4) of *P. vivax* infections and 9.1% (95% CI: 3.4–22.0) of *P. falciparum* infections occurred in children aged under 5 years.

Long-lasting insecticide-treated nets were used by 32.5% (95% CI: 27.0–38.4) of the population nationally in 2008–2009,[5] by 48.3% (95% CI: 41.8–54.9) in 2010–2011[10] and by 53.9% (95% CI: 49.4–58.4) in 2013–2014.[16] In the 2008–2009 survey, a significant association was found between net use and a lower risk of malaria infection (adjusted odds ratio, aOR: 0.64; 95% CI: 0.54–0.76).[7] However, no corresponding association was found in the 2010–2011 survey (aOR: 1.09; 95% CI: 0.82–1.45), in an analysis that adjusted for altitude, age and housing quality, or in the 2013–2014 survey (aOR: 1.08; 95% CI: 0.64–1.82), in an analysis that adjusted for altitude and age (Table 5). In 2010–2011, people living in high-quality houses were significantly less likely to be infected (aOR: 0.25; 95% CI: 0.08–0.79). Malaria cases were clustered in households. Univariable analysis found that, in 2010–2011, the odds of infection were over 26 times higher for individuals living with an infected person than for those who were not (OR: 25.65; 95% CI: 16.18–40.67); in 2013–2014, the odds were over 77 times higher (OR: 77.16; 95% CI: 41.61–143.09). In 2010–2011, 47% of malaria-infected individuals lived in a household with another infected person; in 2013–2014, the corresponding proportion was 25%.

Morbidity

In all surveys, individuals infected with malaria were significantly more likely than those without to report a recent fever episode, to show symptoms of acute fever (i.e. an axillary temperature over 37.5 °C), to be anaemic or, in those aged 2 to 9 years, to have splenomegaly ($P < 0.01$ for all). Although the proportion of the population with a recent history of fever decreased over time (Fig. 6), the association between malaria infection and a recent history of fever became stronger, particularly after 2010: the OR adjusted for age was 2.05 (95% CI: 1.41–2.99) in 2008–2009, 2.57 (95% CI: 1.74–3.81) in 2010–2011 and 12.34 (95% CI: 4.56–33.33) in 2013–2014. In 2013–2014, 37.4% of all infected individuals reported a recent fever episode (Fig. 6) and 3.7% had an acute fever (Fig. 7, available at: http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/95/##/##-######). The prevalence of splenomegaly in

participants aged 2 to 9 years also decreased over time (Fig. 8) and again the association with infection tended to become stronger: the OR adjusted for age was 4.72 (95% CI: 2.38–9.34) in 2008–2009, 10.0 (95% CI: 5.10–19.60) in 2010–2011 and 21.84 (95% CI: 5.52–88.46) in 2013–2014. The prevalence of anaemia remained high over time and increased between 2010–2011 and 2013–2014 (Fig. 9 and Fig. 10, both available at: http://www.who.int/bulletin/volumes/95/##/##-######). Independent of the effect of malaria infection, in 2013–2014, anaemia was significantly associated with residing in a village below 1200 m in altitude (aOR: 8.63; 95% CI: 6.66–11.18), age under 5 years (aOR: 4.38; 95% CI: 2.96–6.46) and female sex (aOR: 1.54; 95% CI: 1.35–1.75).

Discussion

Within 5 years, the prevalence of malaria in Papua New Guinea decreased from 11.1% to 0.9% and during 2013–2014 no parasites were detected by light microscopy in most provinces. This is a greater reduction than the 26% observed in Africa between 2000 and 2016.[17] Moreover, the prevalence in 2014 was lower than that in other countries in the Asia–Pacific region, including the neighbouring Papua province of Indonesia.[18–21] An initial shift towards proportionally more *P. vivax* than *P. falciparum* infections appeared to be transient and was followed by a clear reduction in both species, as observed elsewhere.[22,23] These trends are in line with previously documented declines in malaria following the introduction of long-lasting insecticide-treated nets.[8,9,24] Provinces in which no malaria parasites were found should not to be considered malaria-free because, as parasite density decreases, an increasing proportion of infections becomes submicroscopic,[13,18,25] particularly if transmission decreases faster than the loss of immunity. In three provinces with zero prevalence, rapid diagnostic tests found that people with fever who had not left the province had a current or recent infection. Consequently, maintaining a high level of intervention coverage is crucial for avoiding resurgence. The notion that climatic change might have increased malaria in the highlands could not be substantiated.[26] In locations above 1600 m, malaria prevalence was lower in 2010–2011 and 2013–2014 than between 2000 and 2005.[2] The protective effect of insecticide-treated nets in both the highlands and lowlands, from where infections are often imported,[27] may have outweighed the impact of changing weather patterns or increased people movement. Unlike in previous years,[2,3] *P. falciparum* was the dominant species in the highlands.

The prevalence of fever and splenomegaly declined with that of parasite infection. However, the association between infection and symptoms became stronger over time,

perhaps because the proportion of microscopically detectable infections that were symptomatic increased as transmission and immunity declined. The decrease in splenomegaly was most marked, which may reflect a reduction in chronic malaria infection.[7] On the other hand, anaemia remained common, indicating that the cause is multifactorial.[28] Anaemia may not, therefore, be useful for monitoring rapid changes in malaria prevalence.[29] As severe anaemia, in particular, affects children's health and development, its causes and appropriate mitigating measures should be investigated.[28,30]

Between 2004 and 2012, the distribution of insecticide-treated nets to households was the only large-scale malaria intervention in Papua New Guinea.[10] The baseline survey demonstrated a strong negative association between net coverage and malaria prevalence.[7] In the absence of other factors, such as major economic developments or a prolonged drought,[9] it is plausible that the drop in prevalence between the 2008–2009 and 2010–2011 surveys resulted from increased provision of nets and measures promoting their use. The lack of an association between net use and malaria prevalence in the last two surveys may have been due to factors such as outdoor biting, which sustained disease transmission, and the mass effect of net use on all community members.[31] With our survey design, it was not possible to quantify the relative contributions of net use and artemisinin-based combination therapy to the reduction in prevalence. Combination therapy was introduced in November 2011 and, by late 2012, was available at approximately half of health-care facilities.[32] Nevertheless, although the treatment's gametocidal effect can reduce transmission from patients, its prophylactic effect is limited. Moreover, in 2014, only 45% of patients with confirmed or suspected malaria who attended health-care facilities were treated with artemisinin-based combination therapy,[33] which corresponds to a population coverage of 19% at best. The community benefits of combination therapy can be maximized by prompt diagnosis and treatment.[34] With decreasing malaria prevalence, clinicians across Papua New Guinea should be encouraged to administer antimalarials only to people with a positive test result, which has proven to be a safe approach,[35] and to thoroughly investigate the causes of nonmalarial fevers. Better guidance on differential diagnosis and on fever management is warranted.[36]

We found that individuals cohabiting with another infected person were more likely to carry parasites, possibly due to similar exposure patterns. There were fewer infections in high-quality houses occupied by better-off households, possibly because of economic factors or the building's structure or location – most high-quality houses were in urban areas. Earlier studies in Papua New Guinea found conflicting evidence of the impact of housing, namely raised structures, on mosquito exposure.[37,38] As indoor exposure to malaria vectors has been reduced

by nets, people's outdoor behaviour may be an increasingly important determinant of exposure as many vectors tend to bite outdoors.[9,39] The investigation of residual malaria transmission is crucial for eliminating the disease and should take into account human and mosquito behaviour patterns, including the distribution of different *Anopheles* populations, their biting preferences and their susceptibility to interventions.[8,9,37]

In our study, we used age-standardization to account for differences in the age-composition of participants between surveys and between participants who gave blood samples and the general population. However, as the 2008–2009 survey included only districts where nets were distributed, the national prevalence of parasite infection may have been underestimated. Data from sentinel sites showed that the prevalence after net distribution was 4.8% compared with 15.7% before.[8] In addition, the estimated prevalence in the 2010–2011 and 2013–2014 surveys may have been too low because, due to security concerns, they excluded West New Britain Province, where the prevalence is traditionally high.[40]

In conclusion, increased use of long-lasting insecticide-treated nets in Papua New Guinea was associated with a rapid and significant decline in malaria prevalence – the lowest prevalence ever recorded was in 2013–2014. The decline also occurred in the epidemic-prone highlands. Light microscopy showed that *P. falciparum* remained more common than *P. vivax*. Declining prevalence was accompanied by broader health benefits, such as decreased morbidity. However, nonmalarial fever now requires better clinical management. Research into the drivers of residual malaria transmission and the burden and role of submicroscopic parasite infection are crucial for better targeting of interventions and for eliminating the disease.

Competing interests:

None declared.

References

1. Müller I, Bockarie M, Alpers M, Smith T. The epidemiology of malaria in Papua New Guinea. Trends Parasitol. 2003 Jun;19(6):253–9. PMID:12798082 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1471-4922(03)00091-6

2. Betuela I, Maraga S, Hetzel MW, Tandrapah T, Sie A, Yala S, et al. Epidemiology of malaria in the Papua New Guinean highlands. Trop Med Int Health. 2012 Oct;17(10):1181–91. PMID:22925472 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-3156.2012.03062.x

3. Mueller I, Namuigi P, Kundi J, Ivivi R, Tandrapah T, Bjorge S, et al. Epidemic malaria in the highlands of Papua New Guinea. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2005 May;72(5):554–60. PMID:15891129

4. Cooper RD, Waterson DG, Frances SP, Beebe NW, Pluess B, Sweeney AW. Malaria vectors of Papua New Guinea. Int J Parasitol. 2009 Nov;39(13):1495–501. PMID:19505467 http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpara.2009.05.009

5. Hetzel MW, Gideon G, Lote N, Makita L, Siba PM, Mueller I. Ownership and usage of mosquito nets after four years of large-scale free distribution in Papua New Guinea. Malar J. 2012 06 10;11(1):192. PMID:22682111 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-11-192

6. Hetzel MW, Pulford J, Maraga S, Barnadas C, Reimer LJ, Tavul L, et al. Evaluation of the Global Fund-supported National Malaria Control Program in Papua New Guinea, 2009–2014. P N G Med J. 2014 Mar-Dec;57(1-4):7–29. PMID:26930885

7. Hetzel MW, Morris H, Tarongka N, Barnadas C, Pulford J, Makita L, et al. Prevalence of malaria across Papua New Guinea after initial roll-out of insecticide-treated mosquito nets. Trop Med Int Health. 2015 Dec;20(12):1745–55. PMID:26427024 http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/tmi.12616

8. Hetzel MW, Reimer LJ, Gideon G, Koimbu G, Barnadas C, Makita L, et al. Changes in malaria burden and transmission in sentinel sites after the roll-out of long-lasting insecticidal nets in Papua New Guinea. Parasit Vectors. 2016 06 14;9(1):340. PMID:27301964 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13071-016-1635-x

9. Reimer LJ, Thomsen EK, Koimbu G, Keven JB, Mueller I, Siba PM, et al. Malaria transmission dynamics surrounding the first nationwide long-lasting insecticidal net distribution in Papua New Guinea. Malar J. 2016 01 12;15(1):25. PMID:26753618 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12936-015-1067-7

10. Hetzel MW, Choudhury AAK, Pulford J, Ura Y, Whittaker M, Siba PM, et al. Progress in mosquito net coverage in Papua New Guinea. Malar J. 2014 Jun 24;13:242.

11. PNG 2000 national census. Port Moresby: National Statistical Office; 2000.

12. Malaria Indicator Survey toolkit. Vernier: Roll Back Malaria Partnership; 2013. Available from: http://malariasurveys.org/toolkit.cfm [cited 2017 Aug 20].

13. Robinson LJ, Wampfler R, Betuela I, Karl S, White MT, Li Wai Suen CS, et al. Strategies for understanding and reducing the Plasmodium vivax and

Plasmodium ovale hypnozoite reservoir in Papua New Guinean children: a randomised placebo-controlled trial and mathematical model. PLoS Med. 2015 10 27;12(10):e1001891. PMID:26505753 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.1001891

14. Sankoh O, Sharrow D, Herbst K, Whiteson Kabudula C, Alam N, Kant S, et al. The INDEPTH standard population for low- and middle-income countries, 2013. Glob Health Action. 2014;7(1):23286. http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/gha.v7.23286

15. Haemoglobin concentrations for the diagnosis of anaemia and assessment of severity. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2011. Available from: http://www.who.int/vmnis/indicators/haemoglobin/en/ [cited 2017 Aug 20].

16. Hetzel MW, Pulford J, Gouda H, Hodge A, Siba PM, Mueller I. The Papua New Guinea national malaria control program: primary outcome and impact indicators, 2009–2014. Goroka: Papua New Guinea Institute of Medical Research; 2014.

17. World malaria report 2015. Geneva: World Health Organization; 2015. Available from: http://www.who.int/malaria/publications/world-malaria-report-2015/report/en/ [cited 2017 Aug 20].

18. Imwong M, Nguyen TN, Tripura R, Peto TJ, Lee SJ, Lwin KM, et al. The epidemiology of subclinical malaria infections in South-East Asia: findings from cross-sectional surveys in Thailand–Myanmar border areas, Cambodia, and Vietnam. Malar J. 2015 09 30;14(1):381. PMID:26424000 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12936-015-0906-x

19. Lek D, Popovici J, Ariey F, Vinjamuri SB, Meek S, Bruce J, et al. National malaria prevalence in Cambodia: microscopy versus polymerase chain reaction estimates. Am J Trop Med Hyg. 2016 Sep 7;95(3):588–94. PMID:27402511 http://dx.doi.org/10.4269/ajtmh.15-0908

20. Pava Z, Burdam FH, Handayuni I, Trianty L, Utami RA, Tirta YK, et al. Submicroscopic and asymptomatic Plasmodium parasitaemia associated with significant risk of anaemia in Papua, Indonesia. PLoS One. 2016 10 27;11(10):e0165340. PMID:27788243 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0165340

21. Parkinson AD. Malaria in Papua New Guinea 1973. P N G Med J. 1974;17(1):8–16.

22. Maude RJ, Nguon C, Ly P, Bunkea T, Ngor P, Canavati de la Torre SE, et al. Spatial and temporal epidemiology of clinical malaria in Cambodia 2004–2013. Malar J. 2014 09 30;13(1):385. PMID:25266007 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-13-385

23. William T, Rahman HA, Jelip J, Ibrahim MY, Menon J, Grigg MJ, et al. Increasing incidence of Plasmodium knowlesi malaria following control of P. falciparum and P. vivax malaria in Sabah, Malaysia. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2013;7(1):e2026. PMID:23359830 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0002026

24. Betuela I, Rosanas-Urgell A, Kiniboro B, Stanisic DI, Samol L, de Lazzari E, et al. Relapses contribute significantly to the risk of Plasmodium vivax infection and disease in Papua New Guinean children 1–5 years of age. J Infect Dis. 2012

Dec 1;206(11):1771–80. PMID:22966124
http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jis580

25. Bousema T, Okell L, Felger I, Drakeley C. Asymptomatic malaria infections: detectability, transmissibility and public health relevance. Nat Rev Microbiol. 2014 12;12(12):833–40. PMID:25329408 http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro3364

26. Park J-W, Cheong H-K, Honda Y, Ha M, Kim H, Kolam J, et al. Time trend of malaria in relation to climate variability in Papua New Guinea. Environ Health Toxicol. 2016 02 25;31(0):e2016003–0. PMID:26987606 http://dx.doi.org/10.5620/eht.e2016003

27. Radford AJ, Van Leeuwen H, Christian SH. Social aspects in the changing epidemiology of malaria in the highlands of New Guinea. Ann Trop Med Parasitol. 1976 Mar;70(1):11–23. PMID:1267506 http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/00034983.1976.11687091

28. Manning L, Laman M, Rosanas-Urgell A, Michon P, Aipit S, Bona C, et al. Severe anemia in Papua New Guinean children from a malaria-endemic area: a case-control etiologic study. PLoS Negl Trop Dis. 2012;6(12):e1972. PMID:23272266 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pntd.0001972

29. Senn N, Maraga S, Sie A, Rogerson SJ, Reeder JC, Siba P, et al. Population hemoglobin mean and anemia prevalence in Papua New Guinea: new metrics for defining malaria endemicity? PLoS One. 2010 02 24;5(2):e9375. PMID:20195369 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0009375

30. Low M, Farrell A, Biggs B-A, Pasricha S-R. Effects of daily iron supplementation in primary-school-aged children: systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. CMAJ. 2013 Nov 19;185(17):E791–802. PMID:24130243 http://dx.doi.org/10.1503/cmaj.130628

31. Killeen GF, Smith TA, Ferguson HM, Mshinda H, Abdulla S, Lengeler C, et al. Preventing childhood malaria in Africa by protecting adults from mosquitoes with insecticide-treated nets. PLoS Med. 2007 Jul;4(7):e229. PMID:17608562 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pmed.0040229

32. Pulford J, Kurumop SF, Ura Y, Siba PM, Mueller I, Hetzel MW. Malaria case management in Papua New Guinea following the introduction of a revised treatment protocol. Malar J. 2013 11 27;12(1):433. PMID:24279720 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1475-2875-12-433

33. Pulford J, Smith I, Mueller I, Siba PM, Hetzel MW. Health worker compliance with a 'test and treat' malaria case management protocol in Papua New Guinea. PLoS One. 2016 07 8;11(7):e0158780. PMID:27391594 http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0158780

34. Karunajeewa HA, Mueller I, Senn M, Lin E, Law I, Gomorrai PS, et al. A trial of combination antimalarial therapies in children from Papua New Guinea. N Engl J Med. 2008 Dec 11;359(24):2545–57. PMID:19064624 http://dx.doi.org/10.1056/NEJMoa0804915

35. Senn N, Rarau P, Manong D, Salib M, Siba P, Robinson LJ, et al. Rapid diagnostic test-based management of malaria: an effectiveness study in Papua New Guinean infants with Plasmodium falciparum and Plasmodium

vivax malaria. Clin Infect Dis. 2012 Mar 1;54(5):644–51. PMID:22198787
http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/cid/cir901

36. Saweri OP, Hetzel MW, Mueller I, Siba PM, Pulford J. The treatment of non-
    malarial febrile illness in Papua New Guinea: findings from cross sectional and
    longitudinal studies of health worker practice. BMC Health Serv Res. 2017 01
    5;17(1):10. PMID:28056949 http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12913-016-1965-6

37. Hii JL, Smith T, Mai A, Mellor S, Lewis D, Alexander N, et al. Spatial and
    temporal variation in abundance of Anopheles (Diptera:Culicidae) in a malaria
    endemic area in Papua New Guinea. J Med Entomol. 1997 Mar;34(2):193–
    205. PMID:9103763 http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/jmedent/34.2.193

38. Charlwood JD, Paru R, Dagoro H. Raised platforms reduce mosquito bites. Trans
    R Soc Trop Med Hyg. 1984;78(1):141–2. PMID:6143428
    http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0035-9203(84)90204-9

39. Charlwood JD, Graves PM, Alpers MP. The ecology of the Anopheles
    punctulatus group of mosquitoes from Papua New Guinea: a review of recent
    work. P N G Med J. 1986 Mar;29(1):19–26. PMID:3463014

40. Hetzel MW, Pulford J, Tandrapah T, Jamea-Maiasa S; PNGIMR MalCon Team.
    Missing in the line of duty. P N G Med J. 2014 Mar-Dec;57(1-4):94–102.
    PMID:26930893

Table 1. National malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2010–2014

Region and province	2010–2011 survey		2013–2014 survey	
	Villages[a]	Individuals tested	Villages[a]	Individuals tested
	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)	No. (%)
Total	77 (100)	10 060 (100)	92 (100)	8408 (100)
Southern Region				
01. Western	3 (3.9)	376 (3.7)	5 (5.4)	504 (6.0)
02. Gulf	4 (5.2)	577 (5.7)	4 (4.3)	504 (6.0)
03. Central	5 (6.5)	814 (8.1)	5 (5.4)	474 (5.6)
04. National Capital District	5 (6.5)	673 (6.7)	4 (4.3)	301 (3.6)
05. Milne Bay	5 (6.5)	721 (7.2)	4 (4.3)	324 (3.9)
06. Oro	5 (6.5)	740 (7.4)	5 (5.4)	631 (7.5)
Total	27 (35.1)	3 901 (38.8)	27 (29.3)	2738 (32.6)
Highlands Region				
07. Southern Highlands				
Altitude $\geq$ 1600 m	4 (5.2)	494 (4.9)	4 (4.3)	335 (4.0)
08. Enga				
Altitude $\geq$ 1600 m	5 (6.5)	498 (5.0)	6 (6.5)	335 (4.0)
09. Western Highlands				
Altitude < 1600 m	3 (3.9)	295 (2.9)	2 (2.2)	164 (2.0)
Altitude $\geq$ 1600 m	2 (2.6)	188 (1.9)	3 (3.3)	213 (2.5)
10. Chimbu				
Altitude < 1600 m	1 (1.3)	140 (1.4)	1 (1.1)	85 (1.0)
Altitude $\geq$ 1600 m	3 (3.9)	359 (3.6)	4 (4.3)	253 (3.0)
11. Eastern Highlands[a]				
Altitude < 1600 m	ND	ND	2 (2.2)	171 (2.0)
Altitude $\geq$ 1600 m	ND	ND	3 (3.3)	258 (3.1)
Total	18 (23.4)	1 974 (19.6)	25 (27.2)	1814 (21.6)
Momase Region				
12. Morobe				
Altitude < 1600 m	5 (6.5)	672 (6.7)	3 (3.3)	282 (3.4)
Altitude $\geq$ 1600 m	0 (0)	0 (0)	2 (2.2)	142 (1.7)
13. Madang	4 (5.2)	479 (4.8)	5 (5.4)	447 (5.3)
14. East Sepik	5 (6.5)	665 (6.6)	6 (6.5)	461 (5.5)
15. Sandaun	3 (3.9)	403 (4.0)	4 (4.3)	645 (7.7)
Total	17 (22.1)	2 219 (22.1)	20 (21.7)	1977 (23.5)
Islands Region				
16. Manus	5 (6.5)	629 (6.3)	5 (5.4)	547 (6.5)
17. New Ireland	5 (6.5)	708 (7.0)	5 (5.4)	494 (5.9)
18. East New Britain	5 (6.5)	629 (6.3)	5 (5.4)	409 (4.9)
19. West New Britain[a]	ND	ND	ND	ND
20. Bougainville[a]	ND	ND	5 (5.4)	429 (5.1)
Total	15 (19.5)	1 966 (19.5)	20 (21.7)	1879 (22.3)

ND: not determined.

[a] For security and operational reasons, 23 villages could not be surveyed in 2010 to 2011 and 10 could not be surveyed in 2013 to 2014.

Table 2. Age of participants, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2010–2014

Age, years	2010–2011 survey No. (%)	2013–2014 survey No. (%)
< 1	72 (0.7)	95 (1.1)
1–4	1346 (13.4)	890 (10.6)
5–9	1621 (16.1)	1218 (14.5)
10–14	1137 (11.3)	944 (11.2)
15–19	888 (8.8)	728 (8.7)
20–39	2885 (28.7)	2510 (29.9)
≥ 40	2080 (20.7)	1967 (23.4)
Missing values	31 (0.3)	56 (0.7)
Total	10060 (100)	8408 (100)

Table 3. Age-standardized prevalence of *Plasmodium* infection, by species, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014

Plasmodium species	Infection prevalence % (95% CI)		
	2008–2009 survey[a] (*n* = 6424)[b]	2010–2011 survey (*n* = 8521)[b]	2013–2014 survey (*n* = 6872)[b]
All species	11.1 (8.5–14.3)	5.1 (3.6–7.4)	0.9 (0.6–1.5)
P. falciparum	6.6 (4.9–8.8)	3.0 (1.9–4.6)	0.8 (0.5–1.2)
P. vivax	3.1 (1.9–4.9)	2.0 (1.4–2.9)	0.1 (0.0–0.3)
P. malariae	0.3 (0.1–0.6)	0.1 (0.0–0.2)	0
P. falciparum and *P. vivax*	0.3 (0.1–0.5)	0.2 (0.1–0.4)	0.01 (0.0–0.08)

CI: confidence interval; *P. falciparum: Plasmodium falciparum*; *P. malariae: Plasmodium malariae*; *P. vivax: Plasmodium vivax*.

[a] Data from the 2008–2009 survey[7] were re-analysed by applying age-standardization.

[b] The number of survey participants living in villages below 1600 m in altitude.

Table 4. Age-standardized prevalence of *Plasmodium* infection, by province, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2010–2014

Province and region	2010–2011 survey					2013–2014 survey				
	No. of participants	Net[a] use (%)	Infection prevalence (%)			No. of participants	Net[a] use (%)	Infection prevalence (%)		
			All *Plasmodium* species	*P. falciparum*	*P. vivax*			All *Plasmodium* species	*P. falciparum*	*P. vivax*
Southern Region										
01. Western	376	83.9	1.1	0.9	0.2	504	73.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
02. Gulf	577	80.6	0.8	0.8	0.0	504	80.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
03. Central	814	75.2	5.6	2.4	3.2	474	62.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
04. National Capital District	673	15.7	0.6	0.2	0.2	301	20.7	0.0	0.0	0.0
05. Milne Bay	721	73.5	8.3	2.9	4.2	324	63.5	0.9	0.0	0.9
06. Oro	740	65.5	2.2	1.6	0.6	631	62.5	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	3901	60.0	3.3	1.5	1.5	2738	59.8	0.1	0.0	0.1
Highlands Region										
07. Southern Highlands										
Altitude ≥ 1600 m	494	50.5	1.0	0.7	0.3	335	28.9	0.4	0.4	0.0
08. Enga										
Altitude ≥ 1600 m	498	31.2	0.8	0.8	0.0	335	7.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
09. Western Highlands										
Altitude < 1600 m	295	32.3	0.5	0.5	0.0	164	47.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Altitude ≥ 1600 m	188	29.5	0.0	0.0	0.0	213	42.2	0.0	0.0	0.0
10. Chimbu										
Altitude < 1600 m	140	41.8	0.0	0.0	0.0	85	29.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
Altitude ≥ 1600 m	359	33.5	0.5	0.5	0.0	253	53.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
11. Eastern Highlands										
Altitude < 1600 m	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	171	38.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
Altitude ≥ 1600 m	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	258	38.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	1974	36.2	0.6	0.5	0.1	1814	32.9	0.1	0.1	0.0

Momase Region

12. Morobe										
Altitude < 1600 m	672	45.7	4.8	3.6	1.2	282	77.3	0.3	0.3	0.0
Altitude ≥ 1600 m	0	NA	NA	NA	NA	142	55.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
13. Madang	479	45.7	6.3	4.5	1.8	447	70.3	3.3	3.3	0.0
14. East Sepik	665	61.9	3.9	2.1	1.7	461	83.1	0.0	0.0	0.0
15. Sandaun	403	30.1	11.0	5.0	4.9	645	52.8	3.0	2.4	0.5
Total	2219	47.0	5.9	3.6	2.1	1977	67.7	1.9	1.6	0.2
Islands Region										
16. Manus	629	32.0	1.8	0.7	1.3	547	56.5	0.1	0.1	0.0
17. New Ireland	708	28.4	12.9	7.9	5.8	494	62.3	2.9	2.3	0.4
18. East New Britain	629	50.8	9.2	5.4	3.6	409	51.6	4.3	3.0	1.0
19. West New Britain	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND
20. Bougainville	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	429	55.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
Total	1966	36.8	8.3	4.9	3.7	1879	56.5	1.7	1.2	0.3

NA: not applicable; ND: not determined; *P. falciparum: Plasmodium falciparum*; *P. vivax: Plasmodium vivax*.

[a] Long-lasting insecticide-treated nets.

Table 5. Factors associated with malaria infection, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2010–2014

Risk factor	Risk of malaria infection	
	Univariable logistic regression analysis	Multivariable logistic regression analysis
	OR (95% CI)	aOR (95% CI)
2010–2011 survey		
Village at 1600 m or higher	0.15 (0.06–0.38)	0.16 (0.06–0.42)
Age < 5 years	2.98 (2.40–3.71)	2.59 (2.08–3.23)
Long-lasting insecticide-treated net use	1.38 (1.00–1.90)	1.09 (0.82–1.45)
High-quality house[a]	0.30 (0.11–0.83)	0.25 (0.08–0.79)
Percentage net use in village	1.01 (1.00–1.02)	ND
2013–2014 survey		
Village at 1600 m or higher	0.04 (0.00–0.33)	0.04 (0.00–0.36)
Age < 5 years	1.59 (0.74–3.44)	1.47 (0.68–3.21)
Long-lasting insecticide-treated net use	1.42 (0.83–2.45)	1.08 (0.64–1.82)
High-quality house[a]	1.00	ND
Percentage net use in village	1.01 (1.00–1.03)	ND

aOR: adjusted odds ratio; CI: confidence interval; ND: not determined; OR: odds ratio.

[a] Living in a high-quality house served as a proxy for having both good sanitation and a relatively high socioeconomic status.

Fig. 1. **Age-standardized prevalence of *Plasmodium* infection and insecticide-treated net use, by region and survey date, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014**

P. falciparum: Plasmodium falciparum; *P. vivax*: Plasmodium vivax.

Note: Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals.

Fig 2. **Age-standardized prevalence of *Plasmodium* infection and insecticide-treated net use in lower-prevalence areas, by province and survey date, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014**

P. falciparum: Plasmodium falciparum; P. vivax: Plasmodium vivax.

Fig 3. **Age-standardized prevalence of *Plasmodium* infection and insecticide-treated net use in higher-prevalence areas, by province and survey date, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014**


*P. falciparum*: Plasmodium falciparum; *P. vivax*: Plasmodium vivax.

Fig. 4. **Prevalence of *Plasmodium* infection below 1600 m, by age and survey date, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014**

Note: The study age groups, as indicated in the figure, were 0–0.9, 1.0–4.9, 5.0–9.9, 10.0–14.9, 15.0–19.9, 20.0–39.9 and ≥40 years.

Fig. 5. **Prevalence of *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* infection below 1600 m, by age and survey date, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014**

P. falciparum: Plasmodium falciparum; *P. vivax: Plasmodium vivax*.

Note: The study age groups, as indicated in the figures, were 0–0.9, 1.0–4.9, 5.0–9.9, 10.0–14.9, 15.0–19.9, 20.0–39.9 and ≥40 years.

Fig. 6. **Participants with a history of fever in villages below 1600 m, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014**


Notes: The black line shows the proportion for each whole survey population. Differences between subsequent years in the proportion of the overall population were significant ($P < 0.01$).

Fig. 7. **Participants with acute fever in villages below 1600 m, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014**

Notes: The black line shows the proportion for each whole survey population. Differences between subsequent years in the proportion of the overall population were significant ($P < 0.01$).

Fig. 8. **Participants aged 2 to 9 years with splenomegaly in villages below 1600 m, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014**

Notes: The black line shows the proportion for each whole survey population. Differences between subsequent years in the proportion of the overall population were significant ($P < 0.01$).

Fig. 9. **Participants with anaemia in villages below 1600 m, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014**

Notes: The black line shows the proportion for each whole survey population. Differences between subsequent years in the proportion of the overall population were significant ($P < 0.01$). Anaemia was defined according to WHO recommendations, which included age-specific cut-offs and altitude corrections.[15]

Fig. 10. **Participants with severe anaemia in villages below 1600 m, national malaria surveys, Papua New Guinea, 2008–2014**

Notes: The black line shows the proportion for each whole survey population. Differences between subsequent years in the proportion of the overall population were significant ($P < 0.01$). Severe anaemia was defined according to WHO recommendations, which included age-specific cut-offs and altitude corrections.[15]