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# **Abstract**

**Purpose of review**

People living with HIV (PLWH) are commonly co-infected with *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, particularly in high-transmission resource-limited regions. Despite expanded access to antiretroviral therapy and tuberculosis (TB) treatment, TB remains the leading cause of death among PLWH. This review discusses recent advances in the management of TB in PLWH and examines emerging therapeutic approaches to improve outcomes of HIV-associated TB.

**Recent findings**

Three recent key developments have transformed the management of HIV-associated TB. First, the scaling-up of rapid point-of-care urine-based tests for screening and diagnosis of TB in HIV-infected patients has facilitated early case detection and treatment. Second, increasing the availability of potent new and repurposed drugs to treat drug-resistant TB has generated optimism about the treatment and outcome of multidrug-resistant and extensively drug-resistant TB. Third, expanded access to the integrase inhibitor dolutegravir to treat HIV in resource-limited regions has simplified the management of TB/HIV co-infected patients and minimised serious adverse events.

**Summary**

While it is unequivocal that substantial progress has been made in early detection and treatment of HIV-associated TB, significant therapeutic challenges persist. To optimise the management and outcomes of TB in HIV, therapeutic approaches that target the pathogen as well as enhance the host response should be explored.
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# **Introduction**

Tuberculosis (TB) and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) co-infection is the most devastating infectious disease combination across the globe. *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* (Mtb) and HIV have a synergistic relationship within the host, potentiating each other (1). TB increases HIV replication and accelerates HIV-disease(2). Whereas, HIV impairs Mtb-specific immune response, and promotes the progression and clinical severity of TB(2–4). As a result, of the 10 million people who developed active TB disease globally in 2019, 8.2% (820,000) were PLWH. During the same period, 1.2 million HIV-negative patients (13%) and 208,000 PLWH (25%) died of TB(5) . The highest burden of the syndemic is in Africa, where more than 75% of HIV-associated TB occurs(6). Southern Africa remains the epicentre with more than half of TB patients co-infected with HIV, of which, many die either before diagnosis or early during treatment(7). Similarly, TB/HIV co-infection has emerged as a public health challenge in resource-rich regions, including the United States of America and Eastern Europe(8,9).

During the last two decades, there has been a substantial improvement in outcomes of TB/HIV co-infected patients due to the implementation of several public health interventions. The universal access to antiretroviral therapy (ART) by PLWH, regardless of CD4 T-cell count has significantly reduced TB rates (10). Additionally, TB prophylaxis therapy with isoniazid for six to twelve months or a joint isoniazid and rifampicin regimen for three months has decreased the likelihood of TB in PLWH by an estimated 32% to 64%(11). The revolutionary impact of ART in reducing HIV-associated TB risk was underscored in recent observational cohort studies (12).

Despite the significant progress in combating TB/HIV, successful treatment of HIV-associated TB remains a daunting mission. This review discusses recent developments in management approaches ranging from novel diagnostic techniques to revised and newly approved drug regimens as well as future strategies aimed at improving treatment outcomes of TB in PLWH. While the new approaches will improve the management of HIV-associated TB worldwide, their impact will be greatest in low- and middle-income settings where there are limited healthcare resources and a high prevalence of TB and HIV.

**New approaches to TB diagnosis**

Early diagnosis and prompt initiation of treatment are important prerequisites for good TB treatment outcomes, limit transmission of infection and are integral pillars of the World Health Organization (WHO) End TB Strategy (13). Despite the scale-up of ART, TB remains a significant cause of hospital admission and patient mortality in resource-limited settings with a high prevalence of HIV infection. This problem is in part, due to the low detection rates by sub-standard diagnostics for HIV-related TB, with delayed and missed diagnoses contributing to fatal outcomes(14,15).

Sputum smear microscopy using direct Ziehl–Neelsen staining remains the primary diagnostic tool in resource-limited settings. While this technique is rapid, specific and cheap, it is operator dependent (16). Moreover, sputum smear microscopy performs poorly in patients with advanced HIV, detecting 22% to 43% of active disease(6). This is mainly attributed to a low bacterial load in sputum from patients with advanced HIV; thus, the optimal bacterial concentration for visual detection by microscopy is not attainable(16,17). For PLWH with suspected TB despite negative sputum smear microscopy, mycobacterial culture has been the solitary diagnostic option(17). However, this test is expensive, complex and has a long turnaround time for results; therefore, it is seldomly available in resource-limited settings(18,19). Furthermore, there is limited access to rapid liquid culture methods such as *Mycobacterium* Growth Indicator Tube (MGIT), which have been shown to accelerate the turnaround time to diagnosis (20,21). These challenges undermine TB control efforts by prolonging patient agony and sustaining transmission(15,22). We urgently need simple, rapid, accurate and affordable point-of-care diagnostic tools to overcome these challenges.

Recent developments in TB diagnostics have transformed case detection and treatment of patients with HIV-associated TB. First, nucleic acid amplification tests (NAATs) such as Xpert® MTB/RIF and Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra, have facilitated rapid detection of Mtb and resistance to rifampicin, expedited TB diagnosis and initiation of treatment compared to microscopy and culture-based diagnostic methods(23). A previous study reported that in PLWH with high clinical suspicion of TB, Xpert®  MTB/RIF outperformed sputum smear microscopy and detected 97.8% versus 68.9%; p=0.0002, of pulmonary TB cases against a composite reference standard Löwenstein-Jensen (LJ) and liquid culture(24). While Xpert®  MTB/RIF has outstanding sensitivity in smear-positive sputum samples, it is less sensitive in smear-negative sputum (25). For this reason, the next-generation Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra is particularly suited for the analysis of specimens with low bacillary load, such as those from PLWH. In a recent comparative analysis, Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra had a sensitivity of 78.9% (95% CI, 70.0-86.1) compared to 66.1% (95%CI,56.4-74.9) for Xpert®  MTB/RIF in smear negative-culture positive sputum samples. This represents an estimated 13% increase in sensitivity. For smear and culture-positive samples, Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra and Xpert® MTB/RIF had comparable sensitivities of 97.8% (95% CI, 92.3-99.7) and 98.9% (95% CI, 94.0-100), respectively (25). Similarly, the diagnostic accuracy of Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra was evaluated in PLWH in a recent multicentre diagnostic accuracy study(26). Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra had superior pulmonary TB case detection in patients with culture-positive sputum compared to Xpert® MTB/RIF, with sensitivities of 90% (95% CI, 83-95) and 77% (95% 68-84), respectively(26).

Additionally, Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra had higher sensitivity for the detection of tuberculous meningitis in PLWH than Xpert® MTB/RIF. In one study, Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra and Xpert® MTB/RIF had sensitivities of 95% (95% CI, 77-99) and 45% (95% CI, 24-68; p=0.0010), respectively, against a composite reference standard of any positive cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) tuberculous test (27). Similar findings were reported by another study in which Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra and Xpert® MTB/RIF had sensitivities of 92.9% (95% CI, 80.5-98.5) and 65.8% (95% CI, 48.6-80.4; p=0.0063), respectively, for detection of HIV-associated tuberculous meningitis against the composite microbiological standard(28). Importantly, simultaneous detection of rifampicin resistance by Xpert®  MTB/RIF and Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra at the time of TB diagnosis allows early identification of patients at risk of multidrug-resistant TB (MDR-TB) or extensively drug-resistant TB (XDR-TB) and initiation of appropriate treatment(17). Moreover, Xpert® MTB/RIF and Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra require limited training/expertise and have relatively high sensitivity for paucibacillary TB(17,29). Consequently, the WHO and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) have approved the use of these diagnostic assays in individuals suspected of having HIV-associated TB or MDR-TB(23,30,31).

Secondly, the introduction of the urine-based lateral flow lipoarabinomannan assay (urine LAM, Alere Determine TB LAM Ag [AlereLAM]) for the diagnosis and screening of active TB in PLWH has shown great promise as a point-of-care test (POC) (6,15). Urine LAM produces a result in less than 25 minutes, using an estimated 60 μL of urine to detect lipoarabinomannan, an Mtb glycolipid antigen present inthe mycobacterial cell wall(15). Several studies have reported the excellent performance of urine LAM and associated mortality reduction in hospitalised PLWH with advanced immunosuppression resulting in a decrease in mortality(15,32,33). In addition, The sensitivity of medical and chest X-ray diagnosis is increased by the urine LAM test, subsequently facilitating early initiation of treatment in vulnerable patient populations, thereby contributing to favourable outcomes(15). Furthermore, urine LAM is not reliant on obtaining sputum samples, making it a useful test in HIV-infected patients with extrapulmonary or disseminated TB (15,33).

The AlereLAM assay is limited by reduced sensitivity when the patient’s CD4 count is above 100 cells per μl and in patients with extrapulmonary TB (EPTB)(23,34). To overcome these limitations, a novel Fujifilm SILVAMP TB LAM (FujiLAM) assay has been developed and detects lipoarabinomannan on an instrument-free platform, producing results in less than one hour (35–39). A recent study assessed the diagnostic accuracy of the FujiLAM assay compared with the AlereLAM assay for the detection of TB in hospitalised PLWH. The study reported that FujiLAM has superior sensitivity than AlereLAM. The overall sensitivities were 70.4% (95% CI, 53.0-83.1) and 42.3% (95% CI, 31.7-51.8) for FujiLAM and AlereLAM, respectively (difference 28.1%), compared with the microbiological reference standard. The estimated specificities were 90.8% (95% CI,86.0-94.4) and 95.0% (95% CI, 87.7-98.8) for FujiLAM and AlereLAM, respectively. In patients with CD4 count <100 cells/μL, the FujiLAM sensitivity was 84.2% (95% CI, 71.4-91.4) versus 57.3% (95% CI, 42.2-69.6) for AlereLAM (difference 26.9%). Although the sensitivities of both assays were suboptimal in patients with CD4 count >200 cells/μL, FujiLAM had superior sensitivity of 44.0% (95% CI, 29.7-58.5) compared with 12.2% (95% CI, 4.6-23.7) for AlereLAM (difference 31.8%) (40). The superior sensitivity of FujiLAM over AlereLAM was also seen in patients with EPTB and those with TB mycobacteraemia(34).

The availability of simple, rapid, point-of-care urine tests for TB represents a significant breakthrough in TB control(15). The advent of AlereLAM prompted the WHO to endorse the assay as the diagnostic and screening tool for active TB disease in two specific populations. These include HIV-infected adults manifesting signs and symptoms of pulmonary/extrapulmonary TB (EPTB) and a CD4+ T cell count of less than 100 cells per μl, and critically ill HIV-infected patients irrespective of their CD4+ T cell count or if CD4+ T cell count is not known(6). Furthermore, combining LAM with Xpert® MTB/RIF/ Xpert® MTB/RIF Ultra testing of the urine offers an intriguing opportunity to improve the diagnosis of active TB in PLWH as reported by recent publications(28,41). The use of FujiLAM as a screening and diagnostic test for TB in PLWH is likely to increase the already known survival benefit of point-of-care urine tests for TB(14,32,33,39)

Thirdly, in recent times, ultrasonography has been shown to aid the diagnosis of EPTB, which occurs more frequently in PLWH (42,43). The emergence of focused assessment with sonography for HIV-associated TB (FASH) has garnered attention as a potential point-of-care diagnostic tool. FASH detects sonographic signs of EPTB such as effusions in the pleural and pericardial spaces, enlarged intra-abdominal lymph nodes and micro-abscesses, particularly in the spleen and liver. The FASH protocol has been adopted as an integral diagnostic tool in some extremely resource-limited settings(42,43). There is growing interest to implement the FASH protocol throughout these settings because of its many advantages, including expedient time to diagnosis, inexpensive, radiation-free and less reliance on a conventional electric power source. Additionally, clinicians with little or no previous experience in ultrasonography can be trained to perform FASH. Despite underlying concerns of inter-observer variability and misdiagnosis, further studies should explore the role of FASH in expediting treatment of HIV-associated TB(43).

# **Advances in TB treatment**

TB treatment in PLWH is identical to the therapy in HIV-uninfected patients(44).

Standard guidelines for drug-susceptible TB include an intensive phase of two months with isoniazid (INH), rifampicin (RIF), ethambutol (EMB), and pyrazinamide (PZA). This is followed by a minimum of four months continuation phase with INH and RIF(45,46). While we have drugs to treat TB, prolonged treatment with multi-drug regimens is fraught with issues of compliance, toxicity and drug resistance. Consequently, successful treatment outcomes are only achieved in an estimated 80% of patients with drug-susceptible TB (47). To reduce the toxicity of the current standard quadruple drug regimen, a recent study observed that the addition of methionine and vitamin B complex to the standard regimen reduced liver toxicity(48).

Despite expanded access to ART in regions with a high prevalence of HIV and TB, mortality within the first 6 months after ART initiation remains high, particularly among patients with advanced HIV. Undiagnosed TB is a common cause of this excess mortality(49). To address this challenge, three recent clinical trials (STATIS ANRS 12290, REMEMBER and TB Fast Track) investigated whether starting empirical TB treatment at ART initiation would reduce mortality among severely immunosuppressed PLWH with CD4+ T cell count <150 cells/μL. Although the comparator arms and CD4+ T cell cut-offs were different across the trials, empirical TB treatment did not reduce mortality at 24 weeks(50–52), suggesting that other factors also contribute to excess mortality during the early phase of ART. Patients with advanced HIV infection and TB are at a higher risk of opportunistic infections, TB treatment failure, overlapping drug toxicities, development of immune reconstitution inflammatory syndrome (IRIS) and the emergence of TB drug resistance compared to HIV-uninfected TB patients(47,53,54). These factors are likely to contribute to early mortality following ART initiation.

**Treatment of MDR-TB and XDR-TB**

Previous MDR-TB and XDR-TB treatment guidelines recommended prolonged use of expensive multi-drug regimens with serious side effects which resulted in unfavourable outcomes, particularly in PLWH(55). The recent introduction of new and repurposed effective, less toxic oral drugs has, however, revolutionised the MDR-TB and XDR-TB treatment landscape and improved treatment outcomes(56). Of the approved new drugs, bedaquiline and delamanid have been recommended by WHO and CDC for treatment of MDR-TB based on accumulating evidence for efficacy and reduced mortality when included in MDR-TB treatment regimens, even amongst PLWH(56,57). Pretomanid is another promising new drug for TB, which was recently evaluated in a multicentre, open-label, partially randomised, Phase 2b trial as part of a combinatory regimen comprising bedaquiline, pyrazinamide and moxifloxacin for the treatment of patients with drug-resistant pulmonary TB. In patients with pyrazinamide-susceptible rifampicin-resistant disease, this regimen had superior bactericidal activity at day 56 of treatment compared with the standard first-line quadruple regimen of isoniazid, rifampicin, pyrazinamide and ethambutol. Furthermore, this regimen has a lower pill burden than the currently recommended WHO rifampicin-resistant TB treatment options and does not include injectable drugs (47) .

While the availability of new drugs for TB is a long-awaited and welcome development, the addition of linezolid and clofazimine to treatment regimens for drug-resistant TB represents a paradigm shift in TB drug discovery(47). Linezolid and clofazimine, antimicrobial agents, initially discovered for the treatment of other infections, have shown novel modes of action against Mtb(58). Consequently, the repurposing of drugs with known safety profiles is an attractive and innovative approach to treat drug-resistant TB(58). The Food and Drug Administration (FDA) has approved bedaquiline and linezolid for treatment of adults with XDR-TB, treatment intolerant or non-responsive MDR-TB(59).

The WHO has also updated its treatment guidelines and recommends treating both multidrug-resistant and rifampicin-resistant TB with either an 18-month regimen in patients with confirmed fluoroquinolone resistance or a shorter 9–12-month regimen for patients with fluoroquinolone and aminoglycosides sensitivity(60–62). The short regimen entails an intensive phase comprising moxifloxacin, amikacin or kanamycin, ethionamide or prothionamide, clofazimine, isoniazid, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide for a duration of four to six months. This is followed by a continuation phase of moxifloxacin, clofazimine, ethambutol, and pyrazinamide for five months(63,64). The efficacy of the short-course regimen was initially demonstrated in observational studies in South Asia and in Central and West Africa, where it had high success rates, including in HIV-infected patients(44,57,65). Additionally, PLWH may be at increased risk of adverse events associated with new and repurposed TB drugs. For instance, linezolid has been associated with cytopenias, peripheral neuropathy, and optic neuropathy among PLWH. Clofazimine, delamanid, and bedaquiline lengthen the QT interval; therefore, their use is not recommended if the QT interval is above 500 ms(66). Several ongoing trials listed in Table 1 are assessing the efficacy and safety of new regimens for the treatment of drug-resistant tuberculosis and are grounds for optimism.

# **New ART approaches in HIV associated TB treatment**

TB-associated mortality in HIV-infected patients is highest in the early stages of TB therapy(4). However, several clinical trials have demonstrated the benefits of early initiation of effective ART during TB therapy, including improved survival of severely immune-suppressed patients(67–70). Consequently, these findings informed the decision by the CDC, American Thoracic Society (ATS), Infectious Disease Society of America (IDSA), and the WHO to recommend the immediate initiation of ART in all patients with drug-susceptible TB and HIV (23,71).

The interactions between ART and TB medications complicate the management of dually-infected patients, particularly in low-income settings, where there is a limited range of ART drugs(44,72). These interactions occur predominantly during the metabolism of the drugs. The drug-drug interactions involving the first-line TB drug, rifampicin, are of particular clinical relevance. Rifampicin significantly reduces plasma concentrations of some concurrently administered ART drugs, resulting in sub-optimal plasma levels and poor ART outcomes(44,73). ART drugs that are substrates of P-glycoprotein and CYP3A4, such as the protease inhibitors (PIs) and the non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI) nevirapine are significantly affected by rifampicin(44,73). To overcome this problem, ART regimens containing another NNRTI, efavirenz, are preferred for concurrent use with rifampicin-containing TB treatment, especially in low- and middle-income countries (LMICs)(74). However, increasing rates of resistance to NNRTIs in LMICs underscore the need for alternative ART drugs (75).

The use of the integrase strand transfer inhibitor (INSTI), dolutegravir (DTG), has increased rapidly in TB-endemic LMICs following the recent WHO recommendation to include DTG in first- and second-line ART regimens based on its efficacy and good safety profile(74). However, it is important to note that when co-administered with rifampicin, DTG exposure is decreased by 54%(44). Hence, the dose of DTG should be increased to 50 mg twice daily when it is given together with rifampicin(74). A recent addition to the INSTI class, bictegravir, is also perturbed by rifampicin. Despite using the twice-daily regimen that included emtricitabine, tenofovir , and bictegravir, rifampicin reduced bictegravir plasma concentrations by 80% compared to once-daily dosing without rifampicin. Therefore, bictegravir should not be given with rifampicin(57).

# **Future perspectives**

While there have been momentous advances in the last decade in combating TB, including new TB drugs and rapid diagnostics, the management of HIV-associated TB remains nevertheless complicated. There is persisting need for optimal oral TB treatment regimens that are short, effective and less toxic to treat drug-susceptible and drug-resistant TB in PLWH(47,76). Figure 1 summarizes recently introduced approaches and those under evaluation to improve management of TB in PLWH. During the last 60 years, TB drug discovery has focused predominantly on identifying compounds that target the pathogen, Mtb. However, recent efforts have expanded to include investigation of a series of adjunct therapeutic strategies known as host-directed therapies (HDTs) that target the host response to infection instead(77). HDTs target specific pathways involved in the pathogenesis of TB in the host(78). By enhancing cellular antibacterial mechanisms as well as directly limiting inflammation, HDTs avert lung injury and increase chemotherapy effectiveness (79). In addition, HDTs can be used concurrently with antimicrobial treatment regimens against drug-resistant TB with minimal risk of therapeutic resistance. They also provide the additional benefit of potentially decreasing the number of drugs required in combination treatment, mainly when toxicity is a problem as well as reducing the duration of TB treatment(78).

Currently, there are several HDTs drugs under investigation. Firstly, rapamycin, a known immunosuppressive used in organ transplantation, inhibits the mammalian target rapamycin (mTOR), a negative regulator of autophagy(79). The selective form of autophagy known as xenophagy directly tags intracellular pathogens for lysosomal degradation and is crucial in host control of TB(78,80–82). Recent studies have reported that the Stimulator of IFN genes (STING)-dependent cytosolic sensing pathway recognises Mtb DNA released into the cytosol and facilitates marking of the bacteria with ubiquitin for delivery to the autophagic machinery(83). However, Mtb manipulates xenophagy by inhibiting maturation of autophagosomes(84) and through the action of its enhanced intracellular survival (EIS) protein, which mediates AKT/mTOR pathway via activation of IL-10(85). Whilst rapamycin is a promising HDT drug for TB; it has been reported to cause interstitial pneumonitis, which may complicate the management of patients with pre-existing lung disease. Another drug currently in clinical trials as a potential HDT for TB is metformin, which enhances autophagy by promoting phagolysosome maturation and augments mitochondrial reactive oxygen species production(79).

Additionally, vitamin D, whose active metabolite, 1,25-dihydoxyvitamin D, has long been known to enhance the immune responses to mycobacteria *in vitro*, is a candidate HDT. In a recent study, vitamin D was shown to limit intracellular Mtb growth in macrophages by enhancing innate immune responses through Toll-like receptors and IFN-, overturning Mtb-induced phagosome maturation arrest, increased expression of antimicrobial peptides and subsequent induction of autophagy in infected cells(86). HDTs may have additional benefits in HIV and TB co-infected patients by minimising drug-drug interactions with ART as well as limiting the risk of developing IRIS and death (77).

# **Conclusion**

TB and HIV coinfec­tion remains a global public health concern responsible for high morbidity and mortality. While rapid, sensitive tests for TB screening and diagnosis have simplified case detection and accelerated access to TB treatment among TB/HIV co-infected patients, further work is required to shorten the duration of TB treatment and minimise the emergence of TB and ART drug resistance, drug-drug interactions between TB and HIV therapies and overlapping drug toxicities.

**Key points**

* The introduction and scaling-up of simple, rapid and sensitive TB diagnostics have promoted early access to TB treatment by PLWH.
* New and repurposed TB drugs have transformed the treatment of drug-resistant HIV-associated TB, particularly MDR- and XDR-TB, by enhancing the potency and reducing toxicity of new TB treatment regimens.
* Integrase inhibitor-based ART is effective and well-tolerated by HIV-infected patients receiving rifampicin-based TB treatment in resource-limited settings.
* Host-directed therapies as adjunct therapeutic approaches to augment antimicrobial TB treatment and improve treatment outcomes warrant further exploration.
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**Figure Legend**

**Figure 1. A summary of recent approaches and approaches under evaluation to improve the management of TB in people living with HIV.** ART – antiretroviral therapy; DR-TB – drug-resistant tuberculosis; FASH- focused assessment with sonography for HIV-associated tuberculosis; HIV – human immunodeficiency virus; LMICs – low- and middle-income countries; NAAT – nucleic acid amplification test; POC – point-of-care; TB – tuberculosis.

**New approaches to TB diagnosis**

1) Simple, rapid, POC

2) Improved sensitivity NAAT

3) Urine-based testing

4) Ultrasonography - FASH

**New approaches to DR- TB treatment**

1) Effective new drugs

2) Effective repurposed drugs

3) Revised drug combinations

4) An all-oral drug regimen

**New approaches to ART in LMICs**

1) Expanded access to INSTI

2) Compatible with TB drugs

3) Low pill burden

4) Well tolerated by patients

**Promising approaches to TB management**

1) New drugs in clinical trials

2) New sensitive urine tests

3) Host-directed therapies

4) Drug toxicity reduction

**Table 1:** Ongoing clinical trials of treatment of multidrug-resistant TB in PLWH and HIV-uninfected individuals

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Name of trial** | **Study population** | **Study groups** | **Description** | **Status** |
| NiX-TB (NCT02333799) | 109 participants enrolled, HIV– and HIV + adults (aged ≥18 years) | 6 months bedaquiline (400 mg daily for 2 weeks then 200 mg three times weekly), pretomanid (200 mg daily), linezolid (600 mg twice daily), | Phase 3 trial assessing the safety and efficacy of bedaquiline, pretomanid as well as linezolid in subjects with pulmonary infection of either XDR-TB, treatment-intolerant tuberculosis, or pre-XDR non-responsive MDR-TB | Active but not recruiting. Expected completion July 2020 |
| NC-008 SimpliciTB (NCT03338621) | 455 participants enrolled, HIV– and HIV + adults (aged ≥18 years) | 6 months bedaquiline, pretomanid, moxifloxacin, pyrazinamide daily, single arm study | Phase 2 trial evaluating the efficacy, safety and tolerability of bedaquiline, pretomanid, moxifloxacin Plus Pyrazinamide (BPaMZ) compared to a 6-month Treatment of HRZE/HR (Control) in Adult Participants With Drug-Sensitive Smear-Positive Pulmonary Tuberculosis (DS-TB) and a 6-month Treatment of BPaMZ in Adult Participants With Drug Resistant, Smear-Positive Pulmonary Tuberculosis (DR-TB) | Active but not recruiting. results expected February 2022 |
| IMPAACT 2005 (NCT03141060) | 48 participants enrolled, HIV– and HIV + children (aged <18 years) | Pharmacokinetics, safety 6 months delamanid (100 mg twice daily) plus Optimised multi-drug background regimen (OBR), single arm study | This phase 1-2 study will evaluate the pharmacokinetics, safety, and tolerability of the anti-tuberculosis (TB) drug delamanid (DLM) in combination with an optimised multi-drug background regimen (OBR) for MDR-TB in HIV-infected and HIV-uninfected children with MDR-TB. | Recruitment ongoing. Completion date November 2022 |
| endTB (NCT02754765) | 750 participants enrolled, HIV– and HIV + adults (aged ≥18 years) | 9 months bedaquiline, linezolid, moxifloxacin, pyrazinamide daily, or 9 months of bedaquiline, linezolid, clofazimine, levofloxacin, pyrazinamide daily, or 9 months of bedaquiline, linezolid, delamanid, levofloxacin, pyrazinamide daily, or 9 months of delamanid, linezolid, clofazimine, levofloxacin, pyrazinamide daily, or 9 months of delamanid, clofazimine, moxifloxacin, pyrazinamide daily *vs* local regimen as per WHO guidelines | This Phase 3 trial will evaluate the efficacy and safety of five new, all-oral, shortened regimens for MDR-TB. | Recruitment ongoing. Estimated completion date April 2021 |
| TB-PRACTECAL (NCT02589782) | 630 participants enrolled, HIV– and HIV + adults (aged ≥18 years) | 6 months bedaquiline, pretomanid, moxifloxacin, linezolid daily,or 6 months bedaquiline, pretomanid, linezolid, clofazimine daily,or 6 months bedaquiline, pretomanid, linezolid daily (all oral) vs local regimen | This phase 2-3 trial evaluating short treatment regimens containing bedaquiline and pretomanid in combination with existing and repurposed anti-TB drugs for the treatment of biologically confirmed pulmonary MDR-TB. | Recruitment ongoing. Estimated date of completion March 2021 |
| NExT 5001 (NCT02454205) | 154 participants enrolled, HIV– and HIV + adults (aged ≥18 years) | 6–9 months bedaquiline, linezolid, levofloxacin, pyrazinamide and either high-dose isoniazid or ethionamide or terizidone daily (all oral) vs 6–8 months kanamycin, moxifloxacin, pyrazinamide, ethionamide, terizidone daily, and 16-18 months moxifloxacin | phase 2-3 trial investigating a new treatment regimen for patients with MDR-TB | Active, not recruiting. Estimated date of completion December 2020 |