DOI: 10.1111/mve.12601

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

cal and Veterinary

A Roya

Lack of robust evidence for a Wolbachia infection in Anopheles gambiae from Burkina Faso

Grant L. Hughes⁵ 1

Roch K. Dabiré¹

Simon P. Sawadogo¹ | Didier A. Kabore¹ | Ezechiel B. Tibiri² | Angela Hughes³ Olivier Gnankine⁴ | Shannon Quek⁵ | Abdoulaye Diabaté¹ | Hilary Ranson³ |

¹Département de Biologie Médicale et Santé Publique, Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé, Bobo-Dioulasso, Burkina Faso

²Département de Virologie et de Biotechnologies Végétales, Institut de l'Environnement et de Recherches Agricoles (INERA), Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

³Department of Vector Biology, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK

⁴Département de Biologie et de Physiologie Animales, Université Joseph K-Zerbo, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

⁵Departments of Vector Biology and Tropical Disease Biology, Center for Neglected Tropical Disease, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK

Correspondence

Simon P. Sawadogo, Departement de Biologie Medicale et Sante Publique Institut de Recherche en Sciences de la Santé. Bobo-Dioulasso BP 545, Burkina Faso. Email: sawsimp2005@yahoo.fr

Olivier Gnankine, Departement de Biologie et de Physiologie Animale, Université Joseph K-Zerbo, Ouagadougou 03 BP 7021, Burkina Faso.

Email: grant.hughes@lstmed.ac.uk

Grant L. Hughe, Departments of Vector Biology and Tropical Disease Biology, Center for Neglected Tropical Disease, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, Liverpool L3 5QA, UK. Email: olignankine@gmail.com

Funding information

Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine; Medical Research Council, Grant/Award Number: MR/ P027873/1

Abstract

The endosymbiont Wolbachia can have major effects on the reproductive fitness, and vectorial capacity of host insects and may provide new avenues to control mosquitoborne pathogens. Anopheles gambiae s.l is the major vector of malaria in Africa but the use of Wolbachia in this species has been limited by challenges in establishing stable transinfected lines and uncertainty around native infections. High frequencies of infection of Wolbachia have been previously reported in An. gambiae collected from the Valle du Kou region of Burkina Faso in 2011 and 2014. Here, we re-evaluated the occurrence of Wolbachia in natural samples, collected from Valle du Kou over a 12-year time span, and in addition, expanded sampling to other sites in Burkina Faso. Our results showed that, in contrast to earlier reports, Wolbachia is present at an extremely low prevalence in natural population of An. gambiae. From 5341 samples analysed, only 29 were positive for Wolbachia by nested PCR representing 0.54% of prevalence. No positive samples were found with regular PCR. Phylogenetic analysis of 16S rRNA gene amplicons clustered across supergroup B, with some having similarity to sequences previously found in Anopheles from Burkina Faso. However, we cannot discount the possibility that the amplicon positive samples we detected were due to environmental contamination or were false positives. Regardless, the lack of a prominent native infection in An. gambiae s.l. is encouraging for applications utilizing Wolbachia transinfected mosquitoes for malaria control.

KEYWORDS

Anopheles gambiae, biocontrol, native infection, population replacement, population suppression, wAnga, Wolbachia

This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made. © 2022 The Authors. Medical and Veterinary Entomology published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd on behalf of Royal Entomological Society.

BACKGROUND

Wolbachia is an obligate intracellular bacterial symbiont, found in many insect species that, in recent years, has shown great potential for use in vector-borne pathogen control. It is well known for its ability to manipulate host reproduction enabling it to spread into insect populations (Werren et al., 2008). Additionally, Wolbachia can inhibit the development of diverse pathogens (Hedges et al., 2008; Hughes et al., 2011; Kambris et al., 2009; Kambris et al., 2010; Moreira et al., 2009: Shaw et al., 2016) which makes Wolbachia an attractive agent for pathogen control. Some strains of Wolbachia protect insect hosts from viral infections (Chrostek et al., 2013; Hedges et al., 2008; Glaser & Meola, 2010) and the presence of Wolbachia in Aedes aegypti mosquitoes impair infections with dengue and other arboviruses (Moreira et al., 2009; Walker et al., 2011). Based on these findings. releases of Wolbachia infected male and female mosquitoes have been undertaken with the aim of spreading Wolbachia-mediated resistance to viruses in natural mosquito populations (Hoffmann et al., 2011) with initial clinical trials showing positive outcomes (Indriani et al., 2020; Utarini et al., 2021). In addition to population replacement approaches, Wolbachia has also been exploited for population suppression control strategies whereby infected males are released to reduce mosquito numbers by inducing cytoplasmic incompatibility when mating with uninfected females (Crawford et al., 2020; LAVEN, 1967; Zheng et al., 2019).

In Anopheles mosquitoes, there are several reports indicating amplification of the Wolbachia 16S rRNA gene fragment by nested PCR. In general, these studies find Wolbachia PCR positive individuals at low frequency in the population (Ayala et al., 2019; Baldini et al., 2014; Gomes et al., 2017; Shaw et al., 2016). Some studies have reported a negative correlation between amplicon positive mosquitoes and Plasmodium development in natural populations (Gomes et al., 2017; Shaw et al., 2016). Recently, it has been shown that Anopheles moucheti and An. demeilloni possess high density infections with Wolbachia observed in the germline, and complete genomes recovered (Jeffries et al., 2018; Quek et al., 2022; Walker et al., 2021). Significant efforts to establish artificially transinfected lines of Anopheles with Wolbachia have proved largely unsuccessful (Walker et al., 2011). Importantly, a stable line was established in An. stephensi, a vector of malaria in southern Asia, using Wolbachia from Ae. albopictus (wAlbB), which conferred resistance to Plasmodium falciparum infection (Bian et al., 2013). However, the Wolbachia infection induced fitness costs on the host, which would likely prevent establishment of the bacterium in mosquito populations (Joshi et al., 2014). Somatic, transient infections of the Wolbachia in An. gambiae were shown to significantly inhibit P. falciparum (Hughes et al., 2011), but the interference phenotype is variable with other Wolbachia strain-parasite combinations (Hughes et al., 2012, 2014; Murdock et al., 2014). Interestingly, Wolbachia and other gut-associated microbes have negative associations (Hughes et al., 2014; Rossi et al., 2015; Zink et al., 2015), and these microbial interactions affect the biology of the host and transmission of the bacterium (Hughes et al., 2014), offering a possible reason for the lack of infection in some Anopheles species.

Despite the widespread report of amplification of Wolbachia by PCR in Anopheles, there is conjecture in the literature if Anopheles mosquitoes are truly infected with Wolbachia (Chrostek & Gerth, 2019). Most of the evidence stems from nested PCR approaches, a technique that is highly sensitive. This has led to suggestions that nested PCR may detect environmental Wolbachia DNA that the mosquito has encountered (Chrostek & Gerth, 2019). The low density and prevalence of infection and the phylogenetic diversity of strains reported indicate the infection is not stable in these associations or environmental DNA is being amplified. It is imperative to verify the prevalence of natural infections, and identify any native strains of Wolbachia in Anopheles, as these infections could impede population suppression or replacement control approaches exploiting transinfected lines. We previously reported high levels of natural infection in An. gambiae from Burkina Faso (Baldini et al., 2014; Shaw et al., 2016), but here, we re-evaluate An. gambiae mosquitoes from these sites to examine the prevalence of infection in a wider geographical and temporal sample set. Surprisingly, we find low levels of amplicon positive mosquitoes, calling into question the findings from our earlier study.

METHODS

Study area and mosquito collection

Anopheles gambiae s.l. mosquitoes were collected in seven villages during the rainy seasons of 2006, 2011, 2012, 2015, 2016 and 2018. These sites are located in the Sahelian zone (Kongoussi and Yilou) and Sudan-savanna zone (VK3, VK5, VK7, Soumousso and Tiefora) (Figure 1) of Burkina Faso. Adult mosquitoes were collected from the resting sites (inhabited houses, uninhabited houses, wood piles and clay pots) using mechanical aspirators or CDC light traps; males were also collected from mating swarms using an insect net (Diabaté et al., 2006). Adults were morphologically identified using the standard taxonomic key (Gillies & Coetzee, 1987; Gillies & de Meillon, 1968). Samples were stored at -20° C prior to molecular analyses.

Mosquitoes DNA extraction and molecular analysis

DNA was extracted from 4953 An gambiae s.l. mosquitoes using the CTAB 2% extraction method. PCR was used to identify the species, as described previously (Santolamazza et al., 2008). To screen for Wolbachia, conventional (PCR using Wolbachia specific primers [Wspecf/Wspecr] was used to amplify the 16S rRNA gene Werren & Windsor, 2000). All samples were also analysed by nested PCR using the primers we used previously (Shaw et al., 2016). Amplicon positive samples were repeated using the nested PCR to confirm infection and the PCR products purified by filtration using NucleoFast 96 PCR DNA purification plate. For Sanger sequencing, positive (DNA from *Culex* mosquitoes collected



FIGURE 1 Map of Burkina Faso showing mosquito sampling sites

in the city of Bobo-Dioulasso) and negative controls were included to rule out PCR contamination. Samples were sequenced by GenoScreen.

In parallel to the 4953 samples, we analysed a total of 388 samples, all collected as larvae either from VK7 (n = 268) or from the Cascades district (n = 120) were analysed at LSTM. These samples were collected between October 2011 and September 2014 and included 292 samples that had been exposed to deltamethrin (199 survivors, 93 dead) (see Data S1). All were tested using the 16S- Wspecf/ Wspecr primers (Werren & Windsor, 2000).

Phylogenetic analysis

Phylogenetic analyses of *Wolbachia* samples were performed on the conserved 16S rRNA sequences. Accession numbers of the sequences obtained in the present study and used to construct the tree are listed in Table 1. Contigs obtained were cleaned and assembled de novo using Geneious v. 8.1.7 (Biomatters Ltd). All sequences were subjected to BLAST search tools in NCBI using Geneious and subsequently to pairwise sequence comparison (Altschup et al., 1990; Bao et al., 2014). The homologous sequences were retrieved for phylogenetic analysis based on BLAST results.

From this, we obtained a total of 70 sequences of 16S RNA from Wolbachia of supergroups A and B. This number also included 13 sequences that were reportedly isolated from An. gambiae. These 70 sequences were added to the 17 sequences obtained from this study, as well as an additional sequence from Wolbachia of Culex mosquitoes, sequenced as part of this study. All of these 88 sequences were then used as input into the program MAFFT v7.455 (Katoh and Standley, 2013), and aligned using default parameters. The resultant alignment was then manually processed to remove ambiguously aligned regions at the 5' and 3' ends, as well as any columns that contained undetermined nucleotides from sequencing. This curated alignment was then used as input into the program IQTree v1.6.1 (Nguyen et al., 2015) to build a phylogenetic tree, utilizing the DNA substitution model K2P + G4 (best-fit model as determined by the ModelFinder algorithm, Kalyaanamoorthy et al., 2017), with 1000 non-parametric bootstrap replicates. The tree was then visualized and edited using FigTree v.1.4.4.

RESULTS

No amplicon positive samples were found with the regular PCR but a total of 46 of the 4953 amplicons were positive for *Wolbachia* using

Royal Entomologi Society

TABLE 1 Spatial and temporal variations of Wolbachia infection prevalence in Burkina Faso

Year	Village	Species	Tested	Amplicons negative	Amplicons positive (first nested PCR)	Amplicons positive (repeated nested PCR)
2006	Soumousso	An. gambiae	331	310	24 (7.25%)	21 (6.34%)
	VK7	An. coluzzii	176	170	19 (11.18%)	6 (3.41%)
2011	VK3	An. coluzzii	506	506	0	0
2015	Soumousso	An. gambiae	20	20	0	0
2016	Soumousso	An. gambiae	562	562	0	0
	VK5	An. coluzzii	868	867	1 (0.16%)	0
2018	Kongoussi	An. coluzzii	3	3	0	0
	Soumousso	An. gambiae	237	237	0	0
	VK3	An. coluzzii	132	130	2 (1.52%)	2 (1.52%)
	VK5	An. coluzzii	853	853	0	0
	VK7	An. coluzzii	1200	1200	0	0
	Yilou	An. coluzzii	65	65	0	0
	Total		4953	4923	46 (0.93%)	29 (0.59%)

the more sensitive nested PCR representing a prevalence of 0.93%. The nested PCR was repeated for each of the initial 46 positive mosquitoes and 29 remained positive on the repeat PCR, representing a prevalence of 0.59% (29/4953). The inability to confirm 17 individuals in a repeated nested-PCR suggested the template is at the limits of detection in these samples.

Wolbachia was only detected in samples from two of the six years; in 2006, *Wolbachia* prevalence was 5.33% (n = 507) and 0.08% (n = 2490) in 2018 (Table 1). Regarding the spatial distribution, all positive specimens were found in just three of the seven villages (VK3, VK7 and Soumoussso), all located in the Sudan savanna zone in the western region of Burkina Faso. The prevalence of positive samples was low with 0.31% (2/638) in VK3, 0.36% (6/1376) in VK7 and 1.87%: (21/1150) in Soumousso. A significantly higher proportion of *An. gambiae* s.s was amplicon positive for *Wolbachia* than *An. coluzzii* ($\chi^2 = 23.493$, df = 1, p < 0.0001; *An. gambiae* 2.08% (24/1150); *An. coluzzii* 0.53% (22/4151)).

Concerning the 388 samples analysed in LSTM from VK7 and Tiefora, no positive amplicon was found in any sample. However, positives bands were observed in positive control using the same protocol.

Phylogenetic analysis of Wolbachia

Phylogenetic analysis of the 16S rRNA gene sequences used in this study (70 published sequences, 17 from this study, one positive control from *Wolbachia* of *Culex pipiens*) resulted in a curated alignment of 317 nucleotides in length. A midpoint-rooted tree showed that all sequences obtained from this study clustered with supergroup B *Wolbachia*. From this study, 12 sequences of *An. gambiae* and *An. coluzzii* were noted to cluster into a weakly supported clade (Figure 2) along-side wAlbB, and six previously published sequences collected from *An.*

gambiae in Burkina Faso and Guinea. The remaining six sequences obtained from this study were observed to be distributed throughout supergoup B, with none in supergoup A. Contrasting with the sequences from this study, previously published sequences from *An. gambiae* were observed to be distributed throughout both supergroups A and B (Figure 2).

When the alignment was reduced to look at the 18 sequences from this study, a total of six variant sites were observed, with five of these sites being unique to one sequence (Data S2, sample Sawadogo-15). Expanding this alignment to include all sequences from *Anopheles* mosquitoes, as well as *Wolbachia* from A. *albopictus*, a total of 31 variant sites were observed. The majority of these variant sites were observed to occur within seven of the published *Wolbachia* sequences, all of which cluster within supergroup A of the phylogenetic tree.

DISCUSSION

Despite being common among *Culex* and some *Aedes* mosquitoes (Carvajal et al., 2019), *Wolbachia* infections are relatively rare in most *Anopheles species*. While there are emerging reports suggesting that some *Anopheles* possess low prevalence infections, these studies use nested PCR which is a highly sensitive technique that could amplify environmental DNA. As such the veracity of these studies has been questioned (Chrostek & Gerth, 2019). In contrast, a recent study demonstrated that *An. moucheti* and *An. demeilloni* possessed high-density maternally transmitted *Wolbachia* strains (Walker et al., 2021). Further genomic analysis of these strains indicated similar metabolic pathways to other *Wolbachia* strain and a close relationship to *Wolbachia* strains found to stably infect *Drosophila simulans* and *D. mauritiana* (Quek et al., 2022). Our expanded survey of *Wolbachia* from





An. gambiae collected in Burkina Faso found an extremely low prevalence of amplicon positive samples using the nested-PCR screening approach.

In our study, analysis of 4953 samples collected over a twelveyear period in Burkina Faso found only 0.59% (29/4953) were amplicon positive for *Wolbachia*. This contrasts with an infection rate of 46% (275/602) in An. coluzzii in 2014 in the same area (Shaw et al., 2016) and varying spatially and temporally with samples from Mali with a minimal prevalence of 45% in Dangassa in 2015 reaching 95% (38/40) in An. gambiae sl in Kenieroba in 2016 (Gomes et al., 2017). In our study, screening conducted in parallel at the Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, to detect Wolbachia in An. gambiae sl. survivors of pyrethroid exposure collected in 2011 and 2012 from the same geographic region, failed to detect any Wolbachia samples in 348 individuals screened. Corroborating our findings, more recent studies using amplicon sequencing of the 16S rRNA gene also found minimal evidence for Wolbachia infection of An. gambiae in Burkina Faso. The relative abundance of *Wolbachia* in the An. gambiae microbiome was 0.00002% (Zoure et al., 2019), while amplicon sequencing of nested-PCR positive individuals only found 42 Wolbachia reads constituting 0.04% relative abundance of the microbiome (Straub et al., 2020). Taken together, these data indicate that An. gambiae are free from Wolbachia or if these are true infections, their low prevalence in the population suggests it is challenging for the bacterium to establish within the population.

While some of our amplicons were Sanger sequenced and had similarity to Wolbachia, we cannot discount the possibility these were false positives. While we did not explicitly determine the specificity rate of this nested PCR, presuming it had a specificity rate of 99%, we would expect on average 49 false positive PCRs. These figures are in line with our 29 amplicon positive samples. Similarly, these amplicon positive samples, several of which appear to be on the limits of detection, could have been due to amplification of environmental DNA encoding for the wsp gene. For example, it is known that microbial DNA can persist within soil for years (Nielsen et al., 2007). It is also possible that the signal was due to Wolbachia bacteria that were transiently associated with the mosquito. This may be facilitated by ectoparasitic mites or midges, infection with endoparasitic nematodes, cohabitation with other arthropods hosting these bacteria, or acquired through the nectar feeding in plants (Chrostek et al., 2017). Indeed, it has been shown that Wolbachia can persist in plants on which Wolbachia-infected insects feed and then be detected in previously uninfected insects reared on the same plants (Chrostek et al., 2017). As malaria vectors feed on plant nectar and fruits in the wild, traces of environmental DNA encoding for Wolbachia genes may accumulate in their guts (Chrostek & Gerth, 2019). It is not currently possible to say with certainty if any of the above possible sources of potential contamination may have contributed to the high prevalence we observed in the 2014 study. However, our current study shows that Wolbachia is present at a very low prevalence in An. gambiae, we saw more amplicon positive samples from villages (Soumousso (6.34%) and VK7 (3.41%)) where it had been detected previously in 2006 (Baldini et al., 2014; Shaw et al., 2016). This could possibly indicate there was a biological factor, such as a Wolbachia-infected arthropod that cohabitated with An. gambiae, in this region and time, producing amplicon positive signals. As low prevalence, low intensity infections are challenging to accurately detect it is not possible to conclude with certainty that the Wolbachia detected in this study, or our earlier published studies (Baldini et al., 2014; Shaw et al., 2016) are native infections of Anopheles.

The evidence suggests there is no established Wolbachia infection in An. gambiae in Burkina Faso. These mosquitoes therefore appear to be an open niche for transinfection (Hughes & Rasgon, 2014). Although challenging, the development of a transinfected An. gambiae line would enhance prospects to implement either Wolbachia-based population suppression or population replacement control strategies. While improbable, should it eventuate that there is a stable low prevalence Wolbachia infection that induces reproductive manipulations in An. gambiae, control approaches can still be implemented, as Wolbachia control can still be undertaken in species which harbour native infections (Jeffries & Walker, 2016). For example, a double infection could be used for population replacement while a strain that induces bidirectional cytoplasmic incompatibility would be effective for population suppression control. As such, the pursuit to develop a stable transinfected line in An. gambiae is a worthwhile endeavour to expand the tools available for the control of malaria.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

Simon P. Sawadogo, Grant L. Hughes, and Roch K. Dabiré designed the investigations; SPS coordinated the field collection; Simon P. Sawadogo, Didier A. Kabore and Angela Hughes performed laboratory analysis of samples, Simon P. Sawadogo, Shannon Quek, Ezechiel B. Tibiri and Olivier Gnankine undertake the phylogenetic Analysis; Simon P. Sawadogo, Grant L. Hughes, Hilary Ranson and Roch K. Dabiré wrote the manuscript with the inputs from Didier A. Kabore, Ezechiel B. Tibiri, Angela Hughes, Shannon Quek, Olivier Gnankine and Abdoulaye Diabaté. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are grateful to the populations of the study sites for their sincere cooperation during mosquito sampling. This work was supported by the Partnership for Increasing the Impact of Vector Control (PIIVeC) funded by the Medical Research Council of the UK (grant number MR/P027873/1) through the Global Challenges Research Fund.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

DATA AVAILABILITY STATEMENT

The data supporting the conclusions of this article are included within the article and its additional files.

ORCID

Hilary Ranson D https://orcid.org/0000-0003-2332-8247

REFERENCES

- Altschup, S.F., Gish, W., Miller, W., Myers, E.W. & Lipman, D.J. (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular Biology*, 215, 403–410.
- Ayala, D., Akone-EllA, O., Rahola, N., Kengne, P., Ngangue, M.F., Mezeme, F. et al. (2019) Natural Wolbachia infections are common in the major malaria vectors in Central Africa. Evolutionary Applications, 12, 1583–1594.

- Baldini, F., Segata, N., Pompon, J., Marcenac, P., Shaw, W.R., Dabiré, R.K. et al. (2014) Evidence of natural Wolbachia infections in field populations of Anopheles gambiae. Nature Communications, 5, 3985.
- Bao, Y., Chetvernin, V. & Tatusova, T. (2014) Improvements to pairwise sequence comparison (PASC): a genome-based web tool for virus classification. Archives of Virology, 159, 3293–3304.
- Bian, G., Joshi, D., Dong, Y., Lu, P., Zhou, G., Pan, X. et al. (2013) Wolbachia invades Anopheles stephensi populations and induces refractoriness to plasmodium infection. Science, 340, 748–751.
- Carvajal, T.M., Hashimoto, K., Harnandika, R.K., Amalin, D.M. & Watanabe, K. (2019) Detection of *Wolbachia* in field-collected *Aedes aegypti* mosquitoes in metropolitan Manila, Philippines. Parasites & Vectors, 12, 361.
- Chrostek, E. & Gerth, M. (2019) Is Anopheles gambiae a natural host of Wolbachia? MBio, 10, e00784–19.
- Chrostek, E., Marialva, M.S.P., Esteves, S.S., Weinert, L.A., Martinez, J., Jiggins, F.M. et al. (2013) Wolbachia variants induce differential protection to viruses in Drosophila melanogaster: a phenotypic and phylogenomic analysis. *PLoS Genetics*, 9, e1003896.
- Chrostek, E., Pelz-Stelinski, K., Hurst, G.G.D. & Hughes, G.L. (2017) Horizontal transmission of intracellular insect symbionts via plants. Frontiers in Microbiology, 8, 2237.
- Crawford, J.E., Clarke, D.W., Criswell, V., Desnoyer, M., Cornel, D., Deegan, B. et al. (2020) Efficient production of male Wolbachiainfected Aedes aegypti mosquitoes enables large-scale suppression of wild populations. *Nature Biotechnology*, 38, 482–492.
- Diabaté, A., Dabire, R.K., Kengne, P., Brengues, C., Baldet, T., Ouari, A. et al. (2006). Mixed Swarms of the Molecular M and S Forms of Anopheles gambiae (Diptera: Culicidae) in Sympatric Area from Burkina Faso. Journal of Medical Entomology, 43(3), 480-483. https:// doi.org/10.1603/0022-2585(2006)43[480:msotmm]2.0.co;2
- Gillies, M.T. & Coetzee, M. (1987) A supplement to the Anophelinae of Africa south of the Sahara (Afrotropical region). In Publications of the South African Institute of Medical Research, Johnenesburg, South Africa, 55, 143p.
- Gillies, M.T. & de Meillon, B. (1968) The Anophelinae of Africa south of the Sahara (Ethiopan zoogeographical region). *Publications of the South African Institute for Medical Research*, 54, 343.
- Glaser, R.L. & Meola, M.A. (2010) The native Wolbachia endosymbionts of Drosophila melanogaster and Culex quinquefasciatus increase host resistance to West Nile virus infection. PLoS One, 5, e11977.
- Gomes, F.M., Hixson, B.L., Tyner, M.D.W., Ramirez, J.L., Canepa, G.E., Alves E Silva, T.L. et al. (2017) Effect of naturally occurring Wolbachia in Anopheles gambiae s.l. mosquitoes from Mali on plasmodium falciparum malaria transmission. PNAS, 114, 12566–12571.
- Hedges, L.M., Brownlie, J.C., O'Neill, S.L. & Johnson, K.N. (2008) Wolbachia and virus protection in insects. Science, 322, 702.
- Hoffmann, A.A., Montgomery, B.L., Popovici, J., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Johnson, P.H., Muzzi, F. et al. (2011) Successful establishment of *Wolbachia* in *Aedes* populations to suppress dengue transmission. *Nature*, 476, 454–457.
- Hughes, G.L., Dodson, B.L., Johnson, R.M., Murdock, C.C., Tsujimoto, H., Suzuki, Y. et al. (2014) Native microbiome impedes vertical transmission of Wolbachia in anopheles mosquitoes. PNAS, 111, 12498–12503.
- Hughes, G.L., Koga, R., Xue, P., Fukastu, T. & Rasgon, J.L. (2011) Wolbachia infections are virulent and inhibit the human malaria parasite plasmodium falciparum in Anopheles gambiae. PLoS Pathogens, 7, e1002043.
- Hughes, G.L. & Rasgon, J.L. (2014) Transinfection: a method to investigate Wolbachia-host interactions and control arthropod-borne disease. *Insect Molecular Biology*, 23(2), 141–151.
- Hughes, G.L., Vega-Rodriguez, J., Xue, P. & Rasgon, J.L. (2012) Wolbachia strain wAlbB enhances infection by the rodent malaria parasite plasmodium berghei in Anopheles gambiae mosquitoes. Applied and Environmental Microbiology, 78, 1491–1495.

- Indriani, C., Tantowijoyo, W., Rancès, E., Andari, B., Prabowo, E., Yusdi, D. et al. (2020) Reduced dengue incidence following deployments of Wolbachia-infected Aedes aegypti in Yogyakarta, Indonesia: a quasiexperimental trial using controlled interrupted time series analysis. *Gates Open Research*, 4, 50.
- Jeffries, C.L., Lawrence, G.G., Golovko, G., Kristan, M., Orsborne, J., Spence, K. et al. (2018) Novel *Wolbachia* strains in *anopheles* malaria vectors from sub-Saharan Africa. *Wellcome Open Research*, 3, 113.
- Jeffries, C.L. & Walker, T. (2016) Wolbachia biocontrol strategies for Arboviral diseases and the potential influence of resident Wolbachia strains in mosquitoes. *Current Tropical Medicine Reports*, 3, 20–25.
- Joshi, D., McFadden, M.J., Bevins, D., Zhang, F. & Xi, Z. (2014) Wolbachia strain wAlbB confers both fitness costs and benefit on Anopheles stephensi. Parasites & Vectors, 7, 1–9.
- Kalyaanamoorthy, S., Minh, B.Q., Wong, T.K.F., Haeseler, A. & Jermiin, L.S. (2017) ModelFinder: fast model selection for accurate phylogenetic estimates. *Nature Methods*, 14, 587–589.
- Kambris, Z., Blagborough, A.M., Pinto, S.B., Blagrove, M.S., Godfray, H.C., Sinden, R.E. et al. (2010) Wolbachia stimulates immune gene expression and inhibits plasmodium development in Anopheles gambiae. PLoS Pathogens, 6, e1001143.
- Kambris, Z., Cook, P.E., Phuc, H.K. & Sinkins, S.P. (2009) Immune activation by life-shortening Wolbachia and reduced filarial competence in mosquitoes. Science, 326, 134–136.
- Katoh, K., & Standley, D.M. (2013). MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: Improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 30(4), 772–780. https://doi.org/10. 1093/molbev/mst010
- Laven, H. (1967) Eradication of Culex pipiens fatigans through cytoplasmic incompatibility. Nature, 216, 383–384.
- Moreira, L.A., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Jeffery, J.A., Lu, G., Pyke, A.T., Hedges, L.M. et al. (2009) A Wolbachia symbiont in Aedes aegypti limits infection with dengue, chikungunya, and plasmodium. Cell, 139, 1268–1278.
- Murdock, C.C., Blanford, S., Hughes, G.L., Rasgon, J.L. & Thomas, M.B. (2014) Temperature alters *plasmodium* blocking by *Wolbachia*. *Scientific Reports*, 4, 3932.
- Nguyen, L-T., Schmidt, H.A., von Haeseler, A., & Minh, B.Q. (2014). IQ-TREE: A fast and effective stochastic algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution*, 32(1), 268–274. https://doi. org/10.1093/molbev/msu300
- Nielsen, K., Johnsen, P., Bensasson, D. & Daffonchio, D. (2007) Release and persistence of extracellular DNA in the environment. *Environmental Biosafety Research*, 6, 37–53.
- Quek, S., Cerdeira, L., Jeffries, C., Tomlinson, S., Walker, T., Hughes, G.L., et al. (2022). Wolbachia endosymbionts in two Anopheles species indicates independent acquisitions and lack of prophage elements. *Microbial Genomics*, 8(4). https://doi.org/10.1099/mgen.0.000805
- Rossi, P., Ricci, I., Cappelli, A., Damiani, C., Ulissi, U., Mancini, M.V. et al. (2015) Mutual exclusion of Asaia and Wolbachia in the reproductive organs of mosquito vectors. Parasites & Vectors, 8, 278.
- Santolamazza, F., Mancini, E., Simard, F., QI, Y., Tu, Z. & Torre Della, A. (2008) Insertion polymorphisms of SINE200 retro transposons within speciation islands of *Anopheles gambiae* molecular forms. *Malaria Journal*, 7, 163.
- Shaw, W.R., Marcenac, P., Childs, L.M., Buckee, C.O., Baldini, F., Sawadogo, S.P. et al. (2016) Wolbachia infections in natural anopheles populations affect egg laying and negatively correlate with plasmodium development. Nature Communications, 7, 11772.
- Straub, T.J., Shaw, W., Marcenac, P., Sawadogo, S.P., Dabire, R.K., Diabate, A. et al. (2020) The anopheles coluzzii microbiome and its interaction with the intracellular parasite Wolbachia. Scientific Reports, 10, 13847.

- Utarini, A., Indriani, C., Ahmad, R.A., Tantowijoyo, W., Arguni, E., Ansari, M.R. et al. (2021) Efficacy of Wolbachia-infected mosquito deployments for the control of dengue. New England Journal of Medicine, 384, 2177–2186.
- Walker, T., Johnson, P.H., Moreira, L.A., Iturbe-Ormaetxe, I., Frentiu, F.D., Mc-Meniman, C.J. et al. (2011) The wMel *Wolbachia* strain blocks dengue and invades caged Aedes aegypti populations. *Nature*, 476, 450–453.
- Walker, T., Quek, S., Jeffries, C.L., Bandibabone, J., Dhokiya, V., Bamou, R. et al. (2021) Stable high-density and maternally inherited Wolbachia infections in *anopheles moucheti* and *anopheles demeilloni* mosquitoes. *Current Biology*, 31, 1–11.
- Werren, J.H., Baldo, L. & Clark, M.E. (2008) Wolbachia: master manipulators of invertebrate biology. Nature Reviews Microbiology, 6, 741–751.
- Werren, J.H. & Windsor, D.M. (2000) Wolbachia infection frequencies in insects: evidence of a global equilibrium? Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 267, 1277–1285.
- Zheng, X., Zhang, D., Li, Y., Yang, C., Wu, Y., Liang, X. et al. (2019) Incompatible and sterile insect techniques combined eliminate mosquitoes. *Nature*, 572, 56–61.
- Zink, S.D., van Slyke, G.A., Palumbo, M.J., Kramer, L.D. & Ciota, A.T. (2015) Exposure to West Nile virus increases bacterial diversity and immune gene expression in *Culex pipiens*. *Viruses*, 7(10), 5619–5631.
- Zoure, A.A., Sare, A.R., Yameogo, F., Somda, Z., Massart, S., Badolo, A. et al. (2019) Bacterial communities associated with the midgut

microbiota of wild Anopheles gambiae complex in Burkina Faso. Molecular Biology Reports, 47, 211–224.

SUPPORTING INFORMATION

Additional supporting information can be found online in the Supporting Information section at the end of this article.

Figure S1. Single-nucleotide variant alignment of all sequences from *Anopheles gambiae*, and *Wolbachia* of *Aedes albopictus*.

Table S1. Details of mosquito samples from Burkina Faso tested for the presence of Wolbachia at LSTM.

How to cite this article: Sawadogo, S.P., Kabore, D.A., Tibiri, E.B., Hughes, A., Gnankine, O., Quek, S. et al. (2022) Lack of robust evidence for a *Wolbachia* infection in *Anopheles* gambiae from Burkina Faso. *Medical and Veterinary Entomology*, 1–8. Available from: <u>https://doi.org/10.1111/</u> <u>mve.12601</u>