Transfers between health facilities of people living with diabetes attending primary health care services in the Western Cape Province of South Africa: A retrospective cohort study
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Abstract

Objectives: Transfers between health facilities of people living with HIV attending primary health care (PHC) including hospital to PHC facility, PHC facility to hospital and PHC facility to PHC facility transfers occur frequently, affect health service planning, and are associated with disengagement from care and viraemia. Data on transfers among people living with diabetes attending PHC, particularly transfers between PHC facilities, are few. We assessed the transfer incidence rate of people living with diabetes attending PHC, and the association between transfers between PHC facilities and subsequent HbA1c values.

Methods: We analysed data on HbA1c tests at public sector facilities in the Western Cape Province (2016–March 2020). Individuals with an HbA1c in 2016–2017 were followed-up for 27 months and included in the analysis if ≥18 years at first included HbA1c, ≥2 HbA1cs during follow-up and ≥1 HbA1c at a PHC facility. A visit interval was the duration between two consecutive HbA1cs. Successive HbA1cs at different facilities of any type indicated any transfer, and HbA1cs at different PHC facilities indicated a transfer between PHC facilities. Mixed effects logistic regression adjusted for sex, age, rural/urban facility attended at the start of the visit interval, disengagement (visit interval >14 months) and a hospital visit during follow-up assessed the association between transfers between PHC facilities and HbA1c values.

Results: Among 102,813 participants, 22.6% had ≥1 transfer of any type. Including repeat transfers, there were 29,994 transfers (14.4 transfers per 100 person-years, 95% confidence interval [CI] 14.3–14.6). A total of 6996 (30.1%) of those who transferred had a transfer between PHC facilities. Visit intervals with a transfer between PHC facilities were longer (349 days, interquartile range [IQR] 211–503) than those without any transfer (330 days, IQR 182–422). The adjusted relative odds of an HbA1c ≥8% after a transfer between PHC facilities versus no transfer were 1.20 (95% CI 1.05–1.37).

Conclusion: The volume of transfers involving PHC facilities requires consideration when planning services. Individuals who transfer between PHC facilities require additional monitoring and support.
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INTRODUCTION

In low- and middle-income countries (LMIC), the prevalence of chronic non-communicable diseases (NCDs) including diabetes is increasing rapidly, alongside ongoing chronic infectious disease epidemics [1, 2]. Approximately three-quarters of the 537 million adults with diabetes globally live in LMIC and the prevalence is projected to increase [3, 4]. In South Africa the prevalence of diabetes increased from 7.1% in 2011 to 10.8% in 2021, with 4.2 million adults estimated to be living with diabetes in 2019 [4, 5]. Chronic conditions require long-term and continuous care to prevent complications and reduce mortality [6]. However, healthcare systems in LMIC have developed to address acute health problems, and provision of care for people with NCDs including for people living with diabetes (PLD) is suboptimal [7–9]. In many LMIC including South Africa, levels of retention in care among PLD are low and, among those in care, few are controlled on treatment [10–13]. Poor outcomes are largely due to the episodic and unstructured care currently provided in LMIC and strategies to improve the provision of long-term, continuous care in these settings is required. However, most research to inform such changes is from high-income countries and research from LMICs is urgently needed.

Among PLD, reduced continuity of care has been associated with reduced adherence to treatment, impaired glycemic control, increased diabetes-related complications and increased mortality [14–20]. Continuity of care may be disrupted when patients move between health care providers and/or health facilities. Measures of continuity of care include indices assessing continuity to providers and/or facilities, and it is not always clear in these studies whether a transfer between health facilities occurred. Transfers include up-referrals (e.g., transfers from lower to higher levels of care for more complex clinical care), down-referrals (e.g., transfers from hospitals to primary health care [PHC] facilities for ongoing care), and lateral transfers (transfers between facilities at the same level of care, such as between PHC facilities). PHC is integral to providing care for chronic conditions and, among PLH, transfers involving PHC facilities occur frequently and may affect planning of health care services including resource allocation, drug forecasting, and referral systems. Further, while previous research among PLH has focused on up-referrals [21–23] and down-referrals [24–27], recent analyses have shown that transfers between PHC facilities occur frequently for reasons that include geographic mobility, which occurs frequently in LMIC [28].

Among PLD, few studies have assessed the frequency of transfers involving PHC facilities, particularly in LMIC. Studies in high-income countries include one conducted in South Korea: among 457,975 PLD attending hospitals and PHC facilities, 33% transferred between facilities over a one-year period, of whom 53% transferred between PHC facilities [29]. In Kenya, people with NCDs including diabetes, asthma and cardiovascular diseases transferred due to medication stockouts [30] and in Uganda, PLD transferred due to high costs of transport or treatment or to receive better care [31], indicating that transfers do occur in LMIC. The frequency of transfers in LMIC, however, is unclear. Transfers may affect planning of diabetes health services [32, 33]. Insufficient monitoring equipment and treatments for diabetes have been described in sub-Saharan Africa [34] and understanding the overall volume of transfers involving PHC facilities is vital to improving the availability of diabetes care at PHC level. Research on outcomes of transfers among PLD has focused on up-referrals [35–37] and down-referrals [38–45]. In particular, PLD who are discharged from the hospital are at risk of loss to follow-up and readmission, and numerous interventions have been tested to improve outcomes [38–41, 43–45]. However, data on outcomes of transfers between PHC facilities among PLD are limited.

Levels of geographic mobility are high in LMIC [46], meaning that PLD attending PHC may require transfers between PHC facilities. In addition, decentralisation of chronic care services in many LMIC means that there are increasing numbers of PHC facilities between which people can transfer [47, 48]. Transfers between PHC facilities among PLD are thus an important area for investigation. To address these gaps, we used routinely collected data from public sector health care facilities across the Western Cape Province to investigate transfers among PLD including the frequency of transfers involving PHC facilities, the frequency of transfers between PHC facilities and the outcomes of transfers between PHC facilities.

METHODS

Setting and data source

The study was conducted across public sector healthcare facilities in the Western Cape Province. The province is divided into 6 health districts and 32 sub-districts [49]. Of the six sub-districts, one is urban and densely populated (Cape Town Metropole) and five are rural (West Coast, Cape Winelands, Overberg, Garden Route and Central Karoo) [50, 51]. The Western Cape population was estimated at 6.3 million in 2016, with 64% residing in the Cape Town Metropole [51]. Over 80% of the population in the province attend public sector health care facilities [52] which comprise 52 hospitals and 354 PHC facilities [53]. Between 2012 and 2019, 64% of public sector health care facility visits by PLD in the province were in the Cape Town Metropole.

The prevalence of diabetes in the province was estimated at 11.2% (95% confidence interval [CI] 8.3–15.0) in 2012, which was higher than the national prevalence of 9.5% (95% CI 8.0–11.2) [10]. Approximately 18,000 people, of whom 60% are women, start diabetes treatment each year. Most diabetic patients are 40–65 years old (58%) and nearly one-third are >65 years old [54]. In the Western Cape in 2010, almost 60% of people previously diagnosed with diabetes were not on treatment and 33% had raised random
blood glucose measurements [55]. Each sub-district in the province has community-based, PHC and district hospital services [49, 56]. PHC facilities include community health clinics and community health centres. PLD attending PHC services are managed by nurse practitioners and PHC doctors [51, 57]. Patients at PHC facilities who require more complex medical care are up-referred to district hospitals and, if necessary, to regional or tertiary hospitals, which are at the provincial level [54]. Patients at higher levels of care who are no longer in need of specialised care may be down-referred to a lower level health facility for continued management [58].

National guidelines for the diagnosis of diabetes and HbA1c monitoring at the time of the study are summarised in Table S1. Diabetes was diagnosed in individuals with either a fasting plasma glucose \( \geq 7.0 \) mmol/L, a 2-h plasma glucose during an oral glucose tolerance test \( \geq 11.1 \) mmol/L, an HbA1c \( \geq 6.5 \% \), or symptoms of diabetes together with a random plasma glucose \( \geq 11.1 \) mmol/L [57, 59, 60]. Recommendations for HbA1c testing frequency ranged from three to six monthly if treatment was changed, and from 6 to 12 monthly if treatment goals were met. Targets for HbA1c varied between guidelines, with some recommending individualised targets. Generally, \(<7\%\) was considered optimal for most patients, with additional action recommended for an HbA1c >8%.

All public sector health facilities in the province have access to HbA1c testing through the National Health Laboratory Service (NHLS); tests are processed by the NHLS using NGSP-certified methods. Data from laboratory test request forms are captured electronically and stored by the NHLS Corporate Data Warehouse. For this analysis, data on all HbA1c tests done at public sector health facilities in the Western Cape, including hospitals and PHC facilities, from 1 January 2016 to 31 December 2021 were obtained from the NHLS. A unique patient identifier is used in the province and multiple tests in the same individual can thus be tracked across health care facilities. Variables obtained included patient sex and age, and the facility, date and result of each HbA1c test.

### Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Individuals <18 years of age at their first included HbA1c test were excluded from the cohort. While data were available up to 31 December 2021, we censored data for this analysis at the end of March 2020 because facility attendance thereafter may have been affected by the national lockdown implemented in response to the COVID-19 pandemic. To allow equal duration of follow-up for all participants, individuals with their first HbA1C after 31 December 2017 were excluded, and those with an HbA1c done between 01 January 2016 and 31 December 2017 were censored 27 months after their first included test. Those with at least two HbA1c tests in the 27-month follow-up period were potentially eligible, and because the focus of the analysis was individuals attending PHC, those without an HbA1c at a PHC facility in this period were excluded. Individuals with one or more HbA1cs conducted at correctional facilities and private or independent health care facilities including care facilities were also excluded.

### Definitions

Each HbA1c test represented a health facility visit. A visit interval was defined as the time period between two consecutive visits in one participant (Figure S1). Community health clinics and community health centres were categorised as PHC facilities. District, regional and tertiary hospitals were categorised as hospitals. A transfer of any type was defined as successive HbA1cs documented at different facilities, regardless of the type of facility, in one individual. Transfers between PHC facilities were defined as an HbA1c at a PHC facility with the subsequent HbA1c at a different PHC facility in the same individual. We were unable to distinguish between self-transfers (a transfer in which the individual did not inform the initial facility) and official transfers (a transfer in which the individual informed the initial facility and obtained a referral letter) using these data, and the above definitions include both types of transfer [61]. Attendance at a hospital was determined by record of an HbA1c conducted at a hospital in an individual and was used as an indicator of clinical status. With a maximum recommended duration between HbA1cs of 12 months, we defined a disengagement as >14 months between visits for the primary analysis [57, 59, 60]. As some guidelines recommended a maximum of 6 months between tests, we conducted a sensitivity analysis with disengagement defined as a visit interval >7 months. Based on South African National Guidelines at the time, a raised HbA1c was defined as \( \geq 8\% \), with sensitivity analyses defining a raised HbA1c as \( >7\% \).

### Analysis

Data were analysed using STATA/BE version 17.0 (Stata Corporation, College Station, TX). Frequencies and proportions, means with standard deviations or medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs) were calculated to summarise quantitative variables. The proportions of participants with one or more transfers overall, and with at least one transfer between PHC facilities were tabulated. Participant characteristics were described for the whole cohort, for those who did or did not have a transfer of any type, and for those who transferred between PHC facilities.

Transfer rates were calculated for all transfer events from the first HbA1c to the end of the study period, including multiple events per participant. Maximum possible duration of follow-up was 27 months per individual. Participants with at least one HbA1c in the last 14 months of their follow-up (between 13 and 27 months after their first HbA1c) were censored at 27 months after their first HbA1c.
Those without an HbA1c in this period were censored 7 months after their last HbA1c.

To assess predictors of transfer, generalised estimating equations with an unstructured working correlation with Poisson regression were used to account for repeated measures in participants. Potential confounders were identified a priori. Multivariable models assessing predictors of any transfer were adjusted for age, sex, having at least one HbA1c in a rural district and the value of the first HbA1c test. In addition to these variables, models assessing predictors of transfers between PHC facilities were adjusted for attendance at a hospital during follow-up.

Generalised mixed effects logistic models assessed the association between the occurrence of any transfer during a visit interval and an HbA1c ≥8% at the end of the interval adjusting for sex, age at the start of the interval, location of the visit at the start of the visit interval (rural vs urban) and occurrence of a disengagement during the visit interval (visit interval >14 months). In addition to these variables, models assessing the association between transfers between PHC facilities and an HbA1c ≥8% at the subsequent visit using an alternate definition of disengagement (visit interval >7 months). Finally, we conducted stratified analyses to assess effect modification of the relationship between transfers between PHC facilities and HbA1c by the occurrence of disengagement (visit interval >14 months) in the visit interval.

Ethical approval was obtained from the University of Cape Town Human Research Ethics Committee (R026/2022, current approval date 31 May 2023).

RESULTS

A total of 345,151 individuals had at least one HbA1c test in the Western Cape between January 2016 and March 2020 (Figure 1). Among these, 134,722 had their first HbA1c after December 2017 and were excluded. Of the 210,429 who had their first HbA1c between January 2016 and December 2017, 1849 were <18 years of age at the point of their first included HbA1c and were excluded. Including 2 years and 3 months of follow-up per individual, 91,467 had only one HbA1c during follow-up, 14,205 did not have an HbA1c at a PHC facility contributing 42,679 HbA1cs, 78 participants seen at a private facility contributing 233 HbA1cs, 17 with one or more visits at a correctional facility contributing 51 HbA1cs.

A total of 278,224 HbA1cs were conducted in this period.

FIGURE 1 Flowchart of participant inclusion.
was 321 days (IQR 175–424; Table S2). Across all visits, 68,151 (66.3%) participants had at least one HbA1c ≥8%.

Overall, 23,277/102,813 (22.6%) participants transferred at least once (including all transfer types) during follow-up. Among the 23,227 participants who transferred one or more times, 5542 (23.8%) had evidence of multiple transfers. Including repeat transfers per individual, a total of 29,994 episodes of transfer were documented for a transfer rate of 14.4 (95% confidence interval [CI] 14.3–14.6) transfers per 100 person-years. Of the 29,994 total transfers, 7884 (26.3%) were between PHC facilities and of the 23,277 participants who had at least one transfer of any type, 6996 (30.1%) had at least one transfer between PHC facilities.

The median duration of visit intervals was shorter when any transfer occurred (275 days, IQR 143–436) in the interval compared with when a transfer did not occur (330 days, IQR 182–422; Table S2). However, visit intervals in which a transfer between PHC facilities occurred were of longer duration (349 days, IQR 211–503) compared with those in which no transfer occurred. The median value of the first HbA1c result was higher in participants with any transfer (HbA1c 8.7%, IQR 6.8–11.1) and in those who transferred between PHC facilities (HbA1c 8.6%, IQR 6.9–10.8) compared with those who did not transfer (HbA1c 8.3%, IQR 6.7–10.5). A slightly higher proportion of individuals who transferred between PHC facilities had at least one HbA1c in a rural region (n = 2563, 36.6%) compared with those who did not transfer (n = 28,360, 35.7%). The majority of transfers between PHC facilities occurred within districts (n = 7043, 89.3%) and within subdistricts (n = 4985, 63.2%).

In a multivariable GEE Poisson regression model modelling the occurrence of any transfer, male sex (adjusted incidence rate ratio [aIRR] 1.04, 95% CI 1.01–1.06) and a first HbA1c ≥8% (aIRR 1.21, 95% CI 1.18–1.24) were associated with an increased rate of transfer, while having at least one HbA1c in a rural district was associated with a decreased rate of transfer (aIRR 0.84, 95% CI 0.82–0.86; Table 2). When assessing factors associated with a transfer between PHC facilities, a first HbA1c ≥8% was similarly associated with an increased transfer rate (aIRR 1.17, 95% CI 1.11–1.22). However, in contrast to any transfer, having at least one HbA1c in a rural district was associated with an increased rate of transfers between PHC facilities (aIRR 1.14, 95% CI 1.09–1.19). People with at least one hospital visit were 61% less likely to transfer between PHC facilities (aIRR 0.39, 95% CI 0.36–0.42).

In a mixed effects logistic model, the adjusted relative odds of an HbA1c ≥8% when any transfer occurred in a visit interval versus no transfer were 1.02 (95% CI 0.95–1.10; Table S3). The adjusted relative odds of an HbA1c ≥8% at the end of a visit interval in which a transfer between PHC facilities occurred compared with when no transfer occurred were 1.20 (95% CI 1.05–1.37; Table 3). Using an alternate definition of disengagement (>7 months between HbA1cs) did not substantially alter the odds of an HbA1c ≥8% after a transfer between PHC facilities versus no transfer (aOR 1.20, 95% CI 1.05–1.36; Table S4). In addition, the adjusted relative odds of an HbA1c ≥7% (aOR 1.16, 95% CI 1.02–1.32) after a transfer between PHC facilities compared with no transfer were similar to those for an HbA1c ≥8%. A mixed effects linear regression model modelling log HbA1c values showed similar results, with transfers between PHC facilities associated with a statistically significant increase in log HbA1c (coefficient 0.0013, 95% CI 0.0066 to 0.0161) versus no transfer (Table 4). Further sensitivity analyses restricted to visit intervals in which the HbA1c at the start of the interval was <8% produced consistent findings regarding the association between transfers between PHC facilities and increased HbA1c percentage (aOR 1.21, 95% CI 1.08–1.35; Table S5). In adjusted models stratified to include only visit intervals in which a disengagement occurred, the increased relative odds of an HbA1c ≥8% at the end of visit intervals in which a transfer between PHC facilities occurred versus intervals in which a transfer
between PHC facilities did not occur persisted (aOR 1.12, 95% CI 1.03–1.21; Table S6). Including only visit intervals in which a disengagement did not occur, the effect estimate remained above one but was reduced and was not statistically significant (aOR 1.09, 95% CI 0.94–1.27).

**DISCUSSION**

This analysis demonstrated high numbers of transfers of PLD attending PHC facilities, including transfers between PHC facilities. Approximately 23% of participants transferred once or more over the study period, of whom 30% transferred between PHC facilities. Risk factors for a PHC facility to PHC facility transfer included an HbA1c ≥ 8% at the first included visit and, compared with no transfer, transfers between PHC facilities were associated with a 19% increased relative odds of an HbA1c ≥8%.

The finding that almost one-quarter of individuals transferred at least once over the study period was slightly less than found in South Korea where 33% of individuals transferred one or more times [29]. In India, 42% of individuals with diabetes living in an urban slum transferred between health facilities but the study included only 60 people [62]. The overall transfer incidence rate over the study period of 14.4 (95% CI 14.3–14.6) per 100 person-years is similar to the incidence rate of 12.7 per 100 person-years (95% CI 12.6–12.8) found among PLH attending PHC facilities in the Western Cape between 2011 and 2018 [29, 63]. The rate of transfers is thus similar in these two distinct diseases with differing disease profiles, suggesting that transfers should be investigated for other chronic conditions, including NCDs. Further, large proportions of PLD are not in care and numerous health system inadequacies have been identified in sub-Saharan Africa including insufficient availability of monitoring equipment and treatments [34]. Transfers have implications for resource allocation and health system planning, and any efforts to improve access to diabetes care and availability of treatments and equipment at PHC level should thus consider the volume of transfers [32, 33]. Additional details on facility types and locations involved in transfers are required to facilitate planning.

The occurrence of any transfer in individuals attending PHC was not associated with a change in HbA1c percentage. However, this included up- and down referrals; patient characteristics, reasons for transfer and transfer processes likely differ for up-referrals, down-referrals and lateral transfers, and outcomes of these types of transfers may thus also differ. When considering transfers between PHC facilities specifically, transfers were associated with an increased HbA1c percentage and this was consistent across numerous sensitivity analyses. Worse outcomes among those who transferred between PHC facilities compared with those who did not transfer may be related to reduced continuity of care. Transfers may impair relational continuity which refers to an ongoing relationship between a patient and provider and has been associated with better

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 2</th>
<th>GEE Poisson regression model assessing predictors of transfers (n = 102,813 individuals).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any transfer, regardless of the type of facilities involved</td>
<td>Transfer between PHC facilities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Univariable</td>
<td>Multivariable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unadjusted IRR</td>
<td>95% CI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>1.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first HbA1c (years)</td>
<td>0.993</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First HbA1c ≥8.0%</td>
<td>1.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>At least one HbA1c in a rural district</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Abbreviations: CI, confidence interval; GEE, generalised estimating equations; IRR, incidence rate ratio.
quality of care for reasons that include better knowledge of the patient’s history and better communication [64]. Transfers may also affect informational continuity, which involves the use of information on past events to make care decisions, and managerial continuity, which refers to a consistent and coherent approach to patient management [64]. Continuity is also associated with improved patient satisfaction, which may lead to improved adherence to medical recommendations. These results also indicate that the occurrence of disengagement among those transferring between PHC facilities may affect outcomes. In stratified analyses, the association between transfers between PHC facilities and an HbA1c ≥8% was maintained when a disengagement occurred, with a 12% increase in the odds of an HbA1c ≥8% when a transfer between PHC facilities occurred compared with no transfer. This association was attenuated when a disengagement did not occur but was still increased, with a 9% increase in the odds an HbA1c ≥8% when a transfer between PHC facilities occurred compared with no transfer. However, this was not statistically significant. These results suggest that the effects of transfers on HbA1c results are modified by the presence of disengagement. In this analysis, the duration between visits was longer when a transfer between PHC facilities occurred compared with no transfer.

| TABLE 3 | Results of generalised mixed effects logistic regression modelling the association between transfers between PHC facilities and HbA1c category post-transfer (102,813 participants with 175,411 visit intervals). |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| **HbA1c ≥8.0%**          | **HbA1c ≥7.0%**          |
| Univariable             | Multivariable            | Univariable             | Multivariable            |
| **Unadjusted OR** (95% CI) | **Adjusted OR** (95% CI)        | **Unadjusted OR** (95% CI) | **Adjusted OR** (95% CI)        |
| **p**                   | **p**                   | **p**                   | **p**                   |
| Transfer between PHC facilities during the visit interval | 1.16 (1.04–1.30) | 0.008 | 1.20 (1.05–1.37) | 0.008 |
| One or more hospital visits during follow-up | 0.87 (0.80–0.95) | 0.002 | 0.88 (0.78–1.00) | 0.056 |
| Male sex | 0.67 (0.62–0.72) | <0.001 | 0.42 (0.37–0.47) | <0.001 |
| HbA1c at start of visit interval done at a facility in a rural district | 2.07 (1.93–2.22) | <0.001 | 2.29 (2.05–2.56) | <0.001 |
| Age at start of the visit interval (years) | 0.881 (0.879–0.884) | <0.001 | 0.890 (0.887–0.893) | <0.001 |
| Disengagement in the visit interval (>14 months between HbA1c tests) | 1.04 (0.98–1.10) | 0.193 | 1.10 (1.02–1.18) | 0.012 |

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TABLE 4</th>
<th>Results of generalised mixed effects linear regression modelling the association between transfers between PHC facilities and log HbA1c values (102,813 participants with 175,411 visit intervals).</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Univariable</strong></td>
<td><strong>Multivariable</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unadjusted coefficient (95% CI)</strong></td>
<td><strong>Adjusted coefficient (95% CI)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>p</strong></td>
<td><strong>p</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfer between PHC facilities during the visit interval</td>
<td>0.0111 (0.0063 to 0.0158)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>One or more hospital visits during follow-up</td>
<td>0.0023 (−0.0020 to 0.00067)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male sex</td>
<td>−0.0219 (−0.0253 to −0.0184)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HbA1c at start of visit interval done at a facility in a rural district</td>
<td>0.0470 (0.0436 to 0.0503)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age at first visit (years)</td>
<td>−0.0031 (−0.0033 to −0.0030)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disengagement in the visit interval (&gt;14 months between HbA1c tests)</td>
<td>0.0103 (0.0079 to 0.0127)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Further research into reasons for transfers between PHC facilities, transfer processes, and possible reasons for increased HbA1c values in PLD who transfer between PHC facilities is required to develop strategies to improve outcomes. The relationship between transfers and disengagement requires elucidation. In addition, monitoring of overall transfer numbers and outcomes is relevant to programme evaluation. Both HIV and TB programmes use well-established cohort monitoring systems to monitor individual and programme level outcomes; however, neither system reports outcomes in people who transfer [9, 65]. The importance of cohort analyses to improve the PHC response to the diabetes epidemic is well recognised and these results underscore the importance of monitoring transfers and transfer outcomes as part of chronic care programmes [9, 66, 67].

Strengths of this study include access to data from health facilities throughout the Western Cape. This, together with the use of a unique patient identifier in the province, allowed tracking of patient movement across facilities. HbA1c testing is an objective measure of disease control and is currently the standard of care monitoring test for PLD; we were thus able to monitor changes in disease control using an objective marker. Limitations of this analysis include that the data included only records of visits at which HbA1cs were taken. HbA1cs are not done at all visits, and the number of visits and the number of transfers will thus be underestimated. In addition, some patients may have had HbA1cs processed at private laboratories and records of these tests would not be included in NHLS records, but this number is expected to be small. We were unable to differentiate between silent and official transfers, and did not have data on a number of potential confounders including duration since diagnosis, comorbidities, treatment and complications of diabetes. HbA1c can be used as a diagnostic test and it is possible that some individuals in the analysis did not have diabetes. However, this number is expected to be small because at least two HbA1cs were required for inclusion in the cohort. In addition, 86% of participants had an HbA1c ≥6.5% which is diagnostic of diabetes. Lastly, these data are from one province of South Africa and research on transfers is required in other settings; however, we believe that these results may have relevance to other LMIC with high levels of mobility.

In conclusion, almost 23% of individuals attending PHC facilities transferred between health facilities one or more times during follow-up. Transfers between PHC facilities were associated with an increase in HbA1c percentage. Additional research is required to understand the reasons for transfers between PHC facilities among PLD, and how to improve outcomes in patients who transfer between PHC facilities. Tracking of patient transfers should be considered as part of patient and diabetes programme monitoring.
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