Contents lists available at [ScienceDirect](www.sciencedirect.com/science/journal/29497043)

# Science in One Health

journal homepage: <www.journals.elsevier.com/science-in-one-health>

Full length article

# Extended spectrum cephalosporin (ESC) resistant Escherichia coli: Trends and seasonality in the Netherlands from 2014 to 2022

Mich[a](#page-0-0)el S.M. Brouwer $^{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{*},1}$  $^{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{*},1}$  $^{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{*},1}$  $^{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{*},1}$  $^{\mathrm{a},\mathrm{*},1}$ , Eduardo de Freitas Costa $^{\mathrm{a},1}$ , Anita Dame-Korevaar $^{\mathrm{a}},$ Adam P. Ro[b](#page-0-3)erts <sup>b</sup>, Kees T. Veldm[a](#page-0-0)n <sup>a</sup>

<span id="page-0-3"></span><span id="page-0-0"></span><sup>a</sup> Wageningen Bioveterinary Research Part of Wageningen University and Research, Lelystad, the Netherlands **b** Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, United Kingdom

Extended-spectrum cephalosporin-resistant

ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: AMR Livestock Season ESBL

## ABSTRACT

Background: Antimicrobial resistance (AMR) in livestock and the environment likely contribute to the prevalence of AMR in humans with potential detrimental effects on human health. As such, annual mandatory monitoring of AMR in livestock occurs within the European Union (EU), according to harmonised methods. Extended-spectrum cephalosporins-resistant (ESC-resistant) Escherichia coli, including extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL), AmpC  $β$ -lactamases (AmpC) and carbapenemase producing E. coli, are considered of particular importance and are therefore included in the monitoring program. Methods: Using results from the annual monitoring of ESC-resistant E. coli from 2014–2022, trends in prevalence

per animal sector were determined over the complete time period, as well as potential seasonal effects.

Results: During these nine years, significant changes were observed in the prevalence of ESC-resistant E. coli, in broilers, dairy cattle and veal calves, while no changes in prevalence were seen in slaughter pigs. Furthermore, the prevalence of ESC-resistant E. coli is positively correlated with warmer seasons (summer and autumn) for both dairy cattle and veal calves, while no associations were found for broilers and slaughter pigs. While temperature itself may play a role in the prevalence of ESC-resistant  $E.$  coli, other factors affecting the selective landscape, such as antibiotic usage, will also play a role.

Conclusion: A combined analysis of antimicrobial usage and prevalence of ESC-resistant E. coli through the year, both in livestock and human samples, would be an interesting follow-up of this study.

### 1. Introduction

The challenge that antimicrobial resistance (AMR) poses for human healthcare has been recognised for a long time, and in most regions of the world, efforts to reduce the spread of antimicrobial resistant bacteria are made [\[1\]](#page-4-0). The abundant use of antimicrobials in human and veterinary medicine, growth promotion in livestock and agricultural usage has selected for an increase of resistance, both through vertical transmission of resistant organisms and horizontal spread of acquired AMR-genes on mobile genetic elements. Furthermore, transmission of antimicrobial

residues into the environment causes increased selective pressure outside of the intended field, creating a true One Health problem that is ubiquitously present on a global scale [[2](#page-4-1)].

Cephalosporins, specifically of the third and fourth generation, have been developed to act on a broad spectrum of Gram-negative bacteria, and are referred to here as extended-spectrum cephalosporins (ESCs). ESCs are part of the highest priority of clinically important antimicrobials (CIA) for human healthcare and resistance against these antimicrobials in Gramnegative bacteria is often monitored through the presence of ESC-

<span id="page-0-1"></span>\* Corresponding author.

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soh.2024.100083>

Received 9 August 2024; Accepted 17 October 2024

Available online 29 October 2024

2949-7043/© 2024 The Author(s). Published by Elsevier B.V. on behalf of Shanghai Jiao Tong University. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license [\(http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/)).







Abbreviations: AMR, antimicrobial resistance; EU, European Union; ESCs, extended-spectrum cephalosporins; ESC-resistant, extended-spectrum cephalosporinsresistant; ESBL, extended-spectrum β-lactamases; AmpC, AmpC β-lactamases; CIA, clinically important antimicrobials; WHO, World Health Organization; EFSA, European Food Safety Authority; MARAN, Monitoring of antimicrobial resistance and antibiotic usage in animals in the Netherlands; LOESS, locally estimated scatterplot smoothing; KNMI, Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute; ACF, autocorrelation function.

E-mail addresses: [mike.brouwer@wur.nl](mailto:mike.brouwer@wur.nl) (M.S.M. Brouwer), [eduardo.costa@wur.nl](mailto:eduardo.costa@wur.nl) (E. de Freitas Costa), [anita.dame@wur.nl](mailto:anita.dame@wur.nl) (A. Dame-Korevaar), [adam.roberts@](mailto:adam.roberts@lstmed.ac.uk) [lstmed.ac.uk](mailto:adam.roberts@lstmed.ac.uk) (A.P. Roberts), [kees.veldman@wur.nl](mailto:kees.veldman@wur.nl) (K.T. Veldman).

<span id="page-0-2"></span> $1$  These authors contributed equally to this work.

resistant Escherichia coli, as these bacteria are easy to culture, universally present in the gut of terrestrial animals and are generally accepted as representative of the presence of AMR encoding plasmids in bacterial populations [\[3\]](#page-4-2). Genotypically, ESC-resistance in E. coli can be attributed to the presence of extended-spectrum β-lactamases (ESBL), plasmid-mediated AmpC β-lactamases (ampC) genes, or chromosomal mutations in the ampC promotor region that induce hyper-production of the wildtype AmpC [\[4\]](#page-4-3).

As part of the Global Action Plan on AMR, the World Health Organization (WHO) recommends an integrated surveillance protocol entitled the "Tricycle protocol" to enable monitoring of ESC-resistant E. coli for humans, livestock and the environment at national, regional and international levels [[3](#page-4-2)]. Within the EU, such surveillance has been mandatory for food-producing animals since 2014 under implementing Decision 2013/652/EU [[5](#page-4-4)]. These data are summarised at European Union (EU) level by the European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) based on the data that is provided by the EU member states [\[6\]](#page-4-5). The data is summarised per year by certain countries at national level [[7](#page-4-6)]. For the Netherlands, these results are reported yearly in the Monitoring of antimicrobial resistance and antibiotic usage in animals in the Netherlands (MARAN) report [[8](#page-4-7)].

Analyses to compare the trends in resistance data for randomly isolated E. coli from livestock in the period of 1998–2016 were previously presented, in which a correlation is indicated between the significant reduction in resistance for several antimicrobial classes with a reduction in the usage of those antimicrobials [[9](#page-4-8)]. In this study, we aimed to analyse data from the monitoring on antimicrobial resistance in livestock in the Netherlands for selectively isolated ESC-resistant E. coli, assessing trends and seasonality over nine years (2014–2022).

#### 2. Materials and methods

ESC-resistant E. coli were selectively isolated from faecal samples of livestock as described by the EU Reference Laboratory for AMR [\[10](#page-4-9)]. The nvestock as described by the EU Reference Laboratory for AWR [10]. The<br>prevalence of ESC-resistant E. coli per livestock species between<br>2014–2022 was analysed from the raw data as reported in MARAN 2023 [[8](#page-4-7)]. One caecal sample was analysed per flock, prevalence and number of samples analysed per year are described in Supplementary material 2 (Table S1).

A time-series study was performed on the frequency of ESC-resistant E. coli in five different animal production sectors in the Netherlands: broilers, pigs, dairy cattle, rose veal calves and white veal calves. The time series analysis used a time interval of one season: Q1) March, April, and May (Spring); Q2) June, July, and August (Summer); Q3) September, October, and November (Autumn); and Q4) December, January, and February (Winter). The time series were first visually inspected to observe trends and possible seasonality during the nine-year period on the frequency of ESC-resistant E. coli. The dispersion of the temporal data was smoothed using locally estimated scatterplot smoothing (LOESS) as implemented in library 'ggplot2' in  $R$  [\[11](#page-4-10)]. For descriptive purposes we gathered data on the average monthly temperature, calculated based on the daily mean temperature in De Bilt in the Netherlands, which were downloaded from the archive of the Royal Netherlands Meteorological Institute (KNMI) [[12\]](#page-4-11) and averaged by season.

Generalized linear models were used, employing a log link and a Poisson distribution, i.e., time series regression models [\[13](#page-4-12)[,14](#page-5-0)]. The models were specified using the time as trend (i.e., 36 intervals resulting from nine years split into four seasons), and the seasons as a categorical variable in the fixed effects. For rosé and white veal calves, and for broilers, the visualization of ESC-resistant E. coli distribution over time leads to observed changes in the trend during the period 2014–2022. This was taken into account by including an interaction term in the model for the change in the direction of the trend after 2020, 2016, and 2017 for broilers, veal calves rose and white, respectively.

Differences on the frequency of ESC-resistant E. coli in the different seasons was tested using the detrended time series with the classic Wald test, employing an approximation by the chi-square distribution, as

implemented in  *routine 'Anova' from the 'car' library*  $[15]$  $[15]$ *. This was* followed up by pairwise comparisons made with a Bonferroni controlling for experiment wise error, as implemented in <sup>R</sup> routine 'emmeans' from library 'emmeans' [[16\]](#page-5-2). The models' adequacy is available in the supplementary material 1, and was assessed visually from an autocorrelation function (ACF) plot and using the Breusch–Godfrey test for serial correlation as implemented in <sup>R</sup> routine 'check\_residuals' from library 'forecast', and overdispersion as implemented in the <sup>R</sup> routine 'check\_overdispersion' from library 'performance' [\[17](#page-5-3),[18\]](#page-5-4). The descriptive analysis and the model were implemented in  $R$  [[19](#page-5-5)].

#### 3. Results

Based on publicly available data off weather trends in the Netherlands from 2014 to 2022, the year was divided into four seasons, spring, summer, autumn and winter, and the average prevalence of ESC-resistant E. coli was calculated [\(Fig. 1\)](#page-2-0). Results of the regression analysis determining the trends over the years and differences between seasons are presented in [Table 1](#page-3-0).

For broilers, there is an obvious reduction from 66 % in 2014 to 10 % in 2020, followed by a small increase to 15 % in 2022 ([Fig. 1](#page-2-0)). Indeed, a In 2020, followed by a sinall increase to 13 % in 2022 (Fig. 1). Indeed, a significant reduction of on average 6.8 % per quarter of a year was measured in the first period (2014–2020), followed by an average in-measured in the first period (2014–2020), followed by an average increase of 3.5 % in the second period (2021–2022) ([Table 1](#page-3-0)). When analysing the effects of the seasons on broilers, no significant correlation between season and ESC-resistant E. coli prevalence was measured ([Table 1,](#page-3-0) [Fig. 2](#page-3-1)).

ESC-resistant E. coli from slaughter pigs have been isolated at similar rates throughout the whole study period, without much fluctuation. Seasons also appear to have no influence on the frequency at which ESCresistant E. coli are isolated.

The frequency at which ESC-resistant E. coli are isolated is the lowest in dairy cattle. Over the period from 2014 to 2022, a significant increase of on average 1.4 % per quarter of a year was detected. Furthermore, a significant difference in average prevalence is measured between the summer and autumn seasons, which are predicted by the model at  $\sim$  20 % versus  $\sim$  5 % in the winter and spring seasons.

In the Netherlands, veal calves can be discriminated into two populations based on management practices, referred to as white and rosé veal calves. As antimicrobial usage in these populations differ, they are generally reported separately [\[20](#page-5-6)]. In both populations, a significant increase was measured, followed by a reduction [\(Table 1](#page-3-0)). For rosé veal calves, there was a significant increase of on average 10.7 % per quarter of a year from 2014 to 2016, followed by a significant reduction of on average 1.3 % between 2017 and 2022. In the white veal calves, the significant increase was an average of 7.1 % per quarter of a year from 2014 to 2017, followed by a significant reduction of on average 1.8 % between 2018 and 2022. In both sectors of veal calves, a significant difference is measured in the model for the seasons [\(Table 1\)](#page-3-0). In rosé veal calves, this prevalence was  $\sim$  49 % in summer and autumn versus  $\sim$  19 % in winter and spring. In white veal calves, this was  $\sim$  61 % in summer and autumn versus ~39 % in winter and spring.

#### 4. Discussion

ESC-resistant E. coli, including those possessing both ESBL and AmpCrelated mechanisms, are viewed as one of the most relevant bacteria for monitoring of AMR in a One Health setting, encompassing humans, livestock, food and the environment. In the Netherlands, ESC-resistant E. coli have been monitored selectively in livestock since 2014. In this study, these data from 2014 to 2022 were analysed to assess the trends over time, including seasonality, of ESC-resistant E. coli for different livestock sectors.

The prevalence of ESC-resistant E. coli in broilers in the Netherlands was much higher before 2010 when different policies were in place concerning antibiotic usage, although selective monitoring has only been

<span id="page-2-0"></span>

Fig. 1. Descriptive temporal distribution of the relative frequency (%) of ESC-resistant Escherichia coli (black line) in the Netherlands between 2014 and 2022. The primary y-axis and black line show the frequency of ESC-resistant E. coli per season between 2014 and 2022 in the Netherlands in five productive sectors: broilers, pigs, dairy farms, veal calves rosé and veal calves white. The blue line is the smoothed average over years. The red line and secondary y-axis show the average temperature  $(^{\circ}C)$  in each season. Q1: March, April, and May (Spring); Q2: June, July, and August (Summer); Q3: September, October, and November (Autumn); and Q4: December, January, and February (Winter).

in place since 2014 [[21,](#page-5-7)[22\]](#page-5-8). While this reduction was previously shown for non-selectively isolated ESC-resistant E. coli, here the reduction is confirmed using a selective isolation strategy, which is now recommended for monitoring purposes [\[3,](#page-4-2)[9](#page-4-8)]. Furthermore, the model that was used in this study indicates an increase in the prevalence of ESC-resistant E. coli in broilers in the period between 2021 and 2022.

This increase was probably caused by a change in the sampling strategy in the mandatory EU monitoring, where individual broilers within a flock were sampled until 2021, and a pooled sample of caecal content of 10 broilers per flock was used in 2022 [[10](#page-4-9)]. We hypothesize that the process of pooling animals for sampling results in an increase of sensitivity in the ESC-resistant E. coli detection. Assuming that within a batch the broilers have similar probability of being ESC-resistant E. coli positive, the probability of a sample being positive increases directly with the number of pooled animals in the sample, according to an hypergeometric distribution (i.e., increase of the batch sensitivity) [\[23\]](#page-5-9).

The prevalence of ESC-resistant E. coli has always been relatively low at dairy farms in the Netherlands (approximately 10 %), and few studies have been published in which this sector was thoroughly investigated [[24,](#page-5-10)[25\]](#page-5-11). Although the prevalence in dairy cattle is still relatively low (below 20 % during the whole time-series), the increasing trend over the years is surprising ([Fig. 1](#page-2-0), [Table 1\)](#page-3-0), and is unexplained at this time as <span id="page-3-0"></span>Table 1



Summer (Q2) 0.100 (0.080) 13.40 Autumn (Q3)  $0.170 (0.090)$  14.70<br>Winter (Q4)  $0.310 (0.480)$  17.00

 $\frac{1.11111}{1.11111}$   $\frac{1.11111}{1.1111}$   $\frac{1.11111}{1.1111}$   $\frac{1.11111}{1.1111}$   $\frac{1.11111}{1.1111}$   $\frac{1.11111}{1.1111}$   $\frac{1.11111}{1.1111}$   $\frac{1.11111}{1.1111}$   $\frac{1.11111}{1.1111}$   $\frac{1.11111}{1.1111}$   $\frac{1.1111$ 

Spring (Q1) – 18.80 Summer (Q2) 0.100 (0.080) 16.30

 $\frac{1}{2}$ Dairy cattle Intercept  $-3.100$ 

Summer (Q2) 1.400 (0.190) 22.00 (b) Autumn (Q3) 1.200 (0.190) 18.00 (b) Winter (Q4)  $-0.100 (0.240)$   $-3.100$   $\frac{1}{2}$  Rosé veal and the intercept  $-3.100$  –  $-$ 

Summer (Q2) 0.940 (0.230) 49.30 (b) Autumn (Q3) 0.950 (0.230) 49.50 (b) Winter (O4) 0.019 (0.310) 19.50 (a) White veal  $\frac{1}{2}$  Intercept  $\frac{2.100}{2.100}$  –  $\frac{2.100}{2.100}$  –

Winter (Q4) 0.310 (0.480)<br>Intercept -1.550

Winter (O4) 0.310 (0.480)

Autumn (Q3) 0.430 (0.110)

Spring (Q1) – 12.30 0.53

 $\frac{3.130}{2.130}$  Trend 2014–2022  $-0.006(0.004)$   $-0.68$  0.130

Autumn (Q3)  $0.170 (0.090)$  16.10 0.140<br>
Winter (O4) 0.310 (0.480) 17.10

Trend 2014–2022 0.010 (0.005) 1.40 0.010 Spring (Q1) – 5.50 (a) <sup>&</sup>lt; 0.001

 $\begin{array}{cccc}\n\text{Trend } 2014 - 2016 & 0.100 (0.020) & 10.70 < 0.001 \\
\text{Trend } 2016 - 2022 & -0.110 (0.030) & -1.30 < 0.001\n\end{array}$  $T$ rend 2016–2022  $-0.110 (0.030)$   $-1.30$   $-1.30$   $-0.001$ Spring (Q1) – 19.10 (a) – 19.1

 $T_{\text{reco}}$  2014–2017  $0.070 (0.010)$  7.10  $< 0.001$  7.10  $< 0.001$  $T$ rend 2017–2022  $-0.080(0.010)$   $-1.80$   $-0.080(0.010)$   $-1.80$ Spring (Q1) – 40.00 (a) <sup>&</sup>lt; 0.001

<span id="page-3-2"></span>

Summer (Q2) 0.420 (0.110) 61.00 (b)<br>Autumn (Q3) 0.430 (0.110) 62.00 (b)

<span id="page-3-3"></span><sup>b</sup> Letters 'a' and 'b' represent different outcomes of the model at the significance level of 0.05; pairwise comparisons correcting with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Abbreviations: ESC, extended spectrum cephalosporin; SE, standard error.

<span id="page-3-1"></span>

Fig. 2. ESC-resistant Escherichia coli frequency per season and sector between 2014 and 2022 in the Netherlands. Percentage per season averaged over the complete study period and the P value from the detrended time series. Letters 'a' and 'b' represent different outcomes of the model with statistical difference at the significance level of 0.05 obtained via pairwise comparisons correcting with Bonferroni correction for multiple comparisons. Q1: March, April, and May (Spring); Q2: June, July, and August (Summer); Q3: September, October, and November (Autumn); and Q4: December, January, and February (Winter).

antibiotic usage in this sector is low and includes no third and fourth generation cephalosporins [\[26](#page-5-12)]. If this increase persists over several years, it will be vital to study which underlying factors contribute to this increase.

In contrast to the dairy sector, in veal calves an increasing trend for ESC-resistant E. coli was detected both in rose and white veal calves several years earlier, although this increase is currently also unexplained [[27\]](#page-5-13). Nonetheless, prevalence has been decreasing again for both populations over the second half of the study period.

The sector of slaughter pigs is the only sector in which no significant trends were detected in the current study period, remaining always stable below 20 %. Each of the livestock systems described here is differently arranged in the Netherlands in terms of pastoral access, biosecurity and arrival of animals, length and arrangement of production cycles, management of airflow in stables and antimicrobial usage, and it is difficult to speculate which of these factors contribute to the trends in ESC-resistant E. coli prevalence in these sectors.

The second focus of the current study was to determine if seasonality could be detected from the dataset. So far, seasonality or temperature effects have been detected for ESBL-producing E. coli and Klebsiella in humans in German and Swiss hospitals, as well as in the general human population in the Netherlands, all of which indicated a positive correlation between a rise in temperature and prevalence of ESBL-producing E. coli [[28](#page-5-14)–[30](#page-5-14)]. However, a study in wastewater treatment plants in Germany found a negative association in which a reduced number of ESBL-producing E. coli were detected in the summer [\[31](#page-5-15)].

For this study, the year was divided into four quarters based on the average temperature per month for this analysis, see Supplementary material 2 (Table S2). No significant seasonal effects were detected for broilers and slaughter pigs. In dairy cattle, rose and white veal calves, a determined seasonal pattern was detected in which a peak in prevalence of ESC-resistant E. coli was observed in summer and autumn compared to winter and spring. While the average temperature in spring and autumn are similar, the differences in ESBL prevalence between these seasons may be caused by a delayed effect from the warmer summer season, similar to the results described by Bock et al. [\[29](#page-5-16)]. The presence or absence of seasonality in different types of livestock may lie in the types of stables that are used. Both for poultry and pigs in the Netherlands, the temperature in stables is more tightly regulated than in the dairy and veal farms, and for dairy cattle it is most common that animals graze in pastures in warming temperatures.

While the air temperature is considered in most studies mentioned above, other factors may play a role in the observed seasonality of ESCresistant E. coli prevalence in dairy cattle and veal calves, for which antimicrobial usage is the most obvious. Antimicrobial usage data in livestock animals in the Netherlands is publicly available per year, but not per month or season. It would be valuable for a future study to determine if the seasonal trends for ESC-resistant E. coli prevalence that were observed in this study are correlated with any trends over the seasons in antimicrobial usage and prevalence of specific infections in the veal and dairy sectors. Furthermore, the data for other antimicrobial resistant bacteria in livestock could be combined with seasonal antimicrobial usage data in a similar analysis as described in the current study, in order to see if other resistant organisms display similar trends in prevalence.

## CRediT authorship contribution statement

Michael S.M. Brouwer: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Project administration, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition, Data curation, Conceptualization. Eduardo de Freitas Costa: Writing – review & editing, Writing – original draft, Methodology, Formal analysis, Data curation. Anita Dame-Korevaar: Writing – review  $\&$ editing, Investigation, Formal analysis. Adam P. Roberts: Writing – review & editing, Investigation, Funding acquisition. Kees T. Veldman: Writing – review & editing, Methodology, Investigation, Funding acquisition.

### Data availability statement

Annual aggregated data are available in the Nethmap/MARAN report at [www.wur.nl/maran](http://www.wur.nl/maran).

## Funding

This project received funding from the Ministry of Agriculture, Nature and Food Quality in the Netherlands (grant number WOT-01-002-03.02), and the Medical Research Council (MRC), Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BBSRC), and Natural Environmental Research Council (NERC), which are all councils of UK Research and Innovation (grant number MR/W030578/1), and from ZonMw (grant number 10570132110004) under the umbrella of the JPIAMR (Joint Programming Initiative on Antimicrobial Resistance) project STRESST.

### Declaration of competing interest

Michael S.M. Brouwer is the editorial board member of Science in One Health. We have no other competing interests to disclose.

### Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Joop Testerink, Marga Japing, Arie Kant, Dylano Suanes-Lopez, Yvon Geurts and Alieda Zandbergen van Essen, and the NVWA for their contribution to the ongoing monitoring of resistant bacteria in livestock.

### Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at [https://doi.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soh.2024.100083) [org/10.1016/j.soh.2024.100083](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soh.2024.100083).

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>References

- <span id="page-4-1"></span>[1] S.P. Djordjevic, V.M. Jarocki, T. Seemann, M.L. Cummins, A.E. Watt, B. Drigo, et al., Genomic surveillance for antimicrobial resistance — a One Health perspective, Nat. Rev. Genet. 25 (2) (2023) 142–157, [https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-023-00649-y.](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41576-023-00649-y)
- [2] K.E. Arnold, G. Laing, B.J. McMahon, S. Fanning, D.J. Stekel, O. Pahl, et al., The need for One Health systems-thinking approaches to understand multiscale dissemination of antimicrobial resistance, Lancet Planet. Health 8 (2) (2024) e124–e133, [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196\(23\)00278-4.](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2542-5196(23)00278-4)
- <span id="page-4-3"></span><span id="page-4-2"></span>[3] WHO integrated global surveillance on ESBL-producing E. coli using a 'One Health' approach: implementation and opportunities. [https://www.who.int/publications-d](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240021402) [etail-redirect/9789240021402,](https://www.who.int/publications-detail-redirect/9789240021402) 2021. (Accessed 15 November 2023).
- <span id="page-4-4"></span>[4] M. Castanheira, P.J. Simner, P.A. Bradford, Extended-spectrum β-lactamases: an update on their characteristics, epidemiology and detection, JAC Antimicro. Resist. 3 (3) (2021) dlab092, [https://doi.org/10.1093/jacamr/dlab092.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jacamr/dlab092)
- <span id="page-4-5"></span>[5] EUR-Lex - 32013D0652 - EN - EUR-Lex. [https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dec\\_impl/20](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dec_impl/2013/652/oj) [13/652/oj](https://eur-lex.europa.eu/eli/dec_impl/2013/652/oj), 2013. (Accessed 22 November 2023).
- [6] Authority (EFSA) EFS, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control (ECDC), The European Union Summary Report on Antimicrobial Resistance in zoonotic and indicator bacteria from humans, animals and food in 2020/2021, EFSA J 21 (3) (2023) e07867, <https://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2023.7867>.
- <span id="page-4-7"></span><span id="page-4-6"></span>[7] Monitoring reports - EU reference laboratory – antimicrobial resistance. [https://](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/monitoring-reports.aspx) [www.eurl-ar.eu/monitoring-reports.aspx.](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/monitoring-reports.aspx) (accessed 4 January 2023).
- <span id="page-4-8"></span>[8] WUR, Monitoring of antimicobial resistance and antibiotic usage in animals in The Netherlands, 2023, p. 53. [https://www.wur.nl/maran.](https://www.wur.nl/maran) (Accessed 22 November 2023).
- [9] A. Hesp, K. Veldman, J. van der Goot, D. Mevius, G. van Schaik, Monitoring antimicrobial resistance trends in commensal Escherichia coli from livestock, The Netherlands, 1998 to 2016, Euro Surveill 24 (25) (2019) 1800438, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.25.1800438) [10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.25.1800438](https://doi.org/10.2807/1560-7917.ES.2019.24.25.1800438).
- <span id="page-4-10"></span><span id="page-4-9"></span>[10] Protocols - EU reference laboratory – antimicrobial resistance. [https://www.eurl-a](https://www.eurl-ar.eu/protocols.aspx) .eu/protocols.aspx. (accessed 15 November 2023).
- <span id="page-4-11"></span>[11] H. Wickham, ggplot 2, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2016. [http://link.](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-24277-4) [springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-24277-4.](http://link.springer.com/10.1007/978-3-319-24277-4) (Accessed 22 November 2023).
- <span id="page-4-12"></span>[12] KNMI - archief maand/seizoen/jaaroverzichten. [https://www.knmi.nl/nederl](https://www.knmi.nl/nederland-nu/klimatologie/maand-en-seizoensoverzichten/) [and-nu/klimatologie/maand-en-seizoensoverzichten/](https://www.knmi.nl/nederland-nu/klimatologie/maand-en-seizoensoverzichten/) (accessed 15 November 2023).
- [13] McCulloch C, Searle S, Neuhaus J. Generalized, Linear, and Mixed Models, second ed., Wiley, 2008. [https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Generalized%2C](https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Generalized%2C+Linear%2C+and+Mixed+Models%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9780470073711)+[Linear%2C](https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Generalized%2C+Linear%2C+and+Mixed+Models%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9780470073711)+ [and](https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Generalized%2C+Linear%2C+and+Mixed+Models%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9780470073711)+[Mixed](https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Generalized%2C+Linear%2C+and+Mixed+Models%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9780470073711)+[Models%2C](https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Generalized%2C+Linear%2C+and+Mixed+Models%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9780470073711)+[2nd](https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Generalized%2C+Linear%2C+and+Mixed+Models%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9780470073711)+[Edition-p-9780470073711](https://www.wiley.com/en-us/Generalized%2C+Linear%2C+and+Mixed+Models%2C+2nd+Edition-p-9780470073711) (accessed 10  $\,$ November 2023).
- <span id="page-5-0"></span>[14] K. Bhaskaran, A. Gasparrini, S. Hajat, L. Smeeth, B. Armstrong, Time series regression studies in environmental epidemiology, Int. J. Epidemiol. 42 (4) (2013) <sup>1187</sup>–1195, <https://doi.org/10.1093/ije/dyt092>.
- <span id="page-5-1"></span>[15] J. Fox, S. Weisberg, An R Companion to Applied Regression, third ed., Sage Publications, 2019. <https://www.john-fox.ca/Companion/index.html>. (Accessed 10 November 2023).
- <span id="page-5-2"></span>[16] R.V. Lenth, B. Bolker, P. Buerkner, I. Giné-Vázquez, M. Herve, M. Jung, et al., Emmeans: estimated marginal means, aka least-squares means. [https](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/emmeans/index.html) [://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/emmeans/index.html,](https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/emmeans/index.html) 2023. (Accessed 10 November 2023).
- <span id="page-5-3"></span>[17] R.J. Hyndman, Y. Khandakar, Automatic time series forecasting: the forecast package for R, J. Stat. Software 27 (3) (2008) 1–22, [https://doi.org/10.18637/](https://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v027.i03)  $s.v027.$ i $03.$
- <span id="page-5-4"></span>[18] D. Lüdecke, M. Ben-Shachar, I. Patil, P. Waggoner, D. Makowski, Performance: an R package for assessment, comparison and testing of statistical models, JOSS 6 (60) (2021) 3139, [https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03139.](https://doi.org/10.21105/joss.03139)
- <span id="page-5-5"></span>[19] R Core Team, R: the R project for statistical computing. [https://www.r-project.org/,](https://www.r-project.org/) 2022. (Accessed 10 November 2023).
- <span id="page-5-6"></span>[20] SDa-report, Usage of antibiotics livestock in The Netherlands in 2022. [https:](https://www.autoriteitdiergeneesmiddelen.nl/en/publications/general-reports) [//www.autoriteitdiergeneesmiddelen.nl/en/publications/general-reports,](https://www.autoriteitdiergeneesmiddelen.nl/en/publications/general-reports) 2023. (Accessed 15 November 2023).
- <span id="page-5-7"></span>[21] C. Dierikx, J. van der Goot, T. Fabri, A. van Essen-Zandbergen, H. Smith, D. Mevius, Extended-spectrum-β-lactamase- and AmpC-β-lactamase-producing Escherichia coli in Dutch broilers and broiler farmers, J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 68 (1) (2013) <sup>60</sup>–67, <https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dks349>.
- <span id="page-5-8"></span>[22] D. Ceccarelli, A. Kant, A. van Essen-Zandbergen, C. Dierikx, J. Hordijk, B. Wit, et al., Diversity of plasmids and genes encoding resistance to extended spectrum cephalosporins in commensal Escherichia coli from Dutch livestock in 2007–2017, Front. Microbiol. 10 (2019) 76, <https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2019.00076>.
- <span id="page-5-9"></span>[23] [I.R. Dohoo, S.W. Martin, H. Stryhn, Veterinary Epidemiologic Research, second ed.,](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2949-7043(24)00022-2/sref23) [P.E.I.: VER, Inc., Charlotte, 2009.](http://refhub.elsevier.com/S2949-7043(24)00022-2/sref23)
- <span id="page-5-10"></span>[24] A.E. Heuvelink, M.A. Gonggrijp, R.G.J. Buter, C.C. Ter Bogt-Kappert, G. van Schaik, A.G.J. Velthuis, et al., Prevalence of extended-spectrum and AmpC β-lactamaseproducing Escherichia coli in Dutch dairy herds, Vet. Microbiol. 232 (2019) 58–64, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2019.04.005>.
- <span id="page-5-11"></span>[25] J. Hordijk, E.A.J. Fischer, T. van Werven, S. Sietsma, L. Van Gompel, A.J. Timmerman, et al., Dynamics of faecal shedding of ESBL- or AmpC-producing Escherichia coli on dairy farms, J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 74 (6) (2019) <sup>1531</sup>–1538, [https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz035.](https://doi.org/10.1093/jac/dkz035)
- <span id="page-5-12"></span>[26] General reports. Autoriteit Diergeneesmiddelen. [http://autoriteitdiergeneesmidd](http://autoriteitdiergeneesmiddelen.nl/en/publications/general-reports) [elen.nl/en/publications/general-reports](http://autoriteitdiergeneesmiddelen.nl/en/publications/general-reports) (accessed 8 December 2023) 21-41.
- <span id="page-5-13"></span>[27] T. de JB. Gonzalez, F. Marcato, E. de Freitas Costa, H. van den Brand, F.A. Hoorweg, M. Wolthuis-Fillerup, et al., Longitudinal study on the prevalence of extended spectrum cephalosporins-resistant Escherichia coli colonization in Dutch veal farms, Vet. Microbiol. 273 (2022) 109520, <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vetmic.2022.109520>.
- <span id="page-5-14"></span>[28] K. Kaier, U. Frank, A. Conrad, E. Meyer, Seasonal and ascending trends in the incidence of carriage of extended-spectrum <sup>β</sup>-lactamase–producing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella species in 2 German hospitals, Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 31 (11) (2010) 1154–1159, <https://doi.org/10.1086/656748>.
- <span id="page-5-16"></span>[29] L. Bock, L. Aguilar-Bultet, A. Egli, M. Battegay, A. Kronenberg, R. Vogt, et al., Air temperature and incidence of extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL)-producing Enterobacteriaceae, Environ. Res. 215 (Pt 2) (2022) 114146, [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.114146) [10.1016/j.envres.2022.114146.](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.envres.2022.114146)
- [30] C.C.H. Wielders, E. Van Duijkeren, G. Van Den Bunt, A.P. Meijs, C.M. Dierikx, M.J.M.Bonten, et al., Seasonality in carriage of extended-spectrum β-lactamaseproducing Escherichia coli and Klebsiella pneumoniae in the general population: a pooled analysis of nationwide cross-sectional studies, Epidemiol. Infect. 148 (2020) e68, <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268820000539>.
- <span id="page-5-15"></span>[31] L. Schages, F. Wichern, R. Kalscheuer, D. Bockmühl, Winter is coming - impact of temperature on the variation of beta-lactamase and mcr genes in a wastewater treatment plant, Sci. Total Environ. 712 (2020) 136499, [https://doi.org/10.1016/](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136499) [j.scitotenv.2020.136499](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.136499).