Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Kramer CV, Zhang F, Sinclair D, Olliaro PL



This is a reprint of a Cochrane review, prepared and maintained by The Cochrane Collaboration and published in *The Cochrane Library* 2014, Issue 8

http://www.thecochranelibrary.com

WILEY

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

TABLE OF CONTENTS

HEADER	1
ABSTRACT	1
PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY	2
SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR THE MAIN COMPARISON	4
BACKGROUND	6
OBJECTIVES	7
METHODS	7
RESULTS	9
Figure 1	10
Figure 2	12
ADDITIONAL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS	18
DISCUSSION	29
AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS	30
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	30
REFERENCES	31
CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES	37
DATA AND ANALYSES	78
ADDITIONAL TABLES	86
WHAT'S NEW	100
CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS	100
DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST	100
SOURCES OF SUPPORT	100
DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW	101
INDEX TERMS	101

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

[Intervention Review]

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis

Christine V Kramer¹, Fan Zhang², David Sinclair³, Piero L Olliaro⁴

¹Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK. ²School of Public Health and Management, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China. ³Department of Clinical Sciences, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Liverpool, UK. ⁴UNICEF/UNDP/World Bank/WHO Special Programme for Research and Training in Tropical Diseases (TDR), World Health Organization, Geneva, Switzerland

Contact address: Christine V Kramer, Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group, Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, Pembroke Place, Liverpool, L3 5QA, UK. cvkramer@liverpool.ac.uk.

Editorial group: Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group.

Publication status and date: New search for studies and content updated (no change to conclusions), published in Issue 8, 2014. Review content assessed as up-to-date: 23 May 2014.

Citation: Kramer CV, Zhang F, Sinclair D, Olliaro PL. Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2014, Issue 8. Art. No.: CD000053. DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000053.pub3.

Copyright © 2014 The Authors. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-Non-Commercial Licence, which permits use, distribution and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited and is not used for commercial purposes.

ABSTRACT

Background

Urinary schistosomiasis is caused by an intravascular infection with parasitic *Schistosoma haematobium* worms. The adult worms typically migrate to the venous plexus of the human bladder and excrete eggs which the infected person passes in their urine. Chronic infection can cause substantial morbidity and long-term complications as the eggs become trapped in human tissues causing inflammation and fibrosis. We summarised evidence of drugs active against the infection. This is new edition of a review first published in 1997.

Objectives

To evaluate the efficacy and safety of drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis.

Search methods

We searched the Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group Specialized Register, MEDLINE, CENTRAL, EMBASE and LILACS and reference lists of articles up to 23 May 2014.

Selection criteria

Randomized controlled trials (RCTs) of antischistosomal drugs and drug combinations compared to placebo, no intervention, or each other.

Data collection and analysis

Two researchers independently screened the records, extracted the data and assessed risk of bias. The primary efficacy outcomes were parasitological failure (defined as the continued presence of *S. haematobium* eggs in the urine at time points greater than one month after treatment), and percent reduction of egg counts from baseline. We presented dichotomous data as risk ratios (RR), and continuous data as mean difference (MD), alongside their 95% confidence intervals (CIs). Where appropriate we combined trials in meta analyses or tables. We assessed the quality of evidence using the GRADE approach.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Main results

We included 30 RCTs enrolling 8165 participants in this review. Twenty-four trials were conducted in children in sub-Saharan Africa, and 21 trials were over 20 years old. Many studies were assessed as being at unclear risk of bias due to inadequate descriptions of study methods.

Praziquantel

On average, a single 40 mg/kg dose of praziquantel reduced the proportion of people still excreting eggs in their urine by around 60% compared to placebo at one to two months after treatment (treatment failure: RR 0.42, 95% CI 0.29 to 0.59, 864 participants, seven trials, *high quality evidence*). The proportion of people cured with praziquantel varied substantially between trials, from 22.5% to 83.3%, but was higher than 60% in five of the seven trials. At one to two months following praziquantel treatment at 40 mg/kg, the mean number of schistosome eggs in the urine was reduced by over 95% in five out of six trials (678 participants, six trials, *high quality evidence*).

Splitting praziquantel 40 mg/kg into two doses over 12 hours probably has no benefits over a single dose, and in a single trial of 220 participants the split dose caused more vomiting (RR 0.5, 95% CI 0.29 to 0.86) and dizziness (RR 0.39, 95% CI 0.16 to 0.94).

Metrifonate

A single dose of metrifonate 10 mg/kg reduced egg excretion (210 participants, one trial, at eight months), but was only marginally better than placebo at achieving cure at one month (RR 0.83, 95% CI 0.74 to 0.94, 142 participants, one trial). In a single trial comparing one, two and three doses, the absolute number of participants cured improved from 47% after one dose to 81% after three doses (93 participants, one trial, *low quality evidence*).

Two small trials compared 40 mg/kg single dose praziquantel with two or three doses of 10 mg/kg metrifonate and found no clear evidence of differences in cure (metrifonate 2 x 10 mg/kg at one month: RR 1.03, 95% CI 0.8 to 1.34, 72 participants, one trial; metrifonate 3 x 10 mg/kg at three months: RR 0.33, 95% CI 0.07 to 1.57, 100 participants, one trial. In one trial both drugs performed badly and in one trial both performed well.

Other drugs

Three trials have evaluated the antimalarial artesunate; with inconsistent results. Substantial antischistosomal effects were only seen in one of the three trials, which was at unclear risk of bias due to poor reporting of the trial methods. Similarly, another anti-malarial mefloquine has been evaluated in two small trials with inconsistent effects.

Adverse events were described as mild for all evaluated drugs, but adverse event monitoring and reporting was generally of low quality.

Authors' conclusions

Praziquantel 40 mg/kg is the most studied drug for treating urinary schistosomiasis, and has the strongest evidence base.

Potential strategies to improve future treatments for schistosomiasis include the combination of praziquantel with metrifonate, or with antimalarial drugs with antischistosomal properties such as artesunate and mefloquine. Evaluation of these combinations requires rigorous, adequately powered trials using standardized outcome measures.

PLAIN LANGUAGE SUMMARY

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis

What is urinary schistosomiasis and how is it treated?

Urinary schistosomiasis is a disease caused by infection of people with the parasitic worm *Schistosoma haematobium*. These worms live in blood vessels around the infected person's bladder and the worm releases eggs which are released in the person's urine. If the urine is passed into ponds or lakes, the eggs can hatch and infect people that are washing or swimming there. Infection can cause blood in the urine and if left untreated can eventually lead to anaemia, malnutrition, kidney failure, or bladder cancer. Urinary schistosomiasis is diagnosed by looking for worm eggs in the urine.

The disease occurs mainly in school-aged children and young adults in sub-Saharan Africa. The drug currently recommended for treatment is praziquantel, which can be given as a single dose, but other drugs such as metrifonate, artesunate, and mefloquine have also been evaluated.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

After examining the research published up to 23th May 2014, we included 30 randomized controlled trials, enrolling 8165 children and adults.

What does the research say?

On average, the standard dose of praziquantel cures around 60% of people at one to two months after treatment (*high quality evidence*), and reduces the number of schistosome eggs in the urine by over 95% (*high quality evidence*).

Metrifonate, an older drug no longer in use, had little effect when given as a single dose but an improved effect when given as multiple doses two weeks apart. Two trials compared three doses of metrifonate with the single dose of praziquantel and found similar effects.

Two more recent trials evaluated a combination of artesunate and praziquantel compared to praziquantel alone. In one trial artesunate improved cure and in one it made no difference.

Authors conclusions

Future treatments for schistosomiasis could include combining praziquantel with metrifonate, or with artesunate, but these need to be evaluated in high quality trials.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

SUMMARY OF FINDINGS FOR THE MAIN COMPARISON [Explanation]

Praziquantel 40 mg/kg versus placebo for treating urinary schistosomiasis

Patient or population: People with urinary schistosomiasis **Settings:** Endemic areas in sub-Saharan Africa

Intervention: Praziquantel 40 mg/kg (single dose) versus placebo

Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of participants (trials)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk			
	Placebo	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg			
Parasitological failure At 1 to 2 months	91 per 100	38 per 100 (26 to 54)	RR 0.42 (0.29 to 0.59)	864 (7 trials)	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$ high ^{1,2,3,4}
Percentage egg reduction At 1 to 2 months	Mean change in egg excretion in the control groups ranged from a 53.2% reduction to a 138% increase.	Mean egg excretion in the intervention groups was re- duced by > 98% in all trials	Not pooled	678 (6 trials)	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \oplus$ high ^{1,2,3,5}
Microhaematuria At 8 weeks	53 per 100	28 per 100 (17 to 45)	RR 0.53 (0.33 to 0.84)	119 (1 trial)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ^{6,7,8}
Haemoglobin At 6 to 8 months	The mean haemoglobin ranged across control groups from 11.3 to 11.9 G/dL	The mean haemoglobin in the intervention groups was 0.08 G/dL lower (0.24 lower to 0.09 higher)	-	727 (2 trials)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ moderate ^{3,9,1011}
Adverse events	-	-	-	1591 (9 trials)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ¹²

The basis for the **assumed risk** is the mean risk in the control groups across trials. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI).

CI: Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk ratio.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review) Copyright © 2014 The Authors. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

4

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

¹ No serious risk of bias: Several trials were at unclear or low risk of selection bias. However, a sensitivity analysis excluding these trials still found a strong effect.

 2 No serious inconsistency: Six of the seven trials found large consistent effects. The seventh trial found no difference, this may be explained by the different diagnostic criteria used in this trial.

³ No serious indirectness: These seven trials are all conducted in children in endemic areas of sub-Saharan Africa.

⁴ No serious imprecision: The result is statistically significant and the 95% CI is narrow around a clinically important effect.

⁵ No serious imprecision: The trials are small and most did not report tests of statistical significance, however the differences are large.

⁶ No serious risk of bias: This trial was well conducted.

⁷ Downgraded by 1 for serious indirectness: Only a single trial reports this outcome. Further trials from different settings would be needed to be confident in this effect.

⁸ Downgraded by 1 for serious imprecision: This trial is underpowered.

⁹ Downgraded by 1 for serious risk of bias: both trials had inadequate sequence generation and allocation concealment.

¹⁰ No serious inconsistency: Low statistical heterogeneity.

¹¹ No serious imprecision: only two trials reported this outcome. Cls are narrow. The effect is not statistically significant and does not appear to be clinically important, when compared to the baseline data.

¹² Downgraded by 2 for serious risk of bias: Three trials do not comment on adverse events. Six trials made comments that praziquantel was generally well tolerated and no statistically significant differences were noted. However, adverse events were poorly reported in all six trials such that meta-analysis, and assessment of other quality criteria was not possible.

ы

BACKGROUND

Urinary schistosomiasis, also called bilharzia or snail fever, is an intravascular infection caused by parasitic *Schistosoma haematobium* worms. It is endemic in sub-Saharan Africa, the Arabian peninsula and the Middle East. According to the World Health Organization (WHO), at least 243 million people required treatment for schistosomiasis in 2011 (WHO 2013), and more than 700 million people live in endemic areas (WHO 2014).

The WHO currently recommends regular chemoprophylaxis with praziquantel for populations at risk to prevent the long term consequences of infection. These programmes usually target school children (Table 1), but may be extended to the whole community in high risk settings (King 2011).

Description of the condition

Human infection with *S. haematobium* is acquired through contact with water bodies containing cercariae, the larval form of the parasite. The cercariae are able to penetrate human skin and migrate via blood vessels to the liver, where they mature into male and female forms for reproduction. Typically, they then migrate further to the venous plexus of the urinary bladder, and begin to produce eggs which the infected person excretes in their urine (Gryseels 2006). If these eggs reach water, they hatch into miracidia, infect specific freshwater snails which act as intermediate hosts, before emerging as cercariae that can infect humans (Gray 2011; Ross 2002).

Any illness associated with acute infection is typically mild, but chronic schistosomiasis can cause considerable morbidity with chronic pain, anaemia, fatigue, under nutrition and reduced exercise tolerance (King 2005). A review of 124 observational studies and 11 randomized controlled trials (RCTs) in 2005 estimated that up to 15% of people infected with any form of schistosomiasis suffer disabling long-term complications (King 2005). The main pathological process occurs when schistosome eggs become trapped in the tissue around the bladder and ureters causing chronic inflammation, which may obstruct the ureters, damage the kidneys, and lead to bladder cancer. Occasionally, eggs can become trapped in other tissues such as the brain and spinal cord (WHO 1985).

Two-thirds of all infected persons are schoolchildren (aged five to 14 years), and the intensity of infection with *S. haematobium* is highest in children aged ten to 14 years (WHO 1985).

The standard test for urinary schistosomiasis is urine filtration and microscopic examination of the urine sample (WHO 1991). The urine sample is passed through a filter paper and the eggs retained on the filter are counted either with or without staining. Sedimentation and centrifugation is less commonly used for urine concentration (Cook 2003). High urine egg counts are related to high infection intensity. Parasitologists define cure when eggs can no longer be detected in one or more urine samples using standard methods. Besides parasitological cure, researchers also record the relative reduction in egg output after treatment compared to pre-treatment levels. This outcome, expressed as % egg reduction, is an indirect estimate of a reduction of the worm burden (Cook 2003).

Blood and protein excretion in the urine is usually elevated in urinary schistosomiasis and decreases when the infection resolves. The most commonly used test is a dipstick test. Ultrasound can demonstrate organ involvement of the urinary tract as well as its resolution.

Description of the intervention

Praziquantel is the current treatment for urinary schistosomiasis recommended by the WHO (WHO 2006). Historically, metrifonate was also used but this fell out of favour due to the need for multiple doses (Feldmeier 1999; WHO 1998). More recently, there has been interest in the antischistosomal properties of artemisinin derivates and mefloquine, more commonly used for treating malaria (Utzinger 2004).

Praziquantel is an pyrazinoisoquinoline derivative with activity against adult worms of all schistosome species (*S. mansoni, S. intercalatum* and *S. japonicum*), but not against maturing worms. Praziquantel has a rapid onset of action. It is well-tolerated, can be given as a single dose (Utzinger 2004) and paediatric formulations are available (Stothard 2013).

Metrifonate, an organophosphorous cholinesterase inhibitor, is active against *S. haematobium* but not against other schistosome species (Utzinger 2004).

Artemisinin, extensively used as potent antimalarial, has highest activity against immature schistosomes. Artemsinins are safe and well-tolerated (Utzinger 2004).

How the intervention might work

After treatment with praziquantel, the worms appear to die quickly but egg excretion continues for several weeks. There are several possible reasons for this:

• Firstly, some worms might not have been mature at the time of praziquantel treatment and therefore not killed by praziquantel (Cioli 2003). Maturation of the worms after infection takes four to six weeks, and after two months eggs can be detected in the urine.

• Secondly, the patient might have been re-infected (Cioli 2003).

• Thirdly, dead eggs still wander out of the tissue into the urine several weeks after clearing adult worms (Taylor 1988 ZWE). Therefore, a follow-up four to six weeks after treatment is useful (Renganathan 1998). There is also considerable variation in daily urinary egg output (Cook 2003).

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Although there is concern that *S. haematobium* might develop resistance against praziquantel (Fenwick 2006), there is no clinically relevant evidence for resistance up to now (Doenhoff 2008). In endemic settings, reinfection with *S. haematobium* is likely, and cure (often defined as complete cessation of egg excretion) is not a sustainable long term goal. However, reduction of infection intensity results in clinical improvement, low morbidity and prevention of long term complications. Therefore, WHO promotes morbidity control rather than cure as an objective for schistosomiasis control programmes (WHO 2002).

Why it is important to do this review

At present, praziquantel as the only drug in use that is exposed to resistance development. It is therefore important to monitor its performance and to assess the effects of other drugs against urinary schistosomiasis.

Dosing regimens for subgroups such as highly infected patient groups, incremental benefits of drug combinations, double dosing and optimal interval between doses have to be determined to inform control programmes for urinary schistosomiasis.

Paediatric schistosomiasis has gained attention as a public health problem, and evaluation of existing treatment studies is indicated.

OBJECTIVES

To evaluate the efficacy and safety of drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis.

METHODS

Criteria for considering studies for this review

Types of studies

Randomized controlled trials.

Types of participants

Patients diagnosed with urinary schistosomiasis by:

- detection of macro or microhaematuria;
- identification of schistosome eggs by urine microscopy;
- detection of parasite antigens in blood or urine.

Types of interventions

Intervention

Drugs used to treat urinary schistosomiasis. Drugs considered as obsolete (such as ambilhar, oltipraz and niridazole) were not included. Metrifonate was included.

Control

Placebo, no intervention, an alternative regimen of the same drug, or an alternative drug used to treat urinary schistosomiasis.

Types of outcome measures

Primary outcomes

• Parasitological failure at one month post-treatment (as defined by the trial authors);

• Percent egg reduction at one month.

Secondary outcomes

- Parasitological failure at time-points > one month;
- Percent egg reduction from baseline at > one month;
- Clinical outcomes: resolutions of signs and symptoms (for example, haematuria and proteinuria);
- Anaemia (decrease of the number of red blood cells or the quantity of haemoglobin in the blood);
 - Growth outcomes (gain in body weight, body length).

Adverse events

- Serious adverse events;
- Other adverse events

Search methods for identification of studies

We attempted to identify all relevant trials regardless of language and publication status (published, unpublished, in press, under review and in progress).

Electronic searches

We searched the following databases using the search terms outlined in Appendix 1: The Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group Specialized Register (23 May 2014); Cochrane Central Register of Controlled Trials (CENTRAL), published in *The Cochrane Library* (2014, Issue 4); MEDLINE (1966 to 23 May 2014); EMBASE (1974 to 23 May 2014); and LILACS (1982 to 23 May 2014). We also searched the metaRegister of Controlled Trials (mRCT) using 'Schistosoma haematobium' as the search term (23 May 2014).

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Searching other resources

We checked the reference lists of all studies identified by the above methods for additional studies relevant to this review.

Data collection and analysis

Selection of studies

Vittoria Lutje, the Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group (CIDG) Information Retrieval Specialist, searched the literature and retrieved trial titles and abstracts.

VK and FZ independently screened the results of the search and retrieved full trial reports of all potentially relevant trials. Then, VK and FZ independently assessed each trial for inclusion using an eligibility form based on the inclusion criteria. We resolved any discrepancies by discussion with PG.

Data extraction and management

VK and FZ independently extracted data using pre-tested standardized forms. We resolved any differences through discussion with PG. For each trial we extracted details of the trial methods, participants, interventions and outcomes.

VK and FZ extracted the number of participants randomized and number of participants followed up in each treatment arm. For dichotomous outcomes, we extracted the number of participants experiencing the event in each group. For continuous outcomes summarized as geometric means, we extracted means and their standard error, if reported. If the data were presented as arithmetic means, we extracted arithmetic means and their standard deviations (SD), if reported, for each treatment group. Where continuous data were summarized as medians and ranges, these were extracted and entered into tables.

VK and FZ double-entered the data and cross-checked to minimise errors. VK tried to contact trial authors for clarification or insufficient of missing data when necessary and summarised data reported in multiple publications as one single data set.

Assessment of risk of bias in included studies

VK and FZ independently assessed the risk of bias of each trial using an assessment form based on the Cochrane Collaboration's 'Risk of bias' tool (Higgins 2008). DS verified the assessment results.

We assessed the risk of bias for six domains: sequence generation; allocation concealment; blinding (of participants, personnel, and outcome assessors); incomplete outcome data; selective outcome reporting; and other sources of bias. We categorized these judgments as low, high or unclear risk of bias.

For sequence generation, allocation concealment and blinding, we quoted the method as described in the trial in the Characteristics

of included studies tables. For blinding, we stated the blinding method and who was blinded separately for different outcomes. For incomplete outcome data, we assigned a judgement for different outcomes (for example, loss to follow-up at different time points).

We resolved disagreements by discussion or consultation. Where risk of bias was unclear, we attempted to contact the trial authors for clarification.

Measures of treatment effect

We presented dichotomous outcomes as risk ratios (RR), and continuous outcomes as mean differences or geometric mean ratios. All results are shown with a 95% confidence interval (CI).

Unit of analysis issues

For trials including more than two comparison groups, we split and analysed as individual pair-wise comparisons. When conducting meta-analysis we ensured that participants and cases in the placebo group were not counted more than once, by dividing the placebo cases and participants evenly between the intervention groups.

Dealing with missing data

The primary analysis is a complete case analysis where the number of evaluable participants at each time point is used as the denominator.

Assessment of heterogeneity

We assessed heterogeneity by inspecting forest plots for overlapping CIs and outlying data. We applied the Chi^2 test with a P value < 0.10 to indicate statistically significant heterogeneity, and the I ² statistic with a value of greater than 50% to indicate moderate heterogeneity.

Assessment of reporting biases

We planned to evaluate the possibility of publication bias by constructing funnel plots, but there were too few trials within each comparison to make this meaningful.

Data synthesis

We analysed the data in pair-wise comparisons using Review Manager (RevMan). We stratified the primary analysis by drug dose and the time point after treatment. Data were combined in meta-analyses using a fixed-effect model. If we detected moderate heterogeneity but still considered combination of the trials to be appropriate we used a random-effects model. We presented data which could not be presented in forest plots in tables (medians, means without measure of variance, ranges).

We assessed quality of evidence using the GRADE approach, and displayed the results in 'Summary of Findings' tables. The GRADE

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

approach defines quality as a measure of 'our confidence in the effect estimates' and defines four levels of quality; high, moderate, low and very low. The evidence from RCTs is rated as 'high quality' but can be downgraded where there are major concerns about: 1) the risk of bias of the trials; 2) inconsistency between the trial results; 3) a mismatch between the question being asked and the trial setting, population, intervention or control; 4) the trial being underpowered; or 5) evidence of publication bias.

Subgroup analysis and investigation of heterogeneity

We planned to conduct the following subgroup analyses to explore the potential causes of heterogeneity. However, there were too few trials within each comparison to make this meaningful: patient age (children versus adults), intensity of infection, endemicity.

Sensitivity analysis

Data were insufficient to assess the robustness of results by sensitivity analyses to evaluate risk of bias components and the effects of missing data.

RESULTS

Description of studies

Results of the search

Following database searches, we identified 116 individual citations, and a further 40 potential studies after we checked trial abstracts. Following abstract screening, we assessed 71 full text articles for inclusion. Figure 1 shows the flow diagram of these trials.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)





Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Copyright © 2014 The Authors. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

Included studies

We included 30 RCTs, enrolling 8965 participants, and reported in 39 publications. Twenty trials were over 20 years old, and only eight were published since the year 2000.

Settings

All but one trial were conducted in sub Saharan Africa; 13 trials from East Africa: Somalia (one) Sudan (three), Tanzania (two), Kenya (six), Malawi (one); 13 trials from West Africa: Cameroon (two), Gabon (three), Niger (two), Mali (one), Nigeria (two), Cote d' Ivoire (one), Ghana (one), Gambia (one); and three trials from southern Africa: Zimbabwe (two), and Zambia (one). Most trials were based in rural settings, but two were conducted in peri-urban or semi-rural settings, three were from urban settings, and in one trial the setting was not described. The remaining trial was conducted in an urban setting in Saudi Arabia.

Twenty trials were based in schools and one in a college, seven in villages, farms or settlements, one in antenatal clinics and two in referral hospitals.

Participants

Twenty-four trials enrolled school-age children and young adults, although the exact age-range varied; age six to 20 years (16 trials), age five to 18 years (three trials), age two to 23 years (five trials). Two trials enrolled adults only, and four trials didn't clearly state the age range.

All trials diagnosed *S. haematobium* infection by detection of eggs or miracidia on urine microscopy. Sixteen trials reported egg counts as geometric mean egg counts, four trials as arithmetic mean egg counts, three trials reported both. One study reported geometric mean miracidial counts. Six trials used ranges or medians.

Interventions

Eight trials compared praziquantel with placebo, and 14 trials published between 1981 and 2009 compared different doses or regimens of praziquantel.

Five trials compared metrifonate with placebo, and seven trials published between 1983 and 1990 directly compared the efficacy of praziquantel and metrifonate.

More recently, three trials published between 2001 and 2009 evaluated artesunate as single agent or in combination with praziquantel, and two trials published in 2009 and 2011 evaluated mefloquine.

Excluded studies

We excluded 65 studies for the reasons given in the 'Characteristics' of excluded studies' table.

Risk of bias in included studies

Many trials lacked adequate descriptions of methods to allow judgements on risk of bias, and so have been classified as unclear (see Figure 2).

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Figure 2. Risk of bias summary: review authors' judgements about each risk of bias item for each included trial.



Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Allocation

Fourteen trials adequately described a random method of sequence generation, but only six described a method of allocation concealment and could be considered at low risk of selection bias (Abden Abdi 1989 SOM; Basra 2012 GAB; Borrmann 2001 GAB; Olds 1999 KEN; Pugh 1983 MWI; Sacko 2009 MLI).

Blinding

Ten trials reported adequate attempts to blind participants and trial staff to treatment allocation, six trials were unblinded and blinding was unclear in the remaining trials. Seven trials reported adequate blinding of outcome assessors.

Incomplete outcome data

Many trials had high levels of attrition, particularly at later time points. When trials presented cure or failure rates as percentages, we were unable to assess attrition. We considered the risk of attrition bias to be unclear in 13 trials and high in nine trials.

Selective reporting

We found evidence of reporting bias in one trial, as trial authors did not present pre-specified outcomes. In three trials, selective reporting was at unclear risk of bias.

Other potential sources of bias

Trial authors reported baseline imbalances in two trials, which we identified as sources of other bias.

The trials were mostly funded by funds, trusts or international agencies (see Characteristics of included studies tables). Eight trials did not declare funding, four received drug donations and only two trials declared funding by pharmaceutical companies (both Dafra Pharma).

Effects of interventions

See: Summary of findings for the main comparison Praziquantel 40 mg/kg versus placebo for treating urinary schistosomiasis; Summary of findings 2 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus 30 mg/kg single dose; Summary of findings 3 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg multiple doses versus single dose; Summary of findings 4 Metrifonate 3 x 7.5 mg/kg given two weeks apart versus placebo; Summary of findings 5 Artesunate versus placebo; Summary of findings 6 Praziquantel and artesunate versus praziquantel

Section A: Praziquantel

Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus placebo (comparison I)

On average, a single 40 mg/kg dose of praziquantel reduces the proportion of people still excreting eggs at one to two months after treatment by around 60% compared to placebo, and reduces the mean number of eggs excreted by over 95%.

Eight trials compared a single 40 mg/kg dose of praziquantel with placebo or no treatment in schoolchildren in sub-Saharan Africa. We have listed the definitions of parasitological failure in Table 2.

Parasitological failure

Praziquantel 40 mg/kg as a single dose reduced parasitological treatment failure by around 60% at one to two months compared to placebo (RR 0.42, 95% CI 0.29 to 0.59; 864 participants, seven trials, Analysis 1.1). The absolute level of treatment failure with praziquantel ranged from 16.6% (McMahon 1979 TZA) to 77.5% (de Jonge 1990 SDN). Treatment failure with placebo was greater than 80% in all seven trials and over 90% in four trials.

Four trials reported follow-up beyond two months (Analysis 1.1). Failure rate increased over time in two trials, as might be expected in areas of schistosomiasis transmission as people become re-in-fected (McMahon 1979 TZA; Pugh 1983 MWI). However, treatment outcomes improved in Taylor 1988 ZWE over time, with moderate reductions in treatment failure at one month and three months and a 70% reduction at six months. The trial authors stated that this improvement might have been due to excretion of remaining eggs from the urinary tract over time.

The fourth trial, de Jonge 1990 SDN, found no difference in treatment failure between praziquantel and placebo at any time point. The trial authors used a more sensitive diagnostic method (three urine samples, filtration of the whole volume up to 350 mL when the 10 mL urine sample contained fewer than 10 eggs) and a strict definition of cure (no excretion of eggs, no viability testing of eggs). This may explain the high failure rates observed despite high percent egg reductions comparable to other trials.

Stephenson 1989 KEN reported treatment failure at eight months, its only time point. A single dose of praziquantel reduced treatment failure by 86% compared to placebo (RR 0.14, 95% CI 0.08 to 0.22; 209 participants, one trial, Analysis 1.1).

Six trials reported parasitological failure stratified by intensity of infection; the categorisation of strata varied between trials (642 participants, see Appendix 2). At the first follow-up at four to six weeks, three out of four trials had a tendency to higher failure in participants with higher infection intensity. The pattern attenuated at later time points.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Percent egg reduction

Seven trials reported mean urine egg counts per 10 mL urine at baseline, and at one to two months after a single dose of praziquantel 40 mg/kg or placebo (867 participants, seven trials, see Table 3), although we were only able to reliably interpret this data for six trials (678 participants).

The mean egg count was reduced by more than 95% at one to two months following praziquantel in five trials, and by 75% in one trial. In the placebo groups the change in mean egg count ranged from a 53% decrease to a 115% increase.

Percent egg reduction in the praziquantel group remained high (> 95%) in all three trials reporting at three months, and in all four trials at six months. Percent egg reduction was variable in the placebo group, ranging from 26% increase to 54% reduction at three months and from 5% to 64% reduction at six months (see Table 4). One additional trial, Stephenson 1989 KEN, reported percent egg reduction at eight months as its only time point (209 participants, see Table 4). Percent egg reduction after praziquantel was 99% compared to 5% with placebo.

Five trials reported percent egg reduction stratified by intensity of infection (764 participants, Appendix 2). At four to six weeks, all trials reported percent egg reductions over 90% across the strata. Percent egg reduction as a relative measure was at least as high in heavy infections as in mild infections, but post-treatment egg counts as an absolute measure tended to be higher in people with high intensity infections. This pattern persisted at later time points.

Clinical resolution

At eight weeks the proportion of patients with persistent haematuria (defined as > 5 erythrocytes/mL) was lower in those given praziquantel than placebo in one small trial which reported this (RR 0.53, 95% CI 0.33 to 0.84; 119 participants, one trial, Analysis 1.2). There were substantial reductions in the mean number of erythrocytes in the urine in three trials at one to two months, but we could not combine these data in a meta-analysis (357 participants, three trials, see Appendix 3).

Proteinuria was reduced by 65% to 84% at one to two months after praziquantel compared to increases in the placebo groups (238 participants, two trials, see Appendix 3).

Two trials reported mean haemoglobin at baseline and at six to eight months after treatment with no difference between groups (mean difference -0.08, 95% CI -0.24 to 0.09; 727 participants, two trials, Analysis 1.3).

Three trials measured a variety of growth parameters (Befidi Mengue 1992 CMR; Olds 1999 KEN; Stephenson 1989 KEN). Two trials reported little or no effect on the outcomes measured (Befidi Mengue 1992 CMR; Olds 1999 KEN). The third trial (Stephenson 1989 KEN) reports 14 measures, some of which are reported as statistically significant, but all appear to be of no or only borderline clinical importance (see Appendix 4). Most notably, there is a reported increase in children's physical fitness as measured by the Harvard Step test. The difference in mean improvement between groups was 6.8% at five weeks (mean end scores 81.2% praziquantel versus 75.5% placebo). Scores between 68% and 82% are considered average. Children that took praziquantel also gained 1.2 kg more weight than those in the control group, however baseline differences between groups were of a similar magnitude to this effect.

Adverse events

Of nine trials, six (with 1286 participants) commented on adverse events. Only four described the methods used for data collection, but rarely reported them in detail (see Appendix 5). Adverse events were usually monitored in the first days after medication. Only two trials actually reported numbers of adverse events, and only abdominal pain was reported by both trials. The absolute number of adverse events was low and none were more common with praziquantel than placebo (see Analysis 1.4). The other trials summarized narratively with comments such as "both treatments were well tolerated" (see Appendix 5).

Praziquantel 40 mg/kg versus lower doses (comparison 2)

Praziquantel doses of 20 to 40 mg/kg result in similar reductions in mean egg excretion, but 40 mg/kg is marginally superior at achieving cure.

Ten trials compared praziquantel 40 mg/kg with lower doses: 30 mg/kg (seven trials), 20 mg/kg (three trials), and 10 mg/kg (three trials). All trials were conducted in sub-Saharan Africa in schoolchildren, apart from one trial, which recruited college students and army recruits.

Treatment with praziquantel 40 mg/kg had fewer treatment failures than lower doses when measured at four to six weeks after treatment (versus 30 mg/kg; RR 0.76, 95% CI 0.59 to 0.99; 401 participants, four trials, Analysis 2.1, versus 20 mg/kg; RR 0.74, 95% CI 0.59 to 0.93; 338 participants, two trials, Analysis 2.1). However, there was no difference between 40 mg/kg and 30 mg/ kg at two to three months (517 participants, five trials, Analysis 2.2), or six months after treatment (699 participants, six trials, Analysis 2.3).

In the five trials comparing praziquantel 40 mg/kg and 30 mg/kg, the mean number of eggs excreted was reduced by greater than 90% with both doses and without significant differences between groups (495 participants, five trials, see Table 5).

In trials comparing 40 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg, again the mean number of eggs excreted was reduced by more than 95% for both doses and differences in percent egg reduction appeared small (636 participants, four trials, see Appendix 2). Treatment with praziquantel 40 mg/kg appeared to result in greater percent egg reductions than 10 mg/kg (357 participants, three trials, see Appendix 2).

One small trial from Kenya (King 1989 KEN) reported similar numbers of participants with persistent haematuria or proteinuria

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

at three months with praziquantel 40 mg/kg, 30 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg, but 40 mg/kg was superior to 10 mg/kg (haematuria at three months: RR 0.35, 95% CI 0.21 to 0.58, 119 participants, one trial, Analysis 2.4; proteinuria at three months: RR 0.25, 95% CI 0.12 to 0.51; 119 participants, one trial, Analysis 2.5). A larger trial by the same authors comparing 40 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg (King 2002 KEN) detected fewer participants with haematuria at six weeks following praziquantel 40 mg/kg (RR 0.63, 95% CI 0.47 to 0.86; 245 participants, one trial, Analysis 2.6), and fewer participants with proteinuria (RR 0.66, 95% CI 0.46 to 0.96; 245 participants, one trial, Analysis 2.7). These differences were still observed at nine months (haematuria: RR 0.59, 95% CI 0.44 to 0.78; 215 participants, one trial, Analysis 2.8; proteinuria RR 0.67, 95% CI 0.5 to 0.9; 214 participants, one trial, Analysis 2.9). King 2002 KEN also reported ultrasound findings (bladder thickening, bladder irregularity and hydronephrosis) before and after treatment with praziquantel 40 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg respectively, but the results were inconclusive (264 participants, see Appendix 6).

Six of these trials did not comment on adverse events. Four trials described the methods of data collection, but often in insufficient detail; two out of four trials used active, prospective surveillance for adverse events (Appendix 5). Two trials stated for all treatment arms collectively that adverse events after praziquantel treatment were mild and transient. Two trials reported numbers of adverse events with no differences between groups (163 participants, Analysis 3.2).

Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus split dose (comparison 3)

Splitting the dose of praziquantel 40 mg/kg into two 20 mg/kg doses over 24 hours has not been shown to improve tolerability and may actually cause more vomiting and dizziness.

Three trials compared the single 40 mg/kg dose with a split dose regimen giving two doses of 20 mg/kg over 24 hours. There was no statistically significant difference in treatment failure at one month (RR 0.75, 95% CI 0.51 to 1.11; 374 participants, three trials), three months (RR 0.74, 95% CI 0.45 to 1.2; 361 participants, three trials), or six months (RR 0.83, 95% CI 0.51 to 1.35; 234 participants, three trials, Analysis 3.1). Similarly percent egg reduction was over 90% for both groups (332 participants, three trials, see Appendix 2).

These trials enrolled 191 participants for a single dose of praziquantel 40 mg/kg and 195 participants for a split dose of 2 x 20 mg/kg. All trials used active surveillance for adverse events (see Appendix 5). Adverse events were generally reported to be mild and transient. However one trial reports significantly more vomiting and dizziness with the split dose compared to the single dose (vomiting: RR 0.5, 95% CI 0.29 to 0.86; dizziness: RR 0.39, 95% CI 0.16 to 0.94; 373 participants, three trials, Analysis 3.2).

Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus multiple doses (comparison 4 and 5)

There are too few trials to determine the optimal frequency and timing of repeated praziquantel dosing.

Two trials compared the standard single dose of praziquantel (40 mg/kg) with two or three doses given at two or three week intervals, and found no statistically significant differences in parasitological failure (Analysis 4.1, Analysis 4.2), percentage egg reduction (Appendix 2), or clinical resolution (Appendix 3; Analysis 4.3).

One additional very small trial from a high transmission setting in Gabon (van den Biggelaar 02 GAB), compared praziquantel 40 mg/kg every three months for two years to a single dose of praziquantel 40 mg/kg given at the beginning of the trial. At two years, patients who received only one dose of praziquantel had almost three times the risk of treatment failure compared to multiple doses (RR 2.71, 95% CI 1.47 to 5.00; 62 participants, one trial, Analysis 5.1). Percent egg reduction was 96% after multiple doses and 80% after a single dose of praziquantel at two years (90 participants, see Table 6). These effects were no longer apparent one year after the last praziquantel dose.

These trials did not report on adverse events.

Section B: Metrifonate

Metrifonate single dose versus placebo (comparison 6)

A single dose of metrifonate 10 mg/kg probably reduces egg excretion but is only marginally better than placebo at achieving cure.

Two trials compared a single dose of metrifonate to placebo, although one trial only reported outcomes at a single time point eight months after treatment (Stephenson 1989 KEN).

In the first trial (Pugh 1983 MWI), 80% of those treated with metrifonate continued to excrete eggs one month after treatment which was only marginally better than placebo (RR 0.83, 95% CI 0.74 to 0.94; 142 participants, one trial, Analysis 6.1), and no difference was seen at six months (RR 0.94, 95% CI 0.87 to 1.02; 102 participants, one trial, Analysis 6.1).

In the second trial (Stephenson 1989 KEN), 61% of those treated with metrifonate continued to excrete eggs eight months after treatment compared with almost 100% who received placebo (RR 0.63, 95% CI 0.54 to 0.73, 210 participants, one trial, Analysis 6.1). Egg excretion was also reduced by more than 90% eight months after treatment compared to just 5% with placebo (210 participants, see Appendix 2).

The second trial also reported mean haemoglobin at baseline and eight months (with no difference between groups, Analysis 6.2), and various measures of nutrition and growth (see Appendix 4). However, this trial had three arms and the nutritional measures are reported for the metrifonate and praziquantel groups combined. Consequently, we were unable to evaluate the effect of metrifonate. Trial authors did not report adverse events.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Metrifonate multiple doses versus placebo (comparison 7)

Subsequently trials evaluated multiple doses of metrifonate given two weeks apart, which improved the proportion of patients being cured. Two trials evaluated three doses of metrifonate 7.5 mg/kg given two weeks apart (Jewsbury 1976 ZWE; Stephenson 1985 KEN), and reported much reduced treatment failures compared to placebo at 11 weeks (RR 0.41, 95% CI 0.30 to 0.56; 93 participants, one trial, Analysis 7.1) and six months respectively (RR 0.30, 95% CI 0.24 to 0.37; 400 participants, one trial, Analysis 7.1).

A third small trial (de Jonge 1990 SDN) comparing two 10 mg/kg doses given two weeks apart with placebo found very low levels of cure and no difference compared to placebo at one month or five months (51 participants, one trial, Analysis 7.1). However, this is the same trial that found very high levels of treatment failure with praziquantel, which may be a result of the highly sensitive method used for detecting low level egg excretion and the strict definition of cure.

All three trials found substantial reductions in the number of eggs being excreted at their various time points (> 90% reductions in all three trials, see Table 7).

Stephenson 1985 KEN also reported mean haemoglobin, with slightly higher values at six months after metrifonate compared to placebo (mean difference 0.3 G/dL, 95% CI 0.14 to 0.46; 400 participants, one trial, Analysis 7.2). The authors noted that hookworm endemicity was high, and metrifonate also has an effect on hookworm which could account for this finding.

None of the trials reported on adverse events.

Direct comparisons of different metrifonate regimens (comparisons 8 and 9)

In one trial, multiple doses of 10 mg/kg were superior to a single dose. One three-arm trial directly compared a single dose of 10 mg/kg with two or three doses given two weeks apart. Parasitological failure at one month was 53% with a single dose, 40% with two doses, and 19% with three doses. The difference was statistically significant for three doses versus one dose (RR 0.36, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.77; 93 participants, one trial, Analysis 8.1), but not two doses versus one dose (RR 0.75, 95% CI 0.5 to 1.13; 112 participants, one trial, Analysis 8.1). Results were similar at four months (Analysis 8.2).

The percent egg reduction was also improved from 37% after a single dose to 88% after three doses, although this was not maintained at the four months' follow-up (see Appendix 2). This trial did not report on adverse events.

One additional trial (Abden Abdi 1989 SOM) compared three doses of 7.5 mg/kg given two weeks apart with three doses of 5 mg/kg given in one day. The trial detected no difference for parasitological failure at one month, three months or six months (201 participants, one trial, Analysis 9.1). Egg reduction at one month was above 90% after both metrifonate doses and was sus-

tained (> 90%) at two, three and six months (201 participants, see Appendix 2). This trial recorded adverse events by active surveillance (Appendix 5). It did not detect a significant difference for any of the symptoms between treatment groups (201 participants, one trial, Analysis 9.2) The adverse events were mild and transient.Headache and abdominal pain were most common.

Section C: Praziquantel versus metrifonate

Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus metrifonate 10 mg/kg single dose (comparison 10)

Single dose praziquantel 40 mg/kg was more effective than single dose metrifonate 10 mg/kg in curing patients and reducing egg excretion. Three trials compared the standard dose of praziquantel 40 mg/kg with a single dose of metrifonate 10 mg/kg, although one trial only reported outcomes at eight months after treatment (Stephenson 1989 KEN).

In the first trial (Pugh 1983 MWI), parasitological failure at one month was halved with praziquantel 40 mg/kg compared to metrifonate 10 mg/kg (RR 0.46, 95% CI 0.34 to 0.61; 183 participants, one trial, Analysis 10.1). Treatment failure increased in both groups over the following five months which the authors suspect was due to egg excretion by maturing worms, as transmission and re-infection were low in the trial setting (Analysis 10.1). The second trial (Wilkins 1987 GMB), also found praziquantel to be superior to metrifonate at two to three months as its only time point (RR 0.45, 95% CI 0.27 to 0.75; 72 participants, one trial, Analysis 10.1).

The third trial (Stephenson 1989 KEN), found substantial reductions in both treatment failure (RR 0.21, 95% CI 0.13 to 0.36; 208 participants, one trial, Analysis 10.1) and egg excretion (see Appendix 2), with praziquantel compared to metrifonate. Haemoglobin levels measured in this trial were higher in the praziquantel treatment arm both at baseline and at follow-up (208 participants, one trial, Analysis 10.2). The trial did not detect a difference in growth parameters between groups but does not report them separately (see Appendix 4).

None of the trials reported on adverse events.

Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus multiple doses of metrifonate 10 mg/kg

Two small trials found no difference in parasitological treatment failure or egg excretion between single dose praziquantel 40 mg/kg and two or three doses of metrifonate 10 mg/kg.

Two small trials compared praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose to two and three doses of metrifonate 10 mg/kg given two weeks apart. The trials detected no difference in parasitological treatment failure at different time points and with different metrifonate regimens. However, in one trial both drugs performed poorly (de Jonge 1990 SDN), and in one trial both performed well (Al Aska

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

1990 SAU) (see Analysis 10.3). The trial where both drugs performed poorly for parasitological failure has been discussed above and this is likely to be due to the very sensitive method for detecting eggs. In this trial, both drugs reduced mean egg excretion by over 98% at one month and five months (see Appendix 2), and a decrease in haematuria by over 90% at one month. Reduction in proteinuria was almost 80% in both groups (see Appendix 3).

Only Al Aska 1990 SAU reported adverse events; dizziness was more common after praziquantel (RR 2.9, 95% CI 1.59 to 5.3; 100 participants, one trial, Analysis 10.4). Dizziness (20% in the praziquantel group and 10% in the metrifonate group) and abdominal pain (12% both in the praziquantel and metrifonate group) were the most common side effects (Appendix 5).

Additional comparisons of praziquantel and metrifonate

One small trial compared a single dose of praziquantel 30 mg/kg to three doses of metrifonate 10 mg/kg given two weeks apart and found no difference in parasitological failure at two months, but a statistically significant difference in favour of praziquantel at four months (RR 0.24, 95% CI 0.07 to 0.8; 52 participants, one trial, Analysis 10.5). Egg reduction at four months was above 98% in both treatment groups (Appendix 2). In this trial, abdominal pain was more common in the metrifonate group (RR 0.33, 95% CI 0.12 to 0.92; 60 participants, one trial, Analysis 10.6), while no difference was detected for the eight other clinically diagnosed symptoms reported.

One large population-based trial from Kenya compared praziquantel 40 mg/kg given once a year to metrifonate 10 mg/kg given three times a year. After one year, this trial detected no difference in treatment failure, haematuria or proteinuria (1400 participants, one trial, Analysis 10.7), but mean egg excretion was reduced by over 80% in both groups at one year (Appendix 2). There continued to be no difference in parasitological failure at two years, but praziquantel was superior in the third year (RR 0.62, 95% CI 0.42 to 0.93; 827 participants one trial, Analysis 10.8). Ultrasound findings, recorded in a sub-sample of children, were inconclusive (373 participants, Appendix 6).

One further small trial compared a single dose of praziquantel 40 mg/kg with a combination of praziquantel 10 mg/kg and metrifonate 10 mg/kg. At two to three months there was no difference in treatment failure (72 participants, one trial, Analysis 10.9). Percent egg reduction was 99.4% after praziquantel alone and 92.9% after the combination treatment (see Appendix 2).

Section D: Artesunate

Artesunate versus placebo (comparison 11)

The two placebo controlled trials of artesunate had inconsistent results, and the single trial at low risk of bias found only a modest effect on egg excretion compared to placebo. Two trials compared artesunate 4 mg/kg once daily for three days with placebo. The two trials had inconsistent results on parasitological failure, with one trial finding no difference between artesunate and placebo, and one finding lower treatment failures with artesunate at eight weeks (251 participants, two trials, Analysis 11.1). The trial finding an effect was at unclear risk of both selection and detection bias due to an inadequate description of trial methods (Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA).

Both trials found that artesunate reduced egg excretion compared to placebo (Table 8), but the percent reduction was low compared to that seen in placebo controlled trials of praziquantel (percent egg reductions of between 52% and 69%).

The trial at unclear risk of bias also reported improved reductions in haematuria and proteinuria compared to placebo, while the trial at low risk of bias (Borrmann 2001 GAB) found no effect on proteinuria (see Appendix 3). No differences in adverse events were reported (see Appendix 5, Analysis 11.3).

Praziquantel versus artesunate (comparison 12)

The results of the three trials are inconsistent, with the single trial at low risk of bias finding only a modest reduction in egg excretion with artesunate.

Three trials (Borrmann 2001 GAB; Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA; Keiser 2010 CIV) compared artesunate 4 mg/kg/d for three days with praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose.

The three trials had mixed results. In two trials artesunate performed poorly, with parasitological treatment failures of over 70% at one month and two months respectively (Borrmann 2001 GAB; Keiser 2010 CIV). In these trials praziquantel was clearly superior (Analysis 12.1). In the third trial (Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA), at unclear risk of bias due to inadequate description of trial methods, artesunate performed similarly to praziquantel with 28% treatment failures at two months (Analysis 12.1).

The percent egg reduction with artesunate varied across the three trials from 52% to 85% (see Appendix 2). In the single trial where both praziquantel and artesunate performed well at reducing treatment failures, both drugs had fairly modest effects on egg excretion (Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA).

Only the trial at unclear risk of bias (Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA) reported substantial effects of artesunate on haematuria and proteinuria (see Appendix 3). In the trial at low risk of bias (Borrmann 2001 GAB) praziquantel was clearly superior at reducing microhematuria (RR 0.43, 95% CI 0.3 to 0.62; 178 participants, one trial, Analysis 12.2).

All trials reported on adverse events with no significant differences noted between groups (see Appendix 5, Analysis 12.3).

Praziquantel versus praziquantel plus artesunate (comparison 13)

The results of the two trials were inconsistent but the trial at low risk of bias found no benefit with adding artesunate to praziquantel.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Two of the trials comparing artesunate with praziquantel also had a treatment arm where patients received both drugs (Borrmann 2001 GAB; Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA). Again, in the trial at low risk of bias (Borrmann 2001 GAB) adding artesunate to praziquantel did not substantially reduce treatment failures or percent egg reduction at eight weeks compared to praziquantel alone, whereas in the trial at unclear risk of bias (Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA), adding artesunate improved outcomes (Analysis 13.1; Table 9; Appendix 2). No differences in adverse events were reported (see Appendix 5).

Section E: Others

Mefloquine versus sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (comparison 14)

In a single trial comparing the use of mefloquine and sulfadoxinepyrimethamine as intermittent preventive treatment for malaria in pregnancy, a re-analysis of the small number of mothers infected with *S. haematobium* found more women were cured at one month after mefloquine compared to sulfadoxine-pyrimethamine (RR 0.57, 95% CI 0.4 to 0.83; 44 participants, one trial, Analysis 14.1), and an egg reduction of 80% four weeks after treatment and 98% ten weeks after treatment (see Appendix 2).

Praziquantel versus mefloquine alone or mefloquine in combination with artesunate (comparison 15 and 16)

A single small trial (Keiser 2010 CIV) reported lower treatment failures with praziquantel 40 mg/kg alone than with mefloquine 25 mg/kg (RR 0.15, 95% CI 0.05 to 0.43; 45 participants, one trial, Analysis 15.1) or with mefloquine in combination with artesunate 4 mg/kg/d for three days (RR 0.23, 95% CI 0.07 to 0.74; 44 participants, one trial, Analysis 16.1). At four weeks, this trial reports a percent egg reduction of 74% at four weeks with mefloquine alone (19 participants), 96% with mefloquine and artesunate combined, and 97% with praziquantel (Appendix 2).

Keiser 2010 CIV recorded adverse events by active, prospective surveillance. Adverse events were mild to moderate and common in all groups. There were no statistically significant differences in any individual adverse event (Appendix 5).

Praziquantel versus praziquantel and albendazole (comparison 17)

One trial (Olds 1999 KEN) compared a single dose of praziquantel 40 mg/kg with a combination of single dose praziquantel 40 mg/kg plus albendazole 400 mg at day 45 (RR 0.9, 95% CI 0.62 to 1.3; 193 participants, one trial, Analysis 17.1). The authors concluded that albendazole does not influence the effect of praziquantel. Adverse events were monitored by active, prospective surveillance and described as mild and transient. Diarrhoea, headache and abdominal pain were observed most frequently, but adverse events were reported for participants treated for *S. haematobium* and *S. mansoni* together (Appendix 5).

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

ADDITIONAL SUMMARY OF FINDINGS [Explanation]

ng urinary schistoso	Patient or population: people with urinary schistosomiasis Settings: endemic areas in Sub-Saharan Africa Intervention: praziquantel 40 mg/kg (single dose) Comparison: praziquantel 30 mg/kg (single dose)						
miasis (Rev	Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of participants (trials)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	
/iew)		Assumed risk	Corresponding risk				
		Praziquantel 30 mg/kg single dose	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose				
	Parasitological failure At 1 month	32 per 100	24 per 100 (19 to 32)	RR 0.76 (0.59 to 0.99)	401 (4 trials)	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ low ^{1,2,3,4}	
	Mean percent egg reduction At 1 month	The mean reduction in control groups ranged from an 85% reduction to a 99% reduction.	The mean reduction in the in- tervention groups was > 95% in all trials	Not pooled	362 (4 trials)	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ low ^{1,3,5,6}	
	Parasitological failure At 6 months	29 per 100	28 per 100 (22 to 36)	RR 0.97 (0.76 to 1.23)	669 (6 trials)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ moderate 1,3,7,8	
	Mean percent egg reduction At 6 months	The mean reduction in control groups ranged from an 97% reduction to a 99% reduction.	The mean reduction in the in- tervention groups ranged from a 46% reduction ¹⁵ to a 99% reduction	Not pooled	362 (4 trials)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ^{1,3,9,10}	
	Haematuria	26 per 100	23 per 1000 (12 to 44)	RR 0.89 (0.47 to 1.67)	117 (1 trial)	⊕○○○ very low ^{11,12,13}	
	Proteinuria	15 per 100	13 per 100 (5 to 31)	RR 0.85 (0.34 to 2.12)	117 (1 trial)	⊕○○○ very low ^{11,12,13}	

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review) Copyright © 2014 The Authors. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

	-	-	Not estimable	992 (8 trials)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ Iow ¹⁴
*The basis for the assumed in the comparison group and CI: Confidence interval; RR:	risk (for example, the I the relative effect of Risk ratio.	median control group risk acros the intervention (and its 95% C	ss studies) is provided in footnote: I).	s. The corresponding risk	x (and its 95% CI) is based on the assum
GRADE Working Group grad High quality: Further researd Moderate quality: Further re Low quality: Further researd Very low quality: We are ve	es of evidence ch is very unlikely to c search is likely to hav h is very likely to have ry uncertain about the	hange our confidence in the esti e an important impact on our co e an important impact on our co estimate.	mate of effect. onfidence in the estimate of effect a nfidence in the estimate of effect a	and may change the estin Ind is likely to change the	nate. estimate.
 Downgraded by 1 for serie assessors. No serious inconsistency: with praziquantel 40 mg/kg ra³ No serious indirectness: All⁴ Downgraded by 1 for seriou analysis remains underpower No serious inconsistency: significance but effects were Downgraded by 1 for serio between the two doses in a p No serious inconsistency. I 	No statistical heteroge unged from 0% to thar trials were conducted is imprecision: None of ed to confidently dete Three of the four trials similar. us imprecision: We w ooled analysis. ow statistical heterog	e of the trials described a metheneity in the relative effect of the more than 50%. I in sub-Saharan Africa, in patier of the individual studies found state of the individual studies found states are effect. Is report the difference was not ere unable to pool the data, and eneity.	nod of allocations concealment of e two praziquantel doses. Howeve nts aged from seven to 20 years. atistical significant differences, an statistically significant. The fourth d as such cannot exclude a small	r blinding outcome er, treatment failure d overall, the meta- trial did not report difference in effect	

Patient or population: pat Settings: endemic settings Intervention: Praziquantel Comparison: Praziquantel	ients with treating urinary s s 40 mg/kg multiple doses (40 mg/kg single dose	chistosomiasis every three months for two	years)		
Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% CI)	No of participants (trials)	Quality of the evidence Comments (GRADE)
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk			
	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg multiple doses			
Parasitological failure At 2 years	90 per 100	244 per 100 (132 to 450)	RR 2.71 (1.47 to 5.00)	62 (1 trial)	$\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ very low ^{1,2,3,4}
Mean percent egg re- duction At 2 years	This study reports a 81% reduction after a single dose of praziquantel	This study reports a 96% reduction after multiple doses of praziquantel	-	62 (1 trial)	\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc very low ^{1,2,3,4}
Parasitological failure At 3 years	63 per 100	56 per 100 (37 to 89)	RR 0.92 (0.59 to 1.42)	43 (1 trial)	$\bigoplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ very low ^{1,2,3,4}
Haematuria At 3 years	48 per 100	34 per 100 (20 to 56)	RR 0.7 (0.42 to 1.17)	43 (1 trial)	$\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ very low ^{1,2,3,4}
Adverse events	-	This study reports a 96% reduction after multiple doses of praziguantel	-	43 (1 trial)	⊕○○○ very low ⁵

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (for example, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI). **CI:** Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk ratio;

21

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

¹ Downgraded by 2 for serious risk of bias. The one trial reporting the outcome did not report adequately on sequence generation and blinding. Allocation was not concealed, and loss to follow up was very high.

² No serious inconsistency: only one trial.

³ No serious indirectness: only one trial.

⁴ Downgraded by 1 for serious imprecision: This single trial is small and underpowered to reliably detect an effect.

⁵ This trial did not report on adverse events.

Metrifonate compared to plac	ebo for treating urinary schisto	osomiasis					
Patient or population: patients Settings: endemic settings Intervention: metrifonate 3 x 7 Comparison: placebo	s with treating urinary schistosor 7.5 mg/kg given two weeks apar	niasis t					
Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks	* (95% CI)	Relative effect No of participar (95% Cl) (trials)		Quality of the evidence (GRADE)		
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk					
	Placebo	Metrifonate 3 x 7.5 mg/kg given two weeks apart					
Parasitological failure At 2 to 2.5 months	40 per 100	16 per 100 (12 to 22)	RR 0.41 (0.3 to 0.56)	93 (1 trial)	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ low ^{1,2,3,4}		
Mean percent egg reduction At 2 to 2.5 months	Egg excretion increased by 131% in the placebo group in this study	Egg excretion was reduced by 100% in this trial	-	93 (1 trial)	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ low ^{1,2,3,4}		
Parasitological failure At 6 months	96 per 100	29 per 100 (23 to 36)	RR 0.3 (0.24 to 0.37)	400 (1 trial)	$\oplus \oplus \oplus \bigcirc$ moderate ^{2,3,5,6}		
Mean percent egg reduction At 6 months	13% increase	94% reduction	-	400 (1 trial)	⊕⊕⊕⊖ moderate ^{2,3,5,7}		
Adverse events	-	-	-	493 (2 trials)	8		

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (for example, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI). **CI:** Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk ratio.

23

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

¹ Downgraded by 1 for serious risk of bias; the single trial reporting this outcome did not adequately describe sequence generation,

allocation concealment and blinding of participants, clinicians or outcome assessors.

² No serious inconsistency. Only one trial.

³ No serious indirectness. This single trial was conducted in children in rural sub-Saharan Africa.

⁴ Downgraded by 1 for serious imprecision. The trial was underpowered.

⁵ Downgraded by 1 for serious risk of bias. The trial did not report on sequence generation and allocation concealment. The study

described blinding of participants, clinicians and outcome assessors.

⁶ No serious imprecision. Cls are narrow and both Cl limits have clinically important effects. The trial is adequately powered for this outcome.

⁷ No serious imprecision. The difference in effect between metrifonate and placebo group is large.

⁸ None of the trials reported on adverse events.

Patient or population: patients Settings: endemic settings Intervention: artesunate 4 mg Comparison: placebo	s with treating urinary schistosor /kg for three days	niasis			
Outcomes	Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI)		Relative effect (95% Cl)	No of participants (studies)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk			
	Placebo	Artesunate			
Parasitological failure At 8 weeks	87 per 100	46 per 100 (14 to 148)	RR 0.53 (0.16 to 1.71)	251 (2 trials)	$\oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc$ very low ^{1,2,3,4}
Mean percent egg reduction At 8 weeks	Mean change in egg excretion ranged from range from 47 . 1% reduction to 111.5% in- crease.	Reduction in egg excretion ranged from 52.1% to a 69 . 3%	-	276 (2 trials)	$\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ low ^{1,3,5,6}
Microhaematuria At 8 weeks	53 per 100	65 per 100 (45 to 94)	RR 1.22 (0.85 to 1.76)	119 (1 trial)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ^{7,8,9,10}
Adverse events		-	-	276 (2 trials)	$\begin{array}{c} \oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \\ \text{low}^{11,12} \end{array}$

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (for example, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI). **CI:** Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk ratio.

GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review) Copyright © 2014 The Authors. The Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews published by John Wiley & Sons, Ltd. on behalf of The Cochrane Collaboration.

25

ĭ	
log fo	¹ Downgraded by 1 for serious risk of bias. One trial described sequence generation, allocation concealment and blinding adequately,
Ť	whereas the second study did not.
reat	² Downgraded by 1 for serious inconsistency. One of the trials (at high risk of bias) reported a large effect, while the other trial (at low
ng g	risk of bias) detected no effect.
5.	³ No serious indirectness. The trials were conducted in Gabon and Nigeria in patients of a similar age range.
3	

⁴ Downgraded by 1 for serious imprecision. The CI is very wide and reaches from no benefit to a significant benefit after treatment.

⁵ No for serious inconsistency. Percent egg reductions the studies reported were similar.

⁶ Downgraded by 1 for serious imprecision. The meta analysis is underpowered.

7 No serious risk of bias. The one trial reporting the outcome reported adequately on sequence generation, allocation concealment and blinding.

⁸ No serious inconsistency: only one trial.

⁹ No serious indirectness: This trial was conducted in school children in Gabon.

¹⁰ Downgraded by 2 for very serious imprecision: only one trial reporting 74 events in 119 participants evaluated this outcome.

¹¹Downgraded by 1 for serious risk of bias: only one trial was blinded. Both trials reported on adverse events, but the methods are unclear.

¹² Downgraded by 1 for imprecision. One study reported on clinically diagnosed outcomes per treatment group, but was underpowered to confidently detect a difference.

Patient or population: patients Settings: Countries endemic fo Intervention: Praziquantel plus Comparison: Praziquantel alon	s with urinary schistosomiasis or urinary schistosomiasis s artesunate e				
Outcomes	Dutcomes Illustrative comparative risks* (95% CI) Relative effe	Relative effect (95% CI)	No of participants (trials)	Quality of the evidence (GRADE)	
	Assumed risk	Corresponding risk			
	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose alone	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose plus artesunate 4 mg/ kg/d for 3 days			
Parasitological failure at 8 weeks	27 per 100	17 per 100 (10 to 27)	RR 0.62 (0.38 to 0.99)	265 (2 trials)	$\begin{array}{c} \oplus \oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \\ \text{low}^{1,2,3,4} \end{array}$
Percent egg reduction	Egg reduction in the Prazi- quantel groups ranged from 52.1% reduction to a 97.11% reduction.	Egg reduction in the Praz- iquantel and ARS groups ranged from 93.5% to 98.8%	-	265 (2 trials)	$\oplus \bigcirc \bigcirc \bigcirc$ very low ^{1,2,5,6}
Microhaematuria	28 per 100	19 per 100 (11 to 33)	RR 0.69 (0.4 to 1.18)	177 (1 trial)	⊕⊕⊖⊖ low ^{7,8}
Adverse events	-	-	-	156 (1 trial)	⊕○○○ very low ^{9,10}

*The basis for the **assumed risk** (for example, the median control group risk across studies) is provided in footnotes. The **corresponding risk** (and its 95% CI) is based on the assumed risk in the comparison group and the **relative effect** of the intervention (and its 95% CI). **CI:** Confidence interval; **RR:** Risk ratio. GRADE Working Group grades of evidence

High quality: Further research is very unlikely to change our confidence in the estimate of effect.

Moderate quality: Further research is likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and may change the estimate.

Low quality: Further research is very likely to have an important impact on our confidence in the estimate of effect and is likely to change the estimate.

Very low quality: We are very uncertain about the estimate.

¹ Downgraded by 1 for serious risk of bias: only one out of two studies did report adequate random sequence generation, allocation concealment and blinding or participants and clinicians, while the other study did not provide enough information to allow a judgement.

² No serious inconsistency. Both studies favour the combination of Praziquantel and ARS over Praziquantel alone.

³ No serious indirectness. The trials were conducted in rural communities in Gabon and Nigeria, in children (6 to 15 years) and young adults (4 to 20 years)

⁴ Downgraded by 1 for serious imprecision: Only two studies were included in this comparison. The effect size, described by the 95% CI ranged from a very small, clinically non-important effect to a clinically important effect.

⁵ Downgraded by 1 for serious inconsistency: egg reduction varied widely between the two trials.

⁶ Downgraded by 1 for serious imprecision: Only two studies reported this outcome.

⁷ No serious risk of bias. The one study that reporting this outcome described adequate random sequence generation, allocation concealment and blinding.

⁸ Downgraded by 2 for serious imprecision: only one small study reported this outcome, the outcome is not statistically significant with wide 95% CI.

⁹ Downgraded by 2 for serious risk of bias. This study did not provide enough information to allow a judgement regarding sequence generation, allocation concealment and blinding.

¹⁰Downgraded by 1 for serious imprecision. Only one study reported on adverse events. The study was underpowered, and no difference in adverse events was detected between treatment groups.

28

DISCUSSION

For a summary of the main results of the review and GRADE assessment of the quality of evidence see: Summary of findings for the main comparison; Summary of findings table 2; Summary of findings 2; Summary of findings 3; Summary of findings 4; Summary of findings 5; and Summary of findings 6.

Summary of main results

On average, a single 40 mg/kg dose of praziquantel reduced the proportion of people still excreting *S. haematobium* eggs in their urine by around 60% compared to placebo at one to two months after treatment (*high quality evidence*), and reduced the mean number of schistosome eggs in the urine by over 95% in five out of six trials (*high quality evidence*). Splitting praziquantel 40 mg/kg into two doses over 12 hours probably has no benefits over a single dose.

Two small trials compared a single 40 mg/kg dose of praziquantel with two or three doses of 10 mg/kg metrifonate and found no differences in cure. In one trial both drugs performed badly and in one trial both performed well.

Three trials evaluated the antimalarial artesunate, and two trials evaluated mefloquine, with inconsistent results.

Overall completeness and applicability of evidence

The WHO currently recommend that schistosomiasis is treated with a single dose of praziquantel of at least 40 mg/kg (WHO 2006). In this review we found no trials evaluating doses higher than 40 mg in urinary schistosomiasis, but doses of 40 mg/kg or even 30 mg/kg are effective at reducing egg excretion and achieving cure.

Of all the drugs that have been evaluated for treating urinary schistosomiasis, praziquantel has by far the strongest evidence base. It has been evaluated across a wide range of endemic countries, and most trials were conducted in children who bear the highest burden of disease. However, few trials included children younger than five years of age, and Stothard 2013 suggested that higher doses of praziquantel might be required for this group. We would have liked to explore this possibility through an analysis stratified by age, but the data did not allow this and no firm conclusions can be made. In addition, most trials concentrated on parasitological efficacy, and few reported clinical outcomes such as improvement in haematuria or anaemia. Data on resolution of long-term morbidity after treatment, as nutritional outcomes and sonographic findings are very rare, and follow-up is limited to less than one year.

The absolute proportion of people cured by praziquantel varied between trials while percent egg reduction was relatively homogenous. This may be explained by low sensitivity and negative predictive value of the diagnostic test, compounded with the fact that egg yield varies during the day and with physical activity. This means that patients with few eggs in their urine may be variably declared as positive or negative in different settings. The proportional reduction in the mean egg counts from before to after treatment is less prone to this error. It also appears that some trials based post-treatment egg reduction on the whole trial population (including cured patients with zero egg counts), while other trials based the post-treatment calculations on those patients still excreting eggs. We were unable to combine egg reduction values in meta-analysis, and assess statistical significance, due to the poor reporting of standard deviations and methods for calculating the mean (Table 2).

None of the included trials suggested drug resistance as a possible cause of high parasitological failure, or of recurrent schistosomiasis over prolonged follow-up. In high transmission areas two mechanisms could explain rising parasitological failure over time: maturation of immature worms (which escape the action of praziquantel) to egg producing adults, and reinfection.

Previously the WHO also recommended metrifonate at 7.5 mg/kg for three doses (given two weeks apart), but this drug is now largely unavailable (Danso-Appiah 2008). We found some evidence that repeated doses of metrifonate had reasonable antischistosomal effects but we found no trials directly comparing this dose with the standard dose of praziquantel. Combining praziquantel with metrifonate is one possible strategy for improving parasitological cure as they attack *S. haematobium* by different mechanisms (Utzinger 2004). However, we only found one small trial evaluating a combination approach and this used a low dose of praziquantel rather than the standard 40 mg/kg (Wilkins 1987 GMB).

Antimalarials (such as artesunate and mefloquine) given alone or in combination with praziquantel are another potential future treatment option, but the current evidence base is limited to a few trials with inconsistent results. As many locations in sub-Saharan Africa are co-endemic for schistosomiasis and malaria, there are also concerns about development of *Plasmodium* parasite resistance to artemisinins, especially as they would be used in a single dose and without a companion antimalarial drug (Utzinger 2004). Any change in policy would need to fully consider this potential public health harm.

Quality of the evidence

We used the GRADE approach to assess the quality for the evidence.

We consider the evidence for substantial benefits with praziquantel compared to placebo to be of high quality, meaning we have confidence in this result. Many of the included trials are old, but reassuringly the findings of the most recent trial conducted in 2005/2006 are consistent with the older studies.

However, we consider most of the evidence for other comparisons in this review to be of low or even very low quality. Most of the

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

trials evaluating metrifonate are old and precede guidelines on transparent reporting of clinical trials. As such, many trials lacked adequate descriptions of methods to allow judgements on risk of bias, and so risk of bias has been classified as unclear. Trials were also generally small and underpowered to reliably detect or exclude effects.

Of the three trials reporting on the antischistosomal effects of artesunate, only one was at low risk of bias and this trial found little effect with artesunate compared to placebo (Borrmann 2001 GAB). Although the metanalysis suggests artesunate may improve cure when added to praziquantel, this evidence was of low quality due to inconsistency between trials, and the single trial showing a large effect being at unclear risk of bias for all domains.

Potential biases in the review process

Our information specialist followed a detailed, reproducible search strategy, and we searched reference lists of included trials. However, some trials might not be available online, and therefore an electronic search will not identify them.

In many cases, clarification of information with authors was not possible as no contact e-mail addresses were available as the trials were very old.

Agreements and disagreements with other studies or reviews

Two recent systematic reviews evaluated the use of artemisinins in treating urinary schistosomiasis (Liu 2011; Pérez del Villar 2012), and both concluded that the combination of artesunate plus praziquantel is superior to praziquantel alone, While we find some evidence to support this we conclude that this evidence is only of low quality and encourage further high quality and adequately powered trials before any change in treatment policy. Of note, the trial at lowest risk of bias (Borrmann 2001 GAB), found no significant difference in cure between artesunate alone and placebo, or between praziquantel plus artesunate and praziquantel alone. One further systematic review evaluated single or repeated doses of praziquantel, and found no evidence of benefit with repeated dosing compared to a single dose in people with S. haematobium infection (King 2011). We would agree that repeating doses two or three weeks apart does not seem to provide benefit over a single dose based on two trials with 686 participants. However, repeating doses at three monthly intervals over two years did seem to provide some additional benefits in a single small trial and further trials could evaluate this.

AUTHORS' CONCLUSIONS

Implications for practice

Praziquantel is the most studied drug for treating urinary schistosomiasis and has the strongest evidence base. Although there is some evidence that 30 mg/kg may be sufficient, operationally this would prove difficult as 40 mg/kg is used to treat people with intestinal schistosomiasis, and the two diseases often overlap.

Implications for research

Potential strategies to improve future treatments for schistosomiasis include the combination of praziquantel with metrifonate, or with antimalarials with antischistosomal properties such as artesunate and mefloquine. Evaluation of these combinations requires rigorous. adequately powered trials using standardized outcome measures. It is both important and urgent that these parameters be agreed upon and applied. Trial protocols with standardised diagnostic methods, time points of follow-up and efficacy outcomes would enable us to combine trials in meta-analysis and to reduce heterogeneity between trials.

A C K N O W L E D G E M E N T S

We would like to thank the authors of the previous version of this review Anthony Danso-Appiah, Jürg Utzinger, and Jianping Liu, who stood down for this update.

We thank the trial authors for their research, which enabled us to conduct a systematic review and particularly trial authors that responded to our requests for further information. We acknowledge Paul Garner for his expertise, experience and encouragement; Anne Marie Stephani, whose ready technical assistance with Review Manager (RevMan) was most helpful; and to Vittoria Lutje for her approachability and support. The editorial base of the Cochrane Infectious Diseases Group is funded by the UK Department for International Development for the benefit of developing countries.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

REFERENCES

References to studies included in this review

Abden Abdi 1989 SOM {published data only}

* Aden-Abdi Y, Gustafsson LL, Elmi SA. A simplified dosage schedule of metrifonate in the treatment of Schistosoma haematobium infection in Somalia. *European Journal of Clinical Pharmacology* 1987;**32**(5):437–41.

Al Aska 1990 SAU {published data only}

Al-Aska AK, Al-Mofleh IA, Al-Rashed R, Hafez MA, Al-Nozha M, Abu-Aisha H, et al.Praziquantel, oxamniquine, and metrifonate in the treatment of schistosomiasis in Riyadh. *Annals of Saudi Medicine* 1990;**10**(3):296–8.

Basra 2012 GAB {published data only}

* Basra A, Mombo-Ngoma G, Melser MC, Diop DA, Würbel H, Mackanga JR, et al.Efficacy of mefloquine intermittent preventive treatment in pregnancy against Schistosoma haematobium infection in Gabon: a nested randomized controlled assessor-blinded clinical trial. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2012;**56**(6):e68–75.

Befidi Mengue 1992 CMR {published data only}

* Befidi-Mengue R N, Ratard R C, D'Alessandro A, Rice J, Befidi-Mengue R, Kouemeni L E, et al. The impact of Schistosoma haematobium infection and of praziquantel treatment on the growth of primary school children in Bertoua, Cameroon. *Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1992;**95**(6):404–9.

Befidi-Mengue RN, Ratard RC, Beltran G, D'Alessandro A, Rice J, Befidi-Mengue R, et al.Impact of Schistosoma haematobium infection and of praziquantel treatment on anaemia of primary school children in Bertoua, Cameroon. *Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1993;**96**(4): 225–30.

Borrmann 2001 GAB {published data only}

Borrmann S, Szlezak N, Faucher JF, Matsiegui PB, Neubauer R, Binder RK, et al.Artesunate and praziquantel for the treatment of Schistosoma haematobium infections: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2001;**184**(10):1363–6.

Davis 1981 ZMB {published data only}

Davis A, Biles JE, Ulrich AM, Dixon H. Tolerance and efficacy of praziquantel in phase IIA and IIB therapeutic trials in Zambian patients. *Arzneimittel-Forschung* 1981;**31** (3a):568–74.

de Jonge 1990 SDN {published data only}

Doehring E, Ehrich JH, Vester U, Feldmeier H, Poggensee U, Brodehl J. Proteinuria, hematuria, and leukocyturia in children with mixed urinary and intestinal schistosomiasis. *Kidney International* 1985;**28**(3):520–5.

Doehring E, Poggensee U, Feldmeier H. The effect of metrifonate in mixed Schistosoma haematobium and Schistosoma mansoni infections in humans. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1986;**35**(2):323–9. * de Jonge N, Schommer G, Feldmeier H, Krijger FW, Dafalla AA, Bienzle U, et al.Mixed Schistosoma haematobium and S. mansoni infection: effect of different treatments on the serum level of circulating anodic antigen (CAA). *Acta Tropica* 1990;**48**(1):25–35.

Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA {published data only}

Inyang-Etoh PC, Ejezie GC, Useh MF, Inyang-Etoh EC. Efficacy of a combination of praziquantel and artesunate in the treatment of urinary schistosomiasis in Nigeria. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2009;**103**(1):38–44.

Jewsbury 1976 ZWE {published data only}

Jewsbury JM, Cooke MJ. Prophylaxis of schistosomiasis - field trial of metrifonate for the prevention of human infection. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 1976; **70**(3):361–3.

Kardaman 1985 SDN {published data only}

Kardaman MW, Fenwick A, el Igail AB, el Tayeb M, Daffalla AA, Dixon HG. Treatment with praziquantel of schoolchildren with concurrent Schistosoma mansoni and S. haematobium infections in Gezira, Sudan. *Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1985;**88**(2):105–9.

Keiser 2010 CIV {published data only}

Keiser J, N'Guessan NA, Adoubryn KD, Silué KD, Vounatsou P, Hatz C, et al.Efficacy and safety of mefloquine, artesunate, mefloquine-artesunate, and praziquantel against Schistosoma haematobium: randomized, exploratory openlabel trial. *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2010;**50**(9):1205–13.

King 1989 KEN {published data only}

King CH, Wiper DW 3rd, De Stigter KV, Peters PA, Koech D, Ouma JH, et al.Dose-finding study for praziquantel therapy of Schistosoma haematobium in Coast Province, Kenya. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1989;**40**(5):507–13.

King 1990 KEN {published data only}

King CH, Lombardi G, Lombardi C, Greenblatt R, Hodder S, Kinyanjui H, et al.Chemotherapy based control of schistosomiasis haematobia. I. Metrifonate versus praziquantel in control of intensity and prevalence of infection. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1988;**39**(3):295–305.

* King CH, Lombardi G, Lombardi C, Greenblatt R, Hodder S, Kinyanjui H, et al.Chemotherapy based control of schistosomiasis haematobia. II. Metrifonate vs. praziquantel in control of infection associated morbidity. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1990;**42** (6):587–95.

King CH, Muchiri E, Ouma JH, Koech D. Chemotherapy based control of schistosomiasis haematobia. IV. Impact of repeated annual chemotherapy on prevalence and intensity of Schistosoma haematobium infection in an endemic area of Kenya. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1991;**45**(4):498–508.

King 2002 KEN {published data only}

King CH, Muchiri EM, Mungai P, Ouma JH, Kadzo H, Magak P, et al.Randomized comparison of low-dose versus

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

standard-dose praziquantel therapy in treatment of urinary tract morbidity due to Schistosoma haematobium infection. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2002;**66** (6):725–30.

McMahon 1979 TZA {published data only}

McMahon JE, Kolstrup N. Praziquantel: a new schistosomicide against Schistosoma haematobium. *British Medical Journal* 1979;**2**(6202):1396–9.

McMahon 1983 TZA {published data only}

McMahon JE. A comparative trial of praziquantel, metrifonate and niridazole against Schistosoma haematobium. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 1983;77(2):139–42.

Mott 1985 GHA {published data only}

Mott KE, Dixon H, Osei-Tutu E, England EC, Davis A. Effect of praziquantel on hematuria and proteinuria in urinary schistosomiasis. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1985;**34**(6):1119–26.

Olds 1999 KEN {published data only}

Olds GR, King C, Hewlett J, Olveda R, Wu G, Ouma J, et al.Double-blind placebo-controlled study of concurrent administration of albendazole and praziquantel in schoolchildren with schistosomiasis and geohelminths. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 1999;**179**(4):996–1003.

Omer 1981 SDN {published data only}

Omer AH. Praziquantel in the treatment of mixed S. haematobium and S. mansoni infections. *Arzeneimittel-Forschung* 1981;**31**(3a):605–8.

Oyediran 1981 NGA {published data only}

Oyediran AB, Kofie BA, Bammeke AO, Bamgboye EA. Clinical experience with praziquantel in the treatment of Nigerian patients infected with S. haematobium. *Arzneimittel-Forschung* 1981;**31**(3a):581–4.

Pugh 1983 MWI {published data only}

Pugh RN, Teesdale CH. Long-term efficacy of singledose oral treatment in schistosomiasis haematobium. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1984;**78**(1):55–9.

* Pugh RN, Teesdale CH. Single dose oral treatment in urinary schistosomiasis: a double blind trial. *British Medical Journal* 1983;**286**(6363):429–32.

Rey 1983 NER {published data only}

Rey JL, Sellin B, Gazere O, Ott D, Reges M, Garrouty P. Comparison study of praziquantel efficiency (30 mg/kg and 40 mg/kg) in a single dose and oltipraz (35 mg/kg) in two doses on Schistosoma haematobium in Niger [Comparaison au Niger de l' efficacite sur Schistosoma haematobium du Praziquantel (30 mg/kg et 40 mg/kg) en une prise et de l' Oltipraz (35 mg/kg) en deux prises]. *Medicine et Maladies Infectieuses* 1983;**13**(6):328–31.

Rey 1984 NER {published data only}

Rey JL, Nouhou H, Sellin B. Comparison of three metrifonate dosages in mass chemotherapy of Schistosoma haematobium [Comparaison de trois posologies de Metrifonate en chimiotherapie de masse contre Schistosoma haematobium]. *Médicine Tropicale* 1984;**44**(1):57–60.

Sacko 2009 MLI {published data only}

Sacko M, Magnussen P, Traoré M, Landouré A, Doucouré A, Reimert CM, et al. The effect of single dose versus two doses of praziquantel on Schistosoma haematobium infection and pathology among school-aged children in Mali. *Parasitology* 2009;**136**(13):1851–7.

Stephenson 1985 KEN {published data only}

Stephenson LS, Latham MC, Kinoti SN, Oduori ML. Regression of splenomegaly and hepatomegaly in children treated for Schistosoma haematobium infection. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1985;**34**(1): 119–23.

Stephenson LS, Latham MC, Kurz KM, Kinoti SN, Oduori ML, Crompton DW. Relationships of Schistosoma haematobium, hookworm and malarial infections and metrifonate treatment to growth of Kenyan school children. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1985;**34** (6):1109–18.

* Stephenson LS, Latham MC, Kurz KM, Kinoti SN, Oduori ML, Crompton DW. Relationships of Schistosoma haematobium, hookworm and malarial infections and metrifonate treatment to hemoglobin level in Kenyan school children. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1985;**34**(3):519–28.

Stephenson 1989 KEN {published data only}

Latham MC, Stephenson LS, Kurz KM, Kinoti SN. Metrifonate or praziquantel treatment improves physical fitness and appetite of Kenyan schoolboys with Schistosoma haematobium and hookworm infections. *American Journal* of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1990;**43**(2):170–9.

* Stephenson LS, Kinoti SN, Latham MC, Kurz KM, Kyobe J. Single dose metrifonate or praziquantel treatment in Kenyan children. I. Effects on Schistosoma haematobium, hookworm, hemoglobin levels, splenomegaly, and hepatomegaly. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1989;**41**(4):436–44.

Stephenson LS, Latham MC, Kurz KM, Kinoti SN. Single dose metrifonate or praziquantel treatment in Kenyan children. II. Effects on growth in relation to Schistosoma haematobium and hookworm egg counts. *American Journal* of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene 1989;**41**(4):445–53.

Taylor 1988 ZWE {published data only}

Taylor P, Murare HM, Manomano K. Efficacy of low doses of praziquantel for Schistosoma mansoni and S. haematobium. *Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1988;**91**(1):13–7.

Tchuente 2004 CMR {published data only}

Tchuenté LA, Shaw DJ, Polla L, Cioli D, Vercruysse J. Efficacy of praziquantel against Schistosoma haematobium infection in children. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2004;**71**(6):778–82.

van den Biggelaar 02 GAB {published data only}

van den Biggelaar AH, Borrmann S, Kremsner P, Yazdanbakhsh M. Immune responses induced by repeated

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

treatment do not result in protective immunity to Schistosoma haematobium: interleukin (IL)-5 and IL-10 responses. *Journal of Infectious Diseases* 2002;**186**(10): 1474–82.

Wilkins 1987 GMB {published data only}

Wilkins HA, Moore PJ. Comparative trials of regimens for the treatment of urinary schistosomiasis in The Gambia. *Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1987;**90**(2):83–92.

References to studies excluded from this review

Aryeetey 1999 {published data only}

Aryeetey ME, Aholu C, Wagatsuma Y, Bentil G, Nkrumah FK, Kojima S. Health education and community participation in the control of urinary schistosomiasis in Ghana. *East African Medical Journal* 1999;**76**(6):324–9.

Ayoya 2007 {published data only}

Ayoya MA. Effect of iron and/or multiple micronutrient supplementation added to praziquantel treatment of anemic Malian school children infected with schistosoma haematobium (Mali). Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering 2007, issue 3–b.

Bausch 1995 {published data only}

Bausch D, Cline BL. The impact of control measures on urinary schistosomiasis in primary school children in northern Cameroon: a unique opportunity for controlled observations. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1995;**53**(6):577–80.

Beasley 1999 {published data only}

Beasley NM, Tomkins AM, Hall A, Kihamia CM, Lorri W, Nduma B, et al. The impact of population level deworming on the haemoglobin levels of schoolchildren in Tanga, Tanzania. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* 1999; 4(11):744–50.

Bejon 2008 {published data only}

Bejon P, Mwangi TW, Lowe B, Peshu N, Hill AV, Marsh K. Helminth infection and eosinophilia and the risk of Plasmodium falciparum malaria in 1- to 6-year-old children in a malaria endemic area. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 2008;**2**(1):e164.

Bhargava 2003 {published data only}

* Bhargava A, Jukes M, Lambo J, Kihamia CM, Lorri W, Nokes C, et al.Anthelmintic treatment improves the hemoglobin and serum ferritin concentrations of Tanzanian schoolchildren. *Food and Nutrition Bulletin* 2003;**24**(4): 332–42.

Boulanger 2007 {published data only}

Boulanger D, Dieng Y, Cisse B, Remoue F, Capuano F, Dieme JL, et al.Antischistosomal efficacy of artesunate combination therapies administered as curative treatments for malaria attacks. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2007;**101**(2):113–6.

Burchard 1984 {published data only}

Burchard GD, Kern P, Baltes R, Dietrich M. Comparative trial of oltipraz versus praziquantel in the treatment of urinary schistosomiasis in the Gabon. *Tropenmedizin und Parasitologie* 1984;**35**(2):91–4.

Clarke 1969 {published data only}

Clarke Vde V, Blair DM, Weber MC. Field trial of hycanthone (Etrenol Winthrop) in the treatment of urinary and intestinal bilharziasis. *Central African Journal of Medicine* 1969;**15**(1):1–6.

Clarke 1973 {published data only}

Clarke Vde V, Weber MC, Blair DM. Suppressive therapy in the control of bilharziasis: a comparative trial in African school children. *Central African Journal of Medicine* 1973; **Sep**:32–8.

Creasey 1986 {published data only}

Creasey AM, Taylor P, Thomas JE. Dosage trial of a combination of oxamniquine and praziquantel in the treatment of schistosomiasis in Zimbabwean schoolchildren. *Central African Journal of Medicine* 1986;**32**(7):165–7.

Danso-Appiah 2009 {published data only}

Danso-Appiah A, Garner P, Olliaro PL, Utzinger J. Treatment of urinary schistosomiasis: methodological issues and research needs identified through a Cochrane systematic review. *Parasitology* 2009;**136**(13):1837–49.

Davis 1966 {published data only}

Davis A. Field trials of ambilhar in the treatment of urinary bilharziasis in schoolchildren. *Bulletin of the World Health Organization* 1966;**35**(6):827–35.

Davis 1979 {published data only}

Davis A, Wegner DH. Multicentre trials of praziquantel in human schistosomiasis: design and techniques. *Bulletin of the World Health Organisation* 1979;**57**(5):767–71.

De Clercq 2002 {published data only}

De Clercq D, Vercruysse J, Kongs A, Verlé P, Dompnier JP, Faye PC. Efficacy of artesunate and praziquantel in Schistosoma haematobium infected schoolchildren. *Acta Trapica* 2002;**82**(1):61–6.

Druilhe 1981 {published data only}

Druilhe P, Bourdillon F, Froment A, Kyelem J M. [Control of urinary schistosomiasis by 3 annual courses of metrifonate]. *Annales de la Société belge de médecine tropicale* 1981;**61**(1):99–109.

el Hawey 1990 *{published data only}*

el-Hawey AM, Massoud AM, el-Rakieby A, Rozeik MS, Nassar MO. Side effects of praziquantel in bilharzial children on a field level. *Journal of the Egyptian Society of Parasitology* 1990;**20**(2):599–605.

el Tayeb 1988 {published data only}

el Tayeb M, Daffalla AA, Kardaman MW, See R, Fenwick A. Praziquantel and oltipraz: the treatment of schoolchildren infected with Schistosoma mansoni and/or Schistosoma haematobium in Gezira, Sudan. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 1988;**82**(1):53–7.

el-Zayadi 1985 {published data only}

el-Zayadi A, el Fakhfakh A, Fawzy Montasser M, Soltan YA. Effect of the oral antibilharzial niridazole (Ambilhar) on patients with concomitant schistosomiasis and HBs antigenaemia. *Hepatogastroenterology* 1985;**32**(4):168–70.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Erikstrup 2008 {published data only}

Erikstrup C, Kallestrup P, Zinyama-Gutsire RBL, Gomo E, van Dam GJ, Deelder AM, et al.Schistosomiasis and infection with human immunodeficiency virus 1 in rural Zimbabwe: systemic inflammation during co-infection and after treatment for schistosomiasis. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2008;**79**(3):331–7.

Fontanilles 1964 {published data only}

Fontanilles F. New aspects of the chemotherapy of bilharziasis with Ambilhar (Niridazole). *Egyptian Journal of Bilharziasis* 1974;1(1):29–34.

Forsyth 1964 {published data only}

Forsyth DM, Bradley DJ. Long-term results of treating urinary schistosomiasis endemic in primary-school children. *Lancet* 1964;**2**(7352):171–3.

Garba 2001 {published data only}

Garba A, Aboubacar A, Barkire A, Vera C, Sellin B, Chippaux JP. [Impact of health education programs on the control of urinary bilharziasis in Niger]. *Santé (Montrouge, France)* 2001;**11**(1):35–42.

Garba 2004 {published data only}

Garba A, Campagne G, Tassie JM, Barkire A, Vera C, Sellin B, et al.[Long-term impact of a mass treatment by praziquantel on morbidity due to Schistosoma haematobium in two hyperendemic villages of Niger]. *Bulletin de la Société de pathologie exotique* 2004;**97**(1):7–11.

Hammad 1997 {published data only}

Hammad TA, Gabr NS, Talaat MM, Orieby A, Shawky E, Strickland GT. Hematuria and proteinuria as predictors of Schistosoma haematobium infection. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1997;**57**(3):363–7.

Jewsbury 1977 {published data only}

Jewsbury JM, Cooke MJ, Weber MC. Field trial of metrifonate in the treatment and prevention of schistosomiasis infection in man. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 1977;**71**(1):67–83.

Jinabhai 2001 {published data only}

Jinabhai CC, Taylor M, Coutsoudis A, Coovadia HM, Tomkins AM, Sullivan KR. Epidemiology of helminth infections: implications for parasite control programmes, a South African perspective. *Public Health Nutrition* 2001;4 (6):1211–9.

Jordan 1966 {published data only}

Jordan P. Schistosomiasis in Tanzania: long term results of TWSb and lucanthone hydrochloride combined in suppressive therapy in Schistosoma haematobium infection. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1966;**60**(1):83–8.

Kardaman 1983 {published data only}

Kardaman MW, Amin MA, Fenwick A, Cheesmond AK, Dixon HG. A field trial using praziquantel (BiltricideR) to treat Schistosoma mansoni and Schistosoma haematobium infection in Gezira, Sudan. *Annals in Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 1983;77(3):297–304.

Kern 1984 {published data only}

Kern P, Burchard GD, Dietrich M. Comparative study of oltipraz versus praziquantel for treatment of schistosomiasis with intestinal manifestation in the Gabon (Schistosoma intercalatum and S. haematobium). *Tropenmedizin und Parasitologie* 1984;**35**(2):95–9.

King 1989 {published data only}

King CH, Mahmoud AA. Drugs five years later: praziquantel. *Annals of Internal Medicine* 1989;**110**(4): 290–6.

King 1992 {published data only}

King CH, Muchiri EM, Ouma JH. Age-targeted chemotherapy for control of urinary schistosomiasis in endemic populations. *Memórias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* 1992;**87**(Suppl 4):203–10.

Kurz 1986 {published data only}

Kurz KM, Stephenson LS, Latham MC, Kinoti SN. The effectiveness of metrifonate in reducing hookworm infection in Kenyan school children. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1986;**35**(3):571–4.

Latham 1983 {published data only}

Latham MC, Stephenson LS, Hall A, Wolgemuth JC, Elliot TC, Crompton DW. Parasitic infections, anaemia and nutritional status: a study of their interrelationships and the effect of prophylaxis and treatment on workers in Kwale District, Kenya. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1983;77(1):41–8.

Lucas 1969 {published data only}

Lucas AO, Akpom CA, Cockshott WP, Bohrer SP. Reversibility of the urological lesions of schistosomiasis in children after specific therapy. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1969;**160**(2):629–44.

Mwanakasale 2009 {published data only}

Mwanakasale V, Siziya S, Mwansa J, Koukounari A, Fenwick A. Impact of iron supplementation on schistosomiasis control in Zambian school children in a highly endemic area. *Malawi Medical Journal* 2009;**21**(1):12–8.

N'Goran 2003 {published data only}

N'Goran EK, Utzinger J, Gnaka HN, Yapi A, N'Guessan NA, Kigbafori SD, et al.Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of oral artemether for the prevention of patent Schistosoma haematobium infections. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2003;**68**(1):24–32.

Nagaty 1962 {published data only}

Nagaty HF, Khalil HM. The use of tricyclamol chloride as a preventive measure for the side reactions of lucanthone hydrochloride in treatment of urinary schistosomiasis cases. *Central African Journal of Medicine* 1962;**8**:136–8.

Odongo-Aginya 1996 {published data only}

Odongo-Aginya EI, Doehring M, Lakwo TL, Etyono S, Luyinda LB, Roth J, et al.Integrated control trial of schistosomiasis at Nakiwogo fishing village near Entebbe, Uganda. *East African Medical Journal* 1996;**73**(8):495–8.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)
Olsen 2007 {published data only}

Olsen A. Efficacy and safety of drug combinations in the treatment of schistosomiasis, soil-transmitted helminthiasis, lymphatic filariasis and onchocerciasis. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2007;**101**(8): 747–58.

Pitchford 1978 {published data only}

Pitchford RJ, Lewis M. Oxamniquine in the treatment of various schistosome infections in South Africa. *South African Medical Journal* 1978;**53**(17):677–80.

Podgore 1994 {published data only}

Podgore JK, Abu-Elyazeed RR, Mansour NS, Youssef FG, Hibbs RG, Gere JA. Evaluation of a twice-a-week application of 1% niclosamide lotion in preventing Schistosoma haematobium reinfection. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1994;**51**(6):875–9.

Rabarijaona 2001 {published data only}

Rabarijaona LP, Andriamaroson BJ, Ravaoalimalala VE, Ravoniarimbinina P, Migliani R. [Identification of communities endemic for urinary bilharziosis by the "Lot Quality Assurance Sampling" method in Madagascar]. *Archives de l'Institut Pasteur de Madagascar* 2001;**67**(1-2): 41–5.

Rey 1984 {published data only}

Rey JL, Sellin E, Sellin B, Simonkovich E, Mouchet F. Comparative efficacy of oltipraz (1 dose, 30 mg/kg) and the combination of niridazole (25 mg/kg) and metrifonate (10 mg/kg) against S. haematobium [Efficacite comparee de l' oltipraz (1 dose 30 mg/kg) et de l' association niridazole (25 mg/kg) – metrifonate (10 mg/kg) contre S. haematobium]. *Medicine Tropicale* 1984;44(2):155–8.

Rugemalila 1984 {published data only}

Rugemalila JB, Asila J, Chimbe A. Randomized comparative trials of single doses of the newer antischistosomal drugs at Mwanza, Tanzania. I. Praziquantel and oxamniquine for the treatment of schistosomiasis mansoni. *Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1984;**87**(6):231–5.

Schutte 1983 {published data only}

Schutte CH, Osman Y, Van Deventer JM, Mosese G. Effectiveness of praziquantel against the South African strains of Schistosoma haematobium and S. mansoni. *South African Medical Journal* 1983;**64**(1):7–10.

Sellin 1986 {published data only}

Sellin B, Rey JL, Simonkovic E, Sellin E, Mouchet F. Chemotherapy trial in the battle against S. haematobium in an irrigated sahelian zone in Niger [Essai de lutte par chimiotherapie contre schistosoma haematobium en zone irriguee sahelienne au niger]. *Medecine Tropicale* 1986;**46** (1):21–30.

Sissoko 2009 MLI {published data only}

Sissoko MS, Dabo A, Traoré H, Diallo M, Traoré B, Konaté D, et al.Efficacy of artesunate + sulfamethoxypyrazine/ pyrimethamine versus praziquantel in the treatment of Schistosoma haematobium in children. *PLoS One* 2009;4 (10):e6732.

Snyman 1997 {published data only}

Snyman JR, de Sommers K, Steinmann MA, Lizamore DJ. Effects of calcitriol on eosinophil activity and antibody responses in patients with schistosomiasis. *European Journal* of Clinical Pharmacology 1997;**52**(4):277–80.

Snyman 1998 {published data only}

Snyman JR, Sommers de K. Effect of levamisole on the immune response of patients with schistosomiasis after treatment with praziquantel. *Clinical Drug Investigation* 1998;**15**(6):483–9.

Squires 2000 {published data only}

Squires N. Interventions for treating schistosomiasis haematobium. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 2000, Issue 2. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD000053]

Stephenson 1985 {published data only}

Stephenson LS, Latham MC, Kurz MK, Miller D, Kinoti SN, Oduori ML. Urinary iron loss and physical fitness of Kenyan children with urinary schistosomiasis. *Americal Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1985;**34**(2): 322–30.

Taylor 2001 {published data only}

Taylor M, Jinabhai CC, Couper I, Kleinschmidt I, Jogessar VB. The effect of different anthelmintic treatment regimens combined with iron supplementation on the nutritional status of schoolchildren in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa: a randomized controlled trial. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2001;**95**(2):211–6.

Teesdale 1980 {published data only}

Teesdale CH, Chitsulo L, Mkandawire AC. Concurrent dosage with niridazole and metrifonate for the treatment of Bilharziasis in school children in Malawi. *Central African Journal of Medicine* 1980;**26**(9):202–5.

Thigpen 2011 {published data only}

Thigpen MC, Filler SJ, Kazembe PN, Parise ME, Macheso A, Campbell CH, et al.Associations between peripheral Plasmodium falciparum malaria parasitemia, human immunodeficiency virus, and concurrent helminthic infection among pregnant women in Malawi. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 2011;**84**(3): 379–85.

Urbani 1997 {published data only}

Urbani C, Touré A, Hamed AO, Albonico M, Kane I, Cheikna D, et al.Intestinal parasitic infections and schistosomiasis in the valley of the Senegal river in the Islamic Republic of Mauritania [Parasitoses intestinales et schistosomiases dans la vallee du fleuve Senegal en Republique Islamique de Mauritanie]. *Médicine Tropicale* 1997;**57**(2):157–60.

Utzinger 2001 {published data only}

Utzinger J, Xiao S, N'Goran EK, Bergquist R, Tanner M. The potential of artemether for the control of schistosomiasis. *International Journal for Parasitology* 2001; **31**(14):1549–62.

van Lieshout 1994 {published data only}

van Lieshout L, de Jonge N, el-Masry N, Mansour MM, Bassily S, Krijger FW, et al.Monitoring the efficacy

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

of different doses of praziquantel by quantification of circulating antigens in serum and urine of schistosomiasis patients. *Parasitology* 1994;**108**(Pt 5):519–26.

Wilkins 1987 Simoto trial {published data only}

Wilkins HA, Moore PJ. Comparative trials of regimens for the treatment of urinary schistosomiasis in The Gambia. *Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 1987;**90**(2):83–92.

Wolfe 1967 {published data only}

Wolfe HL. Treatment of urinary schistosomiasis with niridazole (Ambilhar) in 576 African schoolchildren. *Lancet* 1967;**1**(7486):350–4.

Xiao 2002 {published data only}

Xiao S, Tanner M, N'Goran EK, Utzinger J, Chollet J, Bergquist R, et al.Recent investigations of artemether, a novel agent for the prevention of schistosomiasis japonica, mansoni and haematobia. *Acta Tropica* 2002;**82**(2):175–81.

Zwingenberger 1990 {published data only}

Zwingenberger K, Feldmeier H, Bienzle U, Steiner A. Mixed Schistosoma haematobium/Schistosoma intercalatum infection. *Annals of Tropical Medicine and Parasitology* 1990; **84**(1):85–7.

Additional references

Cioli 2003

Cioli D, Pica-Mattoccia L. Praziquantel. *Parasitology Research* 2003;**90**(Suppl 1):S3–9.

Cook 2003

Manson P, Cook GC, Zumla A. *Manson's Tropical diseases*. 21st Edition. Philadelphia: Saunders, 2003.

Doenhoff 2008

Doenhoff MJ, Cioli D, Utzinger J. Praziquantel: mechanisms of action, resistance and new derivates for schistosomiasis. *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases* 2008; **21**(6):659–67.

Feldmeier 1999

Feldmeier H, Chitsulo L. Therapeutic and operational profiles of metrifonate and praziquantel in Schistosoma haematobium infection. *Arzneimittelforschung* 1999;**49**(7): 557–65.

Fenwick 2006

Fenwick A, Webster JP. Schistosomiasis: challenges for control, treatment and drug resistance. *Current Opinion in Infectious Diseases* 2006;**19**(6):577–82.

Gray 2011

Gray DJ, Ross AG, Li YS, McManus DP. Diagnosis and management of schistosomiasis. *British Medical Journal* 2011;**342**:d2651.

Gryseels 2006

Gryseels B, Polman K, Clerinx J, Kestens L. Human schistosomiasis. *Lancet* 2006;**368**(9541):1106–18.

Higgins 2008

Higgins JP, Altman DG. Chapter 8: Assessing risk of bias in included studies. In: Higgins JP, Green S editor(s). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons Ltd, 2008:187–242.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

King 2005

King C, Dickman K, Tisch D. Reassessment of the cost of chronic helminthic infection: a meta-analysis of disability-related outcomes in endemic schistosomiasis. *Lancet* 2005; **365**(9470):1561–9.

King 2011

King CH, Olbyrch S, Soon M, Singer ME, Carter J, Colley DG. Utility of repeated praziquantel dosing in the treatment of schistosomiasis in high-risk communities in Africa: a systematic review. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* 2011;**5** (9):e1321.

Lefebvre 2008

Lefebvre C, Manheimer E, Glanville J. Chapter 6: Searching for studies. In: Higgins JPT Green S editor(s). *Cochrane Handbook for Systematic Reviews of Interventions*. Chichester: John Wiley & Sons, Ltd., 2008:95–147.

Liu 2011

Liu R, Dong HF, Guo Y, Zhao QP, Jiang MS. Efficacy of praziquantel and artemisinin derivatives for the treatment and prevention of human schistosomiasis: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Parasites and Vectors* 2011;4:201.

Pérez del Villar 2012

Pérez del Villar L, Burguillo FJ, López-Abán J, Muro A. Systematic review and meta-analysis of artemisinin based therapies for the treatment and prevention of schistosomiasis. *PLoS One* 2012;7(9):e45867.

Renganathan 1998

Renganathan E, Cioli D. An international initiative on praziquantel use. *Parasitology Today* 1998;14(10):390-1.

Review Manager (RevMan)

The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration. Review Manager (RevMan). 5.2. Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2012.

Ross 2002

Ross AG, Bartley PB, Sleigh AC, Olds GR, Li Y, Williams GM, et al.Schistosomiasis. *New England Journal of Medicine* 2002;**346**(16):1212–20.

Stothard 2013

Stothard RJ, Sousa-Figueiredo JC, Betson M, Bustinduy A, Reinhard-Rupp J. Schistosomaisis in African infants and preschool children: let them now be treated!. *Trends in Parasitology* 2013;**29**(4):197–205.

Utzinger 2004

Utzinger J, Keiser J. Schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis: common drugs for treatment and control. *Expert Opinion on Pharmacotherapy* 2004;**5**(2):263–85.

WHO 1985

World Health Organization. The control of schistosomiasis. Report of a WHO Expert Committee 1985; Vol. WHO Technical Report Series 728:1–114.

WHO 1991

World Health Organization. Basic laboratory methods in medical parasitology 1) Parasitology - laboratory manuals. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/9241544104⁻⁻ (part1).pdf 1991:1–111.

WHO 1998

World Health Organization. The use of essential drugs. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/42135/1/ WHO[•]TRS[•]882.pdf 1998; Vol. Eighth report of the WHO Expert Committee:1–77.

WHO 2002

World Health Organization. Prevention and control of schistosomiasis and soil-transmitted helminthiasis. Report of a WHO Expert Committee. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/trs/WHO TRS 912.pdf 2002:1–57.

WHO 2006

World Health Organization. Preventive chemotherapy in human helminthiasis. Coordinated use of antihelminthic drugs in control interventions: a manual for health professionals and programme managers. http://whqlibdoc.who.int/publications/2006/ 9241547103⁻eng.pdf 2006:1–62.

WHO 2013

World Health Organization. Schistosomiasis Fact sheet no.

115. http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs115/en/ index.html (accessed 25 September 2013).

WHO 2014

World Health Organization. Schistosomiasis. A major public health problem. http://www.who.int/ schistosomiasis/en/index.html (accessed 12 February 2014).

References to other published versions of this review

Danso-Appiah 2008

Danso-Appiah A, Utzinger J, Liu J, Olliaro P. Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis. *Cochrane Database* of *Systematic Reviews* 2008, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/ 14651858.CD000053]

Squires N

Squires N. Interventions for treating Schistosomiasis haemtobium. *Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews* 1997, Issue 3. [DOI: 10.1002/14651858.]

* Indicates the major publication for the study

CHARACTERISTICS OF STUDIES

Characteristics of included studies [ordered by study ID]

Abden Abdi 1989 SOM

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: egg excretion in a single, mid-day urine sample, mixing an aliquot of 10 mL urine, filtration (nucleopore) Follow-up at 1, 2, 3 and 6 months
Participants	Children aged 11 to 12 years on average Number randomized 300 Number analysed for primary outcome at one month 201, at six months 139 Inclusion criteria: excreting 20 or more <i>S. haematobium</i> eggs per 10 mL urine Exclusion criteria: concomitant disease
Interventions	 Metrifonate 3 x 7.5 mg/kg dose interval two weeks Metrifonate 3 x 5 mg/kg within one day Placebo
Outcomes	Cure rate Percentage egg reduction Adverse events
Notes	Location: Somalia, southern part Setting: rural, five villages Endemicity: high Dates: not stated Source of funding: SAREC (Swedish agency for research cooperation with developing countries) Authors' conclusion: Both metrifonate regimens have similar efficacy

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomized, randomly assigned, table of random numbers.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	All doses were kept in coded envelopes.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Double blind, placebo controlled "and the distributor of the drug and the participants were all blind to the type of treatment."
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Blinding of the lab technician.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Abden Abdi 1989 SOM (Continued)

Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	High loss to follow-up, 33% at one month, 53% at six months, balanced between treatment arms
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other bias.
Al Aska 1990 SAU		
Methods	RCT Diagnostics: ova excretion in 10 mL midday urine after sedimentation Follow-up: three and six months	
Participants	Adult patients referred to hospital, age not stated. Saudi and Jemeni Number randomized: not reported Number analysed: 100 Inclusion criteria: <i>S. haematobium</i> infection Exclusion criteria: none stated Co-infection with <i>S. mansoni</i>	
Interventions	 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Metrifonate 10 mg/kg three doses in intervals of two weeks 	
Outcomes	Cure rates Failure rates	
Notes	Location: Saudi Arabia Setting: King Abdul Aziz University hospital, Riyadh. Patient referral Endemicity: not reported Dates: not stated Funding: not stated Authors' conclusion: Metrifonate and praziquantel in the stated dosage are effective against <i>S. haematobium</i> , side effectives are minor and transient	

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	"allocated randomly".
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned, no placebo mentioned.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Al Aska 1990 SAU (Continued)

Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Loss to follow-up not reported.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	Few baseline characteristics reported.

Basra 2012 GAB

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: Ova excretion, microscopy in 10 mL urine after filtration, AMEC Follow-up: six weeks
Participants	Pregnant women attending ANC clinics, aged 19 to 25 years Number randomized 65 Number analysed 44 Inclusion criteria: <i>S. haematobium</i> infection, pregnancy Exclusion criteria: intake of antihelminthic and antimalarial drug within the previous two months, HIV pos
Interventions	1. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose 2. Metrifonate 10 mg/kg two doses, dose interval two weeks
Outcomes	Cure rates Failure rates Egg counts at baseline, four and six weeks
Notes	Location: Gabon Setting: two ANC health care centres Endemicity: highly endemic for <i>S. haematobium</i> and malaria Dates: Sept 2009 to Dec 2011 Funding: European and Developing Countries Clinical Trial Partnership (EDCCTP), Malaria in Prengnancy consortium, Karl Landsteiner Gesellschaft Authors' conclusion: Mefloquine IPTp is effective against <i>S. haematobium</i> in pregnant women.

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	The randomizations list was computer- generated and provided by the independent MIPPAD trial management team

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Basra 2012 GAB (Continued)

Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Trial assignment was concealed via sealed opaque envelopes which were opened only after enrolment of a patient by a trial inves- tigator
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Open-label.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Blinding of outcome assessors.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	High loss to follow-up, unbalanced (in the intervention group $18/48 = 37.5\%$, in the control group $3/48 = 6.25\%$) reasons partly stated
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective outcome report- ing.
Other bias	Low risk	No risk of other bias.

Befidi Mengue 1992 CMR

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: urine sample preserved with 5 mg sodium azide, sedimentation for one hour, examination of sediment, egg count Follow-up: six months (as only time point)
Participants	Male primary school students, aged six to 15 years Number randomized 653, 436 in groups of interest for this review Exclusion: heavy <i>S. haematobium</i> infections (> 499 eggs/10 mL) Inclusion: positive for <i>S. haematobium</i>
Interventions	1. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose 2. Placebo
Outcomes	Geometric mean egg counts Weight Height Height for age Weight for age Weight for height MUAC Triceps skinfold thickness Mean muscle mass Hb (reported in a separate publication Befidi Mengue 1993, see reference Befidi Mengue

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Befidi Mengue 1992 CMR (Continued)

	1992 CMR) with slightly higher numbers of participants: 771 randomized, 518 in treatment groups of interest of this review)
Notes	Location: Cameron, Eastern Province, Bertuoa Setting: urban (capital city of Eastern province), primary school Endemicity: polyparasitism is common Dates: not reported Funding: USAID Cameroon health constraints to rural production project 1608 - 1408 Authors' conclusion: only demonstrable effect of a single praziquantel treatment on MUAC

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Randomly assigned, method not stated.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	The placebo tablets were physically identi- cal to the praziquantel tablets
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not stated.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Loss to follow-up unclear, as numbers fol- lowed up not reported
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence for selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence for other bias.

Borrmann 2001 GAB

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: two urine samples. filtration of 10 mL of urine through polycarbonate filters (Millipore), staining with Trypan blue Follow-up at day 56 (as only time point)
Participants	School children aged six to 15 years Participants randomized: 300 Inclusion: <i>S. haematobium</i> positive, asymptomatic <i>S. haematobium</i> infection Exclusion: symptomatic schistosomiasis, recent schistosomiasis treatment, serious un- derlying disease, pregnancy or lactation, anaemia (Hb < 7 G/dL)

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Borrmann 2001 GAB (Continued)

Interventions	 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Artesunate 4 mg/kg once daily for three days Artesunate 4 mg/kg once daily for three days and praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Placebo
Outcomes	Cure rates Failure rates Egg reduction rates Microhaematuria (Adverse events day seven)
Notes	Location: Gabon, province Moyen Ogone Setting: rural villages Endemicity: high (prevalence 80% in school children) Dates: Oct. 2000 to Feb 2001 Funding: tablet donation Sanofi (Artesunate), Medochemie (Praziquantel) Authors' conclusions: Efficacy of artesunate for <i>S. haematobium</i> treatment as single med- ication or in combination is low.

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	The randomization code was generated by computer.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	The trial drugs were prepared in plastic bags, which were labelled sequentially with treatment numbers according to the ran- domization code
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Double blind. Praziquantel placebo and artesunate placebo were identical in appearance to the respective active substance tablets
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Low loss to follow-up (7.6%).
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Haemoglobin measurements, proteinuria and leucocyturia at day 56 not reported
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence for other bias.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Davis 1981 ZMB

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: three successive daily schistosome egg counts made on a random 10 mL urine sub sample of the total bladder content by a filtration staining technique; quantitative hatching technique (enumeration of miracidia, recently dead eggs and black eggs) Follow-up: three consecutive daily urine samples, quantitative hatching test Follow-up: at 1, 3, 7, 12 and 24 months
Participants	School children aged seven to 17 years Number followed up after one month 151, number randomized not reported Inclusion: <i>S. haematobium</i> positive Exclusion: pregnant or lactating women, no serious acute coexistent diseases or compli- cations, no other treatment during the past six months, older than six years
Interventions	 Praziquantel 30 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 20 mg/kg 2 x daily
Outcomes	Cure rate Failure rate
Notes	Location: Zambia, Ndola Setting: eight rural schools Dates: not reported Endemicity: high Funding: Parasitic Disease Programme for Research and Training in Tropical diseases Authors' conclusion: treatment groups clinically and statistically comparable

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomly assigned, random number table.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Single blind technique.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Low loss to follow-up (3.7% to 6%) at 1, 3 and 7 months.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting (some investigations at baseline not reported)

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Davis 1981 ZMB (Continued)

Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other bias.
de Jonge 1990 SDN		
Methods	RCT Diagnostics: urine collection after 250 mL soda drink at midday. Trypan blue staining technique (if the egg concentration was less than 10 eggs per 10 mL urine, the whole volume (up to 350 mL) was filtered) Follow-up one and five months	
Participants	Male primary school children aged six to 11 years Patients randomized 160, participants randomized into treatment groups of interest for this review: 107 Inclusion: co-infection with <i>S. haematobium</i> and <i>S. mansoni</i> Exclusion: not reported	
Interventions	 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Metrifonate 2 x 10 mg/kg, dose interval 14 weeks Oxaminique 60 mg/kg single dose Multivitamin single dose 	
Outcomes	Failure Egg count	
Notes	Location: Sudan Gezira Setting: rural, village primary schools Funding: Science and Technology for Development, EC, WHO, UNDP, World bank, Special Programme for Training & Research. Gesellschaft für technische Zusammenar- beit Dates: not reported Endemicity: high for both <i>S. mansoni</i> and <i>S. haematobium</i> Authors' conclusion: discussion of correlation of parasitological outcomes and CAA titres	

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	"randomly divided".
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Multivitamin as placebo, but blinding not mentioned.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

de Jonge 1990 SDN (Continued)

Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up high, at one months up to 23%, at five months up to 28%
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence for selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence for other bias.

Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: collection of two urine samples at midday (12.00 to 14.00) after exercise on two consecutive days, agitation of urine sample, preservation of eggs, staining (1% aqueous solution, carbol fuchsin), filtration, egg counts Follow-up at eight weeks (as only time point)
Participants	School children aged four to 20 years (nursery school, primary and junior secondary schools, students) Number randomized 260 children into five groups Inclusion: healthy, able to swallow the medication Exclusion: serious underlying disease, recent treatment for schistosomiasis, > 20 yrs, < 4 yrs old
Interventions	 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose and placebo Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose only Artesunate 4 mg/kg 1 x daily for three days and placebo Artesunate 4 mg/kg 1 x daily for three days only Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose and artesunate 4 mg/kg 1 x daily for three days Placebo and placebo
Outcomes	Cure Egg counts and egg reduction rate Haematuria Proteinuria
Notes	Location: Nigeria, Adim community, Cross RIver State Setting: school students Dates: August 2005 to June 2006 Endemicity: seasonal transmission Funding: partly funded by the management of the University of Calabar Authors' conclusion: both praziquantel and artesunate in the stated doses are safe, well- tolerated and effective in the trial area. Combined treatment is more effective and single treatment with any of the drugs

Risk of bias

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA (Continued)

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	"randomised".
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Placebo not identical in appearance. Blinding not mentioned.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Loss to follow-up of 15.4% and 19.2% at day 56.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other bias.

Jewsbury 1976 ZWE

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: three urine samples on three consecutive days, determination of egg counts and cure rates Follow-up at week 11 and week 36
Participants	Children, aged three to 15 years (and older) Number of children randomized: 179 Number of children analysed 114 (complete case analysis) Inclusion: <i>S. haematobium</i> positive Exclusion: not reported
Interventions	 Metrifonate 7.5 mg x 3, dose interval two weeks Control: no intervention
Outcomes	Cure rate Failure rate Median urine egg counts
Notes	Location: Zimbabwe near Salibury Setting: rural, four farms Dates: not reported Endemicity: high (pre-infection rate with <i>S. haematobium</i> 80%) Funding: Drug donation by Bayer Authors' conclusion: Metrifonate is safe and effective for the treatment of <i>S. haematobium</i>

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Jewsbury 1976 ZWE (Continued)

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	"randomised".
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Participant numbers not reported at week 11, high loss to follow-up of 46% at week 36
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	Data of week 11 not reported.
Other bias	High risk	Baseline imbalance; for the infected, un- treated control group, an infection rate of 89.4% is given at baseline

Kardaman 1985 SDN

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: centrifugation, sediment taken for egg counts Follow-up at five weeks and three months
Participants	School children aged seven to 11 years Number of children included: 237 Inclusion: co-infection <i>S. haematobium</i> and <i>S. mansoni</i> Exclusion: receiving medication for any other infection, treatment for schistosomiasis during the preceding 6 months
Interventions	1. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose 2. Praziquantel 2 x 20 mg/kg in one day, dose interval four to six hours
Outcomes	Cure Failure Adverse events

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Kardaman 1985 SDN (Continued)

Notes	Location: Sudan, Galaga Village
	Setting: rural, primary schools
	Dates: not reported
	Endemicity: high (mixed infections common)
	Funding: Parasitic disease programme, WHO
	Authors' conclusion: Results of two regimens not significantly different. Treatment for
	this setting has to be repeated every six months

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Randomly assigned.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Loss to follow-up at five weeks up to 4.7%, at three months up to 8.4%
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence for selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence for other bias.

Keiser 2010 CIV

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: collection of two urine specimen at midday (10.00 to 14.00), samples were rigorously shaken, filtration of 10 mL through a 13 mL filter with 25 µm diameter Follow-up at 26 days
Participants	School children aged eight to 12 years Participants randomized 83 Inclusion: confirmed <i>S. haematobium</i> infection Exclusion: not reported
Interventions	 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Mefloquine 25 mg/kg single dose Artesunate 4 mg/kg 1 x daily for three days Artesunate 3 x 100 mg and mefloquine 250 mg

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Keiser 2010 CIV (Continued)

Outcomes	Cure rates Failure rate Egg count Egg reduction rate Adverse effects
Notes	Location: Cote d' Ivoire, district Agboville Setting: rural, school children Dates: November to December 2009 Funding: support Dafra Pharma, Mepha for drug donations Endemicity: highly endemic, 40% among school children Authors' conclusion: High cure rates with praziquantel, promising results for mefloquine - artesunate (in the standard dose for malaria)

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	"using a computer generated randomisa- tion code". Seven children were added to one treatment group in a non-randomized manner
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	High risk	Not implemented (email correspondence with author).
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Open label.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	No loss to follow-up during the trial (day 26).
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	Urinary findings day 26 not reported (not available, email correspondence with au- thor)
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other sources of bias.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

King 1989 KEN

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: collection of midday urine sample (10.00 to 13.00), urine filtration tech- nique with nucleopore filters, egg count Follow-up at two to three months
Participants	Primary school students aged five to 17 years and adult participants over 20 years Number of patients randomized 280 (34 adults, 246 children) Inclusion: egg count > 50 eggs/10 mL urine Exclusion: not reported
Interventions	 Praziquantel 10 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 20 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 30 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose
Outcomes	Cure Egg counts Severity of infection Proteinuria Haematuria
Notes	Location: Kenya, Kwale district Setting: rural, primary schools Dates: not reported Endemicity: high Funding: Edna McConnell Clark Foundation Authors' conclusion: low dose (20 mg/kg) is as effective as standard dose (40 mg/kg) of praziquantel (reductions in parasite burden and morbidity) for population based control programmes

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Random allocation, pre-randomized cards.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Clinicians not blinded to the intervention.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Outcome assessors and laboratory staff blinded to the intervention
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Loss to follow-up at two to three months 9% to 14%, balanced between groups

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

King 1989 KEN (Continued)

Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence for other sources of bias.

King 1990 KEN

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: sample collection of midday urine (10.00 to 13.00), nucleopore filtration, egg counts Follow-up at one, two and three years
Participants	Primary school children aged four to 21 years Number randomized 1813 Inclusion: <i>S. haematobium</i> positive Exclusion: not reported
Interventions	1. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose once a year 2. Metrifonate 10 mg/kg single dose three times a year, dose interval four months
Outcomes	Haematuria Proteinuria Ultrasound (hydronephrosis, bladder thickening, bladder deformity)
Notes	Location: Kenya, Coast Province, Kwale Province, Msambweni Area Setting: rural, primary schools, nine villages Dates: 1984 Endemicity: high (prevalence in school children 60% to 85%) Funding: Edna McConnell Clark Foundation, WHO, Rockefeller Foundation Authors' conclusion: Both regimens had significant effects on the prevalence of hema- turia, proteinuria, and bladder abnormalities. no significant differences between the two drugs. No effect on hydronephrosis at twelve months

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Random allocation with pre-randomized cards.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	High risk	"Treatment allocation was not concealed to the investigators" (email correspondence with author)
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Blinding of participants (different taste and appearance of commercially purchased drugs) email response) no blinding of clinicians

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

King 1990 KEN (Continued)

Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Evaluators were effectively blinded to the treatment status of the children they were testing (email correspondence with author)
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Unclear.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other bias.
King 2002 KEN		
Methods	RCT Diagnostics: Collection of two mid-day (10:00 to 14:00) on different days, filtration, Nucleopore) Intensity of infection assigned according to the highest one day egg count in the repeated daily testing Follow-up at six weeks and nine months	
Participants	School children and adults, aged four to 23 years Number of participants randomized 291 Inclusion: <i>S. haematobium</i> positive Exclusion: not reported	
Interventions	1. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose 2. Praziquantel 20 mg/kg single dose	
Outcomes	Cure Egg count Ultrasound findings (Hydronephrosis, bladder thickening and bladder irregularity)	
Notes	Location: Kenya, Coastal Province, Kwale District Setting: rural, village schools Dates: 1992 to 1993 Endemicity: high Funding: WHO, TDR, Rockefeller Foundation Joint Funding Venture and National Institutes of Health Authors' conclusion: Praziquantel 20 mg and praziquantel 40 mg are equally effective in reducing structural urinary tract morbidity over nine months. A praziquantel dose of 20 mg/kg may be sufficient for practical control of renal and bladder morbidity due to <i>S. haematobium</i> in certain settings: not reported (trial might be underpowered for ultrasound findings).	
Risk of bias		
Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

King 2002 KEN (Continued)

Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	"Infected students were then individually randomised to therapyby computer ran- dom number generation."
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	High risk	Allocation was not concealed.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	No blinding of personnel: "Dosing assign- ment lists were transmitted to clinical staff responsible for treatment"
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Blinding of outcome assessors (clinicians, parasitologists). "Assignments were masked form staff par- asitologists and physicians responsible for follow-up until the end of the study."
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up 31% at six weeks.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective outcome report- ing.
Other bias	Low risk	Important baseline characteristics (egg counts) not reported at baseline

McMahon 1979 TZA

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: Collection of three midday (10.00 to 13.00) urine samples on three con- secutive days, sedimentation in a conical flask for 30 mins, taking of a 10 mL sample of the bottom of the flask, centrifugation and processing of the deposit 5 mL boiled, cooled water added to deposit, miracidia hatching test, fixing and staining of miracidia (alcohol and eosin), microscopy and count Follow-up at one, three and six months.
Participants	School children aged seven to 15 years No. of children randomized: 138 Inclusion: <i>S. haematobium</i> positive Exclusion: not reported
Interventions	 Praziquantel 30 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 2 x 20 mg in one day, dose interval four hours Placebo
Outcomes	Cure Egg counts Adverse effects

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

McMahon 1979 TZA (Continued)

Notes	Location: Tanzania, Tanga region
	Setting: school, rural area
	Endemicity: high, transmission may vary greatly form year to year and season to season
	Dates: not reported
	Funding: MRC/WHO/Tanzania Helminthiasis Research Unit, Tanga
	Authors' conclusion: Praziquantel in the given doses is not toxic. Praziquantel 40 mg did
	not affect the therapeutic response in children with large egg loads
	As cure rates are influenced by pre-treatment egg loads, trials of higher doses in patients
	with high egg loads needed

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomly sub-divided into four groups ac- cording to previously arranged blocks
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Loss to follow-up 10% to 15% at 1, 3 and 6 months.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	Baseline characteristics not reported.

McMahon 1983 TZA

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: collection of two midday (10.00 to 14.00) samples on two consecutive days for initial diagnosis, of three samples for follow-up), quantitative hatching technique, sedimentation of 10 mL urine Follow-up at two and four months
Participants	School children and adults Number of participants randomized: 90 Inclusion: 250 miracidia/10 mL urine Exclusion: not reported

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

McMahon 1983 TZA (Continued)

Interventions	 Praziquantel 30 mg/kg single dose Metrifonate 10 mg/kg 1 x daily, dose interval 14 days Niridazole 25 mg/kg 1 x daily for six days, dose interval one day
Outcomes	Cure rates Egg reduction rates Adverse effects
Notes	Location: Tanzania, Tanga region Setting: not stated Endemicity: high Dates: not reported Funding: MRC/WHO/Tazania Helminthiasis Research unit, Tanga, Biltricide (Praz- iqantel) was supplied by Bayer Authors conclusion: Praziquantel was more effective than metrifonate and niridazole. Side effects were minor

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Randomly allocated.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	High risk	Not mentioned; use of different regimens, no use of placebo.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up partly high, not balanced (at four months 0% in the praziquantel group, 26% in the metrifonate and 30% in the niridazole group
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Unclear risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	Few baseline characteristics reported.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Mott 1985 GHA

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: collection or one urine sample, two random samples out of this urine sample were processed. quantitative urine filtration technique Follow-up at three and six months
Participants	Residents "entire population of five settlements", aged six years or older Number of people randomized 266 Inclusion: <i>S. haematobium</i> infected Exclusion: pregnancy, alcoholism, severe debilitating disease
Interventions	1. Praziquantel 30 mg/kg single dose 2. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose
Outcomes	Cure rate Egg count, egg reduction rate (Urinary results not reported by treatment group)
Notes	Location: Ghana, Lake Volta Setting: rural, five settlements Dates: not reported Endemicity: not reported Funding: Parasitic Diseases Programme WHO/UNDP/Wold bank/ WHO Special Pro- gramme for Research and Training in Tropical diseases Authors' conclusions: Similar efficacy of Praziquantel 30 mg and 40 mg in this trial. Praziquantel reduces clinical signs (macrohaematuria) and morbidity in urinary schisto- somiasis

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Randomly assigned.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Loss to follow-up at six months 11.6%.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Mott 1985 GHA (Continued)

Other bias	Low risk	Baseline characteristics not reported per group.
Olds 1999 KEN		
Methods	RCT Diagnostics: Eggs from 2 x 10 mL samples were filtered on membranes (Nucleopore) Follow at 45 days, 90 days, six months and one year	
Participants	School children aged four to 18 years Number of participants pos for <i>S. haematob</i> Inclusion: <i>S. haematobium</i> positive Exclusion: pregnancy or marriage, failure to therapy, known allergy to praziquantel or months	<i>bium</i> : 380 o submit two stool specimens prior to initial albendazole, treatment within the past six
Interventions	 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose and albendazole 400 mg single dose Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose and placebo Albendazole 400 mg single dose and placebo Placebo and placebo 	
Outcomes	Cure Egg count Ultrasound Weight, height, skinfold thickness, MUAC Hb Adverse effects	
Notes	Location: Kenya, Kwale District, Coast pro for different <i>Schistosoma</i> species, conducted Setting: rural Endemicity: endemic ascariasis, hookworm Dates: not reported Funding: WHO/TDR Tropical disease rese Authors' conclusion: Combined mass treatr iquantel produced not more side effects tha Combined mass treatment should have an i worm prevalence and intensity and improve	vince for <i>S. haematobium</i> (multi centre trial in different countries) , trichuris, <i>S. haematobium</i> earch nent of children with albendazole and praz- n treatment with praziquantel alone mportant impact on schistosoma and hook- es Hb levels

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomized in one of four treatment groups, block design with block size of 80

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Olds 1999 KEN (Continued)

Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomization lists were prepared by WHO/TDR using a randomized block de- sign
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Double blind, placebo controlled; physi- cally identical placebo
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Loss to follow-up 10% at six months, loss to follow-up 17% at one year (for all groups)
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	High risk	Hb values, proteinuria, hematuria, ultra- sound findings not reported
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence for other bias.

Omer 1981 SDN

Methods	RCT Diagnosis: sedimentation concentration technique, miracidial hatching Follow-up at seven days, one month, three to four months, six months
Participants	Patients presenting to the Hospital of Tropical diseases, Karthoum, aged eight to 16 years Number of patients randomized: 152 Inclusion: mixed <i>S. haematobium</i> and <i>S. mansoni</i> infections Exclusion: under eight years of age, advanced stage of disease, severe anaemia, poor general health
Interventions	 Praziquantel 30 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 2 x 20 mg/kg within one day
Outcomes	Cure rates Egg counts Adverse events Laboratory parameters at day 0 or 1 and at day 1 or 2, not of interest for this review
Notes	Location: Sudan, Karthoum Setting: Hospital of Tropical Diseases, Karthoum Endemicity: not reported Dates: 1978 to 1979 Funding: not reported Authors' conclusion: Praziquantel is easily applicable, safe and effective in the treatment of mixed (<i>S. haematobium</i> and <i>S. mansoni</i>) infections

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Omer 1981 SDN (Continued)

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Randomized.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Single blind.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Loss to follow-up at six months 17% to 22%, balanced.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other bias.

Oyediran 1981 NGA

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: collection of a midday urine sample (12.00 to 2.00), taking a 10 mL sub sample, filtration of the urine, staining with Ninhydrin, counting of the eggs retained on the filter paper Follow-up at one, three and six months
Participants	Primary school children aged nine to 16 years Participants randomized: 90 Inclusion criteria: mean egg count 80 eggs/10 mL, viable eggs, aged over six years Exclusion criteria: under six years, concurrent acute or serious illness, antischistosomal treatment within the past six months
Interventions	Praziquantel 30 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 2 x 20 mg/kg, dose interval three to four hours Placebo
Outcomes	Egg counts
Notes	Nigeria, Oyo State Setting: Primary Schools Dates: not reported

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Oyediran 1981 NGA (Continued)

Funding: not reported Authors' conclusion: No significant difference in efficacy between the three dosage regimens, trials on the effects of lower doses required

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Table of random numbers.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Placebo single dose The treatment group received a split dose of praziquantel, blinding not mentioned
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	High loss to follow-up, not balanced (at one month 4 to 17%, at three months 17 to 23%, at six month 26 to38%, at twelve months 76% to 87%)
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other bias.

Pugh 1983 MWI

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: collection of two midday urine samples on two consecutive days filtration, staining and egg count Follow-up at one, three and six months. Further follow-up reported at nine, 12, 15 and 24 months in a separate publication (Pugh 1983 MWI)
Participants	School children aged five to 18 years Number of participants randomized: 499 Inclusion: mean egg count (<i>S. haematobium</i>) > 19/10 mL Exclusion: malaise, febrile illness, treatment with schistosomacidal drugs in the past six months
Interventions	 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Niridazole 25 mg/kg single dose and metrifonate 10 mg/kg single dose Metrifonate 10 mg/kg single dose Niridazole 25 mg/kg single dose

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Pugh 1983 MWI (Continued)

	5. Placebo
Outcomes	Cure Geometric mean egg counts Egg reduction rates
Notes	Location: Malawi, Pirimiti Area, Phalombe plain Setting: rural Endemicity: seasonal Funding: Overseas Development Administration, U.K. MoH Malawi. Praziquatel sup- plied by Bayer Authors' conclusion: Praziquantel is superior to the other drugs studied in this trial, it is the most efficient and convenient drug available. Maintained low egg output at 24 months was presumably influenced by low levels of transmission during the second year of the trial, which was very dry

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Use of a randomized x-y list.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	"An independent worker had sole and con- fidential access to a randomised x-y list."
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Described as double blind.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Loss to follow-up low at one months: 0% to 4.1%, at three months 8% to 11% in treatment groups, up to 23% in the placebo group; at six months 20% in the treatment group. Loss to follow-up high at 24 months, about 40% to 70 %
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	High risk	Baseline imbalance in terms of intensity of infection. "In accordance to with local ethical guide- lines the placebo group consisted only of children with light (20-124 ova/10mL or moderate (125 to 4999 ova/10 mL) infec-

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

tions before treatment. Important baseline characteristics not reported (age, weight)

Rey 1983 NER

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: collection of two urine samples, filtration (Swinex 13 Filter Millipore, 13 mm diameter), fixation and staining (Lugol), egg counts Length of follow-up: one, three and six months
Participants	Participants: recruits aged 18 to 20 years and college students aged 15 to 19 years Number of participants randomized: 207 (co-infection with <i>S. mansoni</i> likely, but not investigated) Inclusion: <i>S. haematobium</i> positive Exclusion: not reported
Interventions	 Praziquantel 30 mg/kg daily dose Praziquantel 40 mg/kg daily dose Oltipraz 17.5 mg/kg 2 x daily in one day
Outcomes	Failure Egg reduction rates
Notes	Location: Niger Setting: not reported Endemicity: not reported Dates: not reported Funding: not reported Authors' conclusion: No significant difference found between praziquantel 30 mg/kg and praziquantel 40 mg/kg

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomized, tirage au sort.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned, no use of placebo.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Rey 1983 NER (Continued)

Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Loss to follow-up acceptable at one month (9% to 15%) and three months 9% to 11%, high at six months (39% to 47%)
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	Baseline characteristics not reported.
Rey 1984 NER		
Methods	RCT Diagnostics: urine filtration, normal filtration paper, egg counts (no further details given) Follow-up for children (aged five to 15 years) at 1, 5 and 6 months, for adults (> 15 years) at six months only	
Participants	Children older than five years and adults Participants treated and controlled: 268 randomized, 143 participants at one month, randomized Inclusion: not reported Exclusion: not reported	
Interventions	 Metrifonate 10 mg/kg single dose Metrifonate 10 mg/kg two doses with a dose interval of two weeks Metrifonate 10 mg/kg three doses with a dose interval of two weeks 	
Outcomes	Cure rate Egg reduction	
Notes	Location: Niger, near Niamey Setting: not reported Endemicity: high, the trial was conducted in the season of low transmission Dates: not reported Funding: not reported Authors' conclusions: Recommendation against the combined metrifonate niridazole treatment	

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	"au hasard ", random number table.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	No comment.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias)	Unclear risk	No comment.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Rey 1984 NER (Continued)

All outcomes		
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	No comment.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	Loss to follow-up high: at one month 50%, at four months 39%
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other bias, funding not stated

Sacko 2009 MLI

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: Collection of three urine samples between 10 am and 2 PM on three consecutive days. 10 mL of urine passed through a nucleopore filter, Swinnex filter support. Egg counts Follow-up at 3, 6 and 18 months
Participants	School children aged seven to 14 years Number of participants randomized: 603 Inclusion: not reported Exclusion: not reported
Interventions	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 40 mg/kg two doses, interval two weeks
Outcomes	Cure rate Egg reduction Haematuria
Notes	Location: Mali, Niger River Basin Setting: rural, primary schools Endemicity: not reported Dates: not reported Funding: not reported Authors' conclusion: Significantly reduced prevalence of microhematuria with prazi- quantel x 2, this could indicate reduction of morbidity

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	Randomized (SPSS generated random number tables).

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Sacko 2009 MLI (Continued)

Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Low risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Double blind, placebo-controlled. Placebo tablets were of the same form and colour as praziquantel
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Loss to follow-up unclear, as number ran- domized were not reported, only the num- bers at first follow-up at three months
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	Follow-up data at six and 18 months reported in graphs, not in numbers
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence for other bias.
Stenhenson 1985 KEN		
Methods	RCT Diagnostics: nucleopore filter method of Peters and others collection of a midday urine sample (complete bladder content, 11.00 to 12.00) after 200 mL of fruit drink, nucleopore filter method of Peters and others, staining with 0.5 trypan blue, egg counts in 10 mL of urine adjusted for the total volume of each urine specimen Follow-up for six months	
Participants	Primary school children aged six to 16 years Number of participants randomized: 400 Inclusion: light to moderate <i>S. haematobium</i> infections at exam 1 Exclusion: not reported	
Interventions	 Metrifonate 7.5 mg/kg three doses, dose interval one to two weeks Placebo: gelatin capsules 	
Outcomes	Parasitological failure and cure Egg counts Egg reduction rate Haemoglobin Anthropometric measures weight, height, weight for height, middle upper arm circum- ference, triceps and subscapular skinfold thickness Liver size Spleen size	

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Stephenson 1985 KEN (Continued)

Notes	Location: Kenya, Kwale District, Coast Province
	Setting: rural, four primary schools
	Endemicity: highly endemic
	Dates: not reported
	Funding: not reported
	Authors' conclusion: S. haematobium infections can precipitate or aggravate anaemia in
	vulnerable children (poor iron intake, high endemicity of other parasites). S. haemato-
	<i>bium</i> treatment improves Hb levels.
	S. haematobium treatment may improve child growth (in populations were hookworm
	infections and Protein Energy Malnutrition is common). S. haematobium treatment may
	be associated with regression of splenomegaly and hepatomegaly in children treated for
	S. haematobium infection. Population-based treatment is recommended.

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Allocated at random.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not described.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Use of placebo.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Examinations 1 and 2 were carried out in a blind fashion with the same team of workers
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Loss to follow-up unclear, as results were reported as proportions
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective outcome report- ing.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other sources of bias.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Stephenson 1989 KEN

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: collection of a midday urine sample (complete bladder content, 11.00 to 12. 00) after 200 mL of fruit drink, nucleotome filter method of Peters and others, staining with 0.5 trypan blue, egg counts in 10 mL of urine adjusted for the total volume of each urine specimen Follow-up at eight months (as only time point) Latham 1990, a sub-study nested within Stephenson 1989 KEN, followed up patients at five weeks (as only time point)
Participants	Primary school children, 98% Muslim of the Wadigo tribe, aged eight to 13 years Number of participants randomized: not reported Number of participants analysed: 312 Inclusion: light to moderate infections Exclusion: anaemia (Hb < 8 G/dL, severe infections) Latham 1990 included 48 boys aged seven to 15 years with no sign of puberty, high egg counts, Hb > 8 G/dL, cooperation for physical fitness test
Interventions	 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Metrifonate 10 mg/kg single dose Placebo As a nested study, Latham had the same study arms.
Outcomes	Parasitological failure Egg counts (geometric and arithmetic) Anthropometric measurements: weight, height, MUAC, triceps skinfold thickness, sub- scapular skinfold thickness, Haemoglobin Liver size Spleen size Latham 1990 (reference see Stephenson 1989 KEN) reports parasitological failure, egg reduction rate and anthropometric measures: weight, height, skinfold thickness, MUAC at five weeks at five weeks, and additionally reports on Physical fitness: Harvard Step test, Appetite (quantity of porridge consumed) Questionnaire of clinical symptoms
Notes	Location: Kenya, Kwale district, Coast Province Setting: rural, primary schools Endemicity: endemic for <i>S. haematobium</i> , hookworm and malaria Dates: March 1986 to April 1986 Funding: Edna McConnell Clark Foundation, grant 284-0120 Authors' conclusion: Both metrifonate and praziquantel are effective in reducing egg excretion and are both recommended for population based treatment. Praziquantel is more effective. <i>S. haematobium</i> treatment with a single dose of either metrifonate or praziquantel may improve child growth in areas were hookworms and malnutrition are common and appears to have a beneficial effect on hepatomegaly and splenomegaly Treatment of moderate to heavy <i>S. haematobium</i> infections with metrifonate or praz- iquantel in undernourished schoolboys can improve physical fitness, growth rates and appetite within approximately one month

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Stephenson 1989 KEN (Continued)

Recommendation for widespread population based chemotherapy in highly endemic areas as Kwale district

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Allocated at random.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Use of placebo.
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Examinations carried out in a blind fash- ion.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Loss to follow-up 10%, 3 participants not accounted for.
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence for other source of bias.

Taylor 1988 ZWE

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: urine sample collection; three midday urine samples (10.00 to 14.00), filtration (13 mm nytrl filter), staining with Lugol Follow-up at 1, 3 and 6 months
Participants	School children aged ten to 15 years, mixed infection with <i>S. haematobium</i> and <i>S. mansoni</i> Number of participants randomized: 373 Inclusion: mixed <i>S. haematobium</i> and <i>S. mansoni</i> infection Exclusion: not reported
Interventions	 Praziquantel 10 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 20 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 30 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Control: Nil
Outcomes	Parasitological cure Egg count

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Taylor 1988 ZWE (Continued)

Notes	Location: Zimbabwe
	Setting rural, primary school
	Endemicity: seasonal transmission
	Date: not reported
	Funding: Rockefeller Foundation (financial support)
	Authors' conclusion: Doses of 20 to 40 mg praziquantel may be equally effective in S.
	haematobium infection

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Randomly assigned.
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Low risk	Single blind manner "only the principal in- vestigator knew which children had been assigned to which treatment group."
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Loss to follow-up unclear, as only means and percentages of cure are reported
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence for other source of bias.

Tchuente 2004 CMR

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: collection of two urine samples on two consecutive days in 50 mL plastic screw cap vials, processing in field laboratory, agitation of urine (from dispersal of eggs) filtration of 10 mL (Nucleopore filter), egg counts Length of follow-up 3, 6 and 9 weeks
Participants	School children, age not reported Number of participants randomized: 592 Inclusion: <i>S. haematobium</i> positive Exclusion: not reported
Interventions	 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 40 mg/kg two single doses, dose interval three weeks Praziquantel 40 mg/kg three single doses, dose interval three weeks

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)
Tchuente 2004 CMR (Continued)

Outcomes	Cure rates Egg counts, egg reduction rates Proteinuria
Notes	Location: Cameroon, Loum Setting: urban, schools Date: April to June 2002 Endemicity: endemic all year, prevalence amongst school children 41.8%, trial carried out during high transmission period Funding: European Commission INCO-DC (ICA-4-CT-2001-10079) Authors' conclusion: No significant differences between the three dosing regimens, per- sistent high cure rates with a single dose of Praziquantel. Findings suggest efficacy of praziquantel against immature schistosoma stages

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk Assigned to random groups.		
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.	
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	No use of placebo mentioned.	
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.	
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	High loss to follow-up of 13% at six weeks very high loss to follow-up of 58.6% at nine weeks (change in schools schedules)	
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.	
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other bias.	

van den Biggelaar 02 GAB

Methods

RCT

Diagnostics: collection of urine samples on three different days, filtration of 10 mL urine, nucleopore pore size 13 μ m), staining with ninhydrin, eggs count Follow-up at two and three years, length of follow-up three years

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

van den Biggelaar 02 GAB (Continued)

Participants	School children aged five to 14 years Participants randomized: 135 Inclusion: positive for <i>S. haematobium</i> eggs Exclusion: not reported		
Interventions	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose Praziquantel 40 mg/kg in repeated doses, dose interval three months, over two years		
Outcomes	Cure rates, failure rates Egg counts Microhaematuria		
Notes	Location: Gaboon, near Lambarene Setting: rural, village schools Endemicity: high Funding: not reported Dates: not reported Authors' conclusion: relate to immunologic outcomes also measured by this trial, but not of interest for this review		

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Allocated randomly.	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	High risk	"The allocation of children to the treatment group was open."	
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Use of placebo (given every three months) not mentioned.	
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.	
Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	High risk	High loss to follow-up (not balanced, reasons not given): at 24 months 8%, 23%, 44% in different treatment groups; at 36 months 40%, 64%, 77%.	
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.	
Other bias	Low risk	No evidence of other bias.	

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Wilkins 1987 GMB

Methods	RCT Diagnostics: Follow-up at two to three months			
Participants	Residents aged two to 19 years, median age 9.5 years Participants randomized: not reported			
Interventions	 Praziquantel 10 mg/kg Praziquantel 20 mg/kg Praziquantel 40 mg/kg Metrifonate 10 mg/kg Praziquantel 10 mg/kg and metrifonate 10 mg/kg 			
Outcomes	Egg counts Side effects			
Notes	Location: Gambia Upper River Division, Nyanamari Setting: rural Endemicity: seasonal, trial conducted during season of low transmission Dates: not reported Funding: not reported Authors' conclusion: Mass treatment of intensely infected groups should be based on the standard dose of praziquantel, with metrifonate as second choice Note: only one of the two trials reported in this publication, the Nyanamari trial, fulfilled the inclusion criteria			

Risk of bias

Bias	Authors' judgement	Support for judgement	
Random sequence generation (selection bias)	Low risk	"Subjectswere stratified into four age groups and within each age stratum were ordered by intensity of egg counts. They were then placed sequentially into groups of five. Computer generated random sets of the numbers one to five were used to al- located on subject in each group of five to each of the five regimens used."	
Allocation concealment (selection bias)	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.	
Blinding of participants and personnel (performance bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Placebo and blinding not mentioned.	
Blinding of outcome assessment (detection bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Not mentioned.	

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Wilkins 1987 GMB (Continued)

Incomplete outcome data (attrition bias) All outcomes	Unclear risk	Loss to follow-up unclear, as cure rates are reported as percentages
Selective reporting (reporting bias)	Low risk	No evidence of selective reporting.
Other bias	Low risk	Baseline characteristics not reported.

Characteristics of excluded studies [ordered by study ID]

Study	Reason for exclusion
Aryeetey 1999	Study of health education and community participation.
Ayoya 2007	No comparison group (treatment groups receive praziquantel with or without iron supplements and multivitamins)
Bausch 1995	Not a RCT.
Beasley 1999	This study compares a combination of praziquantel and albendazole with placebo. This outcome is not of interest for this review
Bejon 2008	Study of gastrointestinal helminths, not urinary schistosomiasis
Bhargava 2003	This study does not report baseline criteria for control group, as the control group was not screened at baseline
Boulanger 2007	No comparison group (both groups receive artesunate).
Burchard 1984	This study compares praziquantel 2 x 30 mg/kg to oltipraz, which is obsolete. Details of this trial can be seen in earlier versions of this review
Clarke 1969	Not a RCT.
Clarke 1973	Not a RCT, allotted to groups, "for practical reasons, the infected children in the two senior grades were set aside for treatment with i.m. hycanthone"
Creasey 1986	This study compares different doses of praziquantel (8 mg/kg, 15 mg/kg and 20 mg/kg) combined with oxaminique in patients with <i>S. haematobium</i> and <i>S. mansoni</i> co-infections. A comparison of the praziquantel dosages used is not of interest for this review
Danso-Appiah 2009	Systematic review.
Davis 1966	This study evaluates different doses of ambilhar which is now obsolete. Details of this trial can be seen in earlier versions of this review

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

(Continued)

Davis 1979	Outcomes are not reported per treatment group, only for the total number of participants randomized
De Clercq 2002	Not a RCT, "systematically allocated".
Druilhe 1981	Not a RCT.
el Hawey 1990	No comparison group.
el Tayeb 1988	This study compares praziquantel 2 x 20 mg/kg to oltipraz 2 x 15 mg/kg, which is now obsolete. Details of this trial can be seen in earlier versions of this review
el-Zayadi 1985	No outcome of interest reported.
Erikstrup 2008	This is a study of HIV and <i>S. haematobium</i> or <i>S. mansoni</i> co-infection, no outcomes of interest for this review are reported
Fontanilles 1964	Conference speech.
Forsyth 1964	Not a RCT. "At three of the schools, every sixth injected child received "curative" treatment"
Garba 2001	Study of health education.
Garba 2004	This study evaluates mass treatment with praziquantel without comparison group
Hammad 1997	This cross-sectional study evaluates the diagnosis of urinary schistosomiasis by reagent strip and para- sitological methods
Jewsbury 1977	No comparison group (sequence of treatment, then prophylaxis within one group)
Jinabhai 2001	This study compares a combination of praziquantel and albendazole with placebo. This outcome is not of interest for this review
Jordan 1966	Quasi-RCT. "children were allocated to Groups 1-4 corresponding to different regimens of treatment, in rotation down the list (pre-treatment results in descending order), thus ensuring four groups matched for egg output."
Kardaman 1983	No comparison group.
Kern 1984	Study of intestinal manifestations of schistosomiasis, very low number for <i>S. haematobium</i> positive patients, outcome data not reported separately.
King 1989	Review article.
King 1992	Data reported in other publications.
Kurz 1986	This study evaluates metrifonate in hookworm infections.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

(Continued)

Latham 1983	No comparison group.
Lucas 1969	This study reports ultrasound findings in patients with urinary schistosomiasis after treatment with Niridazole to a untreated control. Niridazole is now obsolete
Mwanakasale 2009	Study of iron supplementation in S. haematobium treatment with no outcomes of interest for this review.
N'Goran 2003	Study of S. haematobium prevention.
Nagaty 1962	This trial studies the therapy of drug side effects in urinary schistosomiasis treatment
Odongo-Aginya 1996	Not a RCT, study of S. mansoni.
Olsen 2007	Review article.
Pitchford 1978	No comparison group.
Podgore 1994	Study of S. haematobium prevention.
Rabarijaona 2001	Epidemiological survey.
Rey 1984	This study compares oltipraz 30 mg/kg to a combination of metrifonate 10 mg/kg and niridazole 25 mg/kg. Niridazole and oltipraz are now obsolete
Rugemalila 1984	Study of S. mansoni.
Schutte 1983	No comparison group.
Sellin 1986	This study compares metrifonate 10 mg/kg to oltipraz 30 mg/kg, which is now obsolete
Sissoko 2009 MLI	This study compared praziquantel to a combination of artesunate with sulfamethoxypyrazine pyrimethamine; it is therefore not possible to attribute observed effects to artesunate alone
Snyman 1997	Study of calcitriol as experimental antischistosomal treatment
Snyman 1998	Study of levimasole as experimental antischistosomal treatment
Squires 2000	Review article.
Stephenson 1985	No comparison group (compares children of moderate and severe infection intensity with uninfected children, using the same treatment regimen for infected children)
Taylor 2001	This study compares a combination of praziquantel and albendazole with placebo. This outcome is not of interest for this review, whereas a comparison the combination of praziquantel and albendazole versus praziquantel would be of interest
Teesdale 1980	Not a RCT.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

(Continued)

Thigpen 2011	Not a RCT.
Urbani 1997	Epidemiological survey.
Utzinger 2001	Review article.
van Lieshout 1994	Study of S. mansoni.
Wilkins 1987 Simoto trial	Not a RCT, alternate allocation.
Wolfe 1967	Not a RCT.
Xiao 2002	Review article.
Zwingenberger 1990	Case study.

DATA AND ANALYSES

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure	8		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 at one month to two months	7	864	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.42 [0.29, 0.59]
1.2 at three months	3	354	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.51 [0.34, 0.77]
1.3 at five months	1	54	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.73 [0.58, 0.91]
1.4 at six months	3	332	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.42 [0.10, 1.84]
1.5 at eight months	1	209	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.14 [0.08, 0.22]
2 Haematuria at eight weeks	1	119	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.53 [0.33, 0.84]
3 Haemoglobin	2		Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 at baseline	2	727	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.17 [-0.35, 0.02]
3.2 at six to eight months	2	727	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.08 [-0.24, 0.09]
4 Adverse events	2		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
4.1 Diarrhoea	1	156	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.2 Vomiting	2	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.67 [0.15, 2.87]
4.3 Dizziness	2	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.37 [0.11, 1.27]
4.4 Anorexia	1	70	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.20 [0.05, 0.85]
4.5 Abdominal pain	2	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.50 [0.22, 1.14]
4.6 Tiredness	1	70	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.48 [0.14, 1.71]
4.7 Weakness	1	70	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.96 [0.36, 2.57]
4.8 Headache	2	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.19 [0.02, 1.47]
4.9 Fever	2	226	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.12 [0.07, 17.22]
4.10 Pain in limbs	1	70	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	5.59 [0.28, 112.34]
4.11 Itching	1	156	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.19, 5.28]
4.12 Cough	1	156	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.09, 10.78]
4.13 Chills	1	156	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.5 [0.16, 14.07]
4.14 Nausea	1	156	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.09, 10.78]
4.15 Constipation	1	156	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.51 [0.06, 36.54]

Comparison 1. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus placebo

Comparison 2. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus lower doses

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure at four to six weeks	5		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 versus 30 mg/kg	4	401	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.76 [0.59, 0.99]
1.2 versus 20 mg/kg	2	338	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.74 [0.59, 0.93]
1.3 versus 10 mg/kg	1	150	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.66 [0.53, 0.84]
2 Parasitological failure at two to three months	6		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

2.1 versus 30 mg/kg	5	517	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.95 [0.72, 1.24]
2.2 versus 20 mg/kg	3	330	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.72 [0.56, 0.92]
2.3 versus 10 mg/kg	3	339	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.48 [0.39, 0.60]
3 Parasitological failure at six to	6		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
seven months				,
3.1 versus 30 mg/kg	6	669	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.76, 1.23]
3.2 versus 20 mg/kg	1	138	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.87 [0.53, 1.44]
3.3 versus 10 mg/kg	1	150	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.43 [0.29, 0.64]
4 Haematuria at three months	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
4.1 versus 30 mg/kg	1	117	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.89 [0.47, 1.67]
4.2 versus 20 mg/kg	1	122	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.18 [0.60, 2.33]
4.3 versus 10 mg/kg	1	119	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.35 [0.21, 0.58]
5 Proteinuria at three months	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
5.1 versus 30 mg/kg	1	117	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.85 [0.34, 2.12]
5.2 versus 20 mg/kg	1	122	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.92 [0.36, 2.30]
5.3 versus 10 mg/kg	1	119	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.25 [0.12, 0.51]
6 Haematuria at six weeks	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
6.1 versus 20 mg/kg	1	245	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.63 [0.47, 0.86]
7 Proteinuria at six weeks	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
7.1 versus 20 mg/kg	1	245	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.66 [0.46, 0.96]
8 Haematuria at nine months	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
8.1 versus 20 mg/kg	1	215	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.59 [0.44, 0.78]
9 Proteinuria at nine months	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
9.1 versus 20 mg/kg	1	214	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.67 [0.50, 0.90]
10 Adverse events	2		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
10.1 Vomiting	2	163	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.79 [0.05, 13.51]
10.2 Dizziness	2	163	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.73 [0.11, 4.62]
10.3 Anorexia	1	65	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	4.85 [0.24, 97.31]
10.4 Abdominal pain	2	163	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.14 [0.23, 5.56]
10.5 Tiredness	1	65	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.32 [0.10, 1.09]
10.6 Weakness	1	65	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.16 [0.39, 3.44]
10.7 Headache	2	163	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.49 [0.08, 2.85]
10.8 Fever	1	65	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	2.91 [0.12, 68.95]
10.9 Pain in limbs	1	65	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.39 [0.08, 1.86]

Comparison 3. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus 2 x 20 mg/kg split dose

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure	4		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 at one month	3	374	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.75 [0.51, 1.11]
1.2 at three months	3	361	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.74 [0.45, 1.20]
1.3 at six to seven months	3	234	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.83 [0.51, 1.35]
2 Adverse events	3		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.1 Blood in stool	1	215	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \ [0.0, \ 0.0]$
2.2 Vomiting	3	373	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.50 [0.29, 0.86]
2.3 Dizziness	3	373	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.39 [0.16, 0.94]
2.4 Anorexia	1	69	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.18 [0.21, 22.96]
2.5 Abdominal pain	3	373	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.02 [0.83, 1.25]

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

2.6 Tiredness	1	69	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.41 [0.12, 1.41]
2.7 Weakness	1	69	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.94 [0.35, 2.50]
2.8 Headache	2	158	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.51 [0.20, 1.33]
2.9 Fever	2	284	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.53 [0.23, 1.23]
2.10 Pain in limbs	1	69	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.44 [0.09, 2.10]
2.11 Diarrhoea	1	215	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.07 [0.67, 1.73]
2.12 Skin reaction	1	215	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.84 [0.34, 9.83]

Comparison 4. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus praziquantel 2 x 40 mg/kg or 3 x 40 mg/kg

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Praziquantel 40 mg/single dose versus praziquantel 2 x 40 mg/ kg: parasitological failure	2		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 at six weeks	1	269	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.82 [0.50, 1.34]
1.2 at nine weeks to three months	2	686	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.06 [0.91, 1.25]
1.3 at six months	1	556	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.12 [0.95, 1.31]
2 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus praziquantel 3 x 40 mg/kg: parasitological failure	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.1 at nine weeks	1	185	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.94 [0.42, 2.12]
3 Praziquantel 40 mg/single dose versus praziquantel 2 x 40 mg/ kg: microhaematuria at six	1	300	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.17 [0.88, 1.56]
months				

Comparison 5. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus multiple doses

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 at two years	1	62	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.71 [1.47, 5.00]
1.2 at three years	1	43	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.92 [0.59, 1.42]
2 Haematuria	1	43	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.70 [0.42, 1.17]

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Comparison 6. Metrifonate single dose (10 mg/kg) versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure	2		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 at one month	1	142	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.83 [0.74, 0.94]
1.2 at two and a half to three	1	122	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.92 [0.85, 0.99]
months				
1.3 at six months	1	102	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.94 [0.87, 1.02]
1.4 at eight months	1	210	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.63 [0.54, 0.73]
2 Haemoglobin	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.1 at baseline	1	207	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [-0.33, 0.33]
2.2 at eight months	1	207	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.30 [-0.05, 0.65]

Comparison 7. Metrifonate multiple doses versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure	3		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 at one month	1	50	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.84 [0.65, 1.09]
1.2 at 11 weeks	1	93	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.41 [0.30, 0.56]
1.3 at five months	1	51	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.89 [0.76, 1.03]
1.4 at six months	1	400	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.30 [0.24, 0.37]
2 Haemoglobin	1		Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.1 at baseline	1	400	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	-0.17 [-0.45, 0.11]
2.2 at six months	1	391	Mean Difference (IV, Random, 95% CI)	0.30 [0.14, 0.46]

Comparison 8. Metrifonate multiple doses versus single dose

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure at one month	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 20 mg/kg versus 10 mg/kg	1	112	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.75 [0.50, 1.13]
1.2 30 mg/kg versus 10 mg/kg	1	93	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.36 [0.17, 0.77]
2 Parasitological failure at four months	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.1 20 mg/kg versus 10 mg/kg	1	133	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.78 [0.58, 1.06]
2.2 30 mg/kg versus 10 mg/kg	1	111	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.67 [0.45, 0.99]

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 at one month	1	201	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.91 [0.69, 1.21]
1.2 at two months	1	165	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.97 [0.72, 1.30]
1.3 at three months	1	133	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.92 [0.67, 1.26]
1.4 at six months	1	139	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.43 [0.99, 2.05]
2 Adverse events	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.1 Nausea	1	201	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.51 [0.05, 5.48]
2.2 Vomiting	1	201	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.06, 15.93]
2.3 Dizziness	1	201	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.01 [0.06, 15.93]
2.4 Abdominal pain	1	201	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.03 [0.32, 28.64]
2.5 Headache	1	201	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.34 [0.04, 3.18]
2.6 Heaviness of the tongue	1	201	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	2.02 [0.19, 21.92]

Comparison 9. Metrifonate 3 doses 2 weeks apart: 7.5 mg/kg versus 5 mg/kg

Comparison 10. Praziquantel versus metrifonate

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus metrifonate 10 mg/ kg single dose: parasitological failure	3		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
1.1 at one month	1	183	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.46 [0.34, 0.61]
1.2 at two to three months	2	243	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.67 [0.57, 0.79]
1.3 at six months	1	149	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.89 [0.79, 1.01]
1.4 at eight months	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.21 [0.13, 0.36]
2 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus metrifonate 10 mg/ kg single dose: haemoglobin	1		Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
2.1 at baseline	1	208	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.30 [-0.52, -0.08]
2.2 at eight months	1	208	Mean Difference (IV, Fixed, 95% CI)	-0.40 [-0.66, -0.14]
3 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus metrifonate 20 and 30 mg/kg given as split doses: parasitological failure	2		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
3.1 2 x 10 mg/kg Metrifonate at one month	1	72	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	1.03 [0.80, 1.34]
3.2 2 x 10 mg/kg Metrifonate at five months	1	67	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.82 [0.64, 1.05]
3.3 3 x 10 mg/kg Metrifonate at three months	1	100	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.33 [0.07, 1.57]
3.4 3 x 10 mg/kg Metrifonate at six months	1	100	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.2 [0.02, 1.65]

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

4 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus metrifonate 30 mg/	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
kg given as split dose: adverse				
4 1 Dizziness	1		Rick Ratio (M-H Fixed 95% CI)	[0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,0,
4.2 Abdominal pain	1		Risk Ratio (M-H Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0[0.0, 0.0]
4 3 Joint pain	1		Risk Ratio (M-H Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0[0.0, 0.0]
4 4 Nausea	1		Risk Ratio (M-H Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0[0.0, 0.0]
4 5 Rash	1		Risk Ratio (M-H Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0[0.0, 0.0]
4.6 Vomiting	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.7 Itching	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.8 Fatigue	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.9 Hair loss	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.10 Change in taste	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.11 Diarrhoea	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
4.12 Convulsion	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
5 Praziquantel 30 mg/kg single dose versus metrifonate 30	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
mg/kg given as split dose: parasitological failure				
5.1 at two months	1	54	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.53 [0.17, 1.68]
5.2 at four months	1	52	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.24 [0.07, 0.80]
6 Praziquantel 30 mg/kg single	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
dose versus metrifonate 30 mg/ kg given as split dose: adverse events				
6.1 Nausea	1	60	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.0 [0.13, 70.83]
6.2 Vomiting	1	60	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.2 [0.01, 4.00]
6.3 Abdominal pain	1	60	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.33 [0.12, 0.92]
6.4 Headache	1	60	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.33 [0.01, 7.87]
6.5 Fever	1	60	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.33 [0.01, 7.87]
6.6 Loose bowel motions	1	60	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.07, 15.26]
6.7 Dizziness	1	60	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.07, 15.26]
6.8 Itching	1	60	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.07, 15.26]
6.9 Body pain	1	60	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.07, 15.26]
7 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg once a year versus metrifonate 10 mg/	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only
kg every 4 months				
7.1 Parasitological failure at	1	1436	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)	1.05 [1.00, 1.11]
one year	_	. (
7.2 Haematuria at one year	1	1400	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.08 [0.85, 1.36]
/.3 Proteinuria at one year	1	1400	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.93 [0./9, 1.11]
8 Praziquantel 40 mg/kg once a year versus metrifonate	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% Cl)	Subtotals only
no mg/kg every 4 months:				
Parasitological failure	1	1019	Dialy Datio (M.H. Eined 0504 CI)	0.78 [0.61, 1.00]
8.2 at two years	1	1018	Risk Ratio (M H Ewod 95% CI)	0.70 [0.01, 1.00] 0.77 [0.53 1.11]
0.2 at two years	1	102) 827	Diele Datio (M H Eined 0504 CI)	0.77 [0.33, 1.11]
0.5 at thice years	1	0Z/ 72	Diale Davia (M LI D 050/ CI)	0.02 [0.42, 0.93]
praziquantel 40 mg/kg versus praziquantel 10 mg/kg and metrifonate 10 mg/kg	1	12	NISK KAUO (IVI-11, KANDOM, 93% CI)	0.27 [0.24, 1.05]

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Comparison 11.	Artesunate versus	placebo
----------------	-------------------	---------

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure at eight	2	251	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.53 [0.16, 1.71]
weeks				
2 Haematuria	1	119	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.22 [0.85, 1.76]
3 Adverse events	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
3.1 Headache	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \ [0.0, 0.0]$
3.2 Vomiting	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \ [0.0, 0.0]$
3.3 Fever	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.4 Itching	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.5 Cough	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.6 Diarrhoea	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \; [0.0, 0.0]$
3.7 Chills	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.8 Nausea	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.9 Dizziness	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.10 Abdominal pain	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \; [0.0, 0.0]$
3.11 Constipation	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \ [0.0, 0.0]$

Comparison 12. Praziquantel versus artesunate

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size	
1 Parasitological failure	3		Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	
1.1 at day 28	1	46	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.15 [0.05, 0.46]	
1.2 at day 56	2	352	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.58 [0.23, 1.44]	
2 Haematuria	1	178	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.43 [0.30, 0.62]	
3 Adverse events	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Subtotals only	
3.1 Abdominal pain	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \; [0.0, 0.0]$	
3.2 Dizziness	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \; [0.0, 0.0]$	
3.3 Headache	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.43, 2.30]	
3.4 Vomiting	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.26, 3.89]	
3.5 Fever	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.17 [0.41, 3.35]	
3.6 Itching	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.26, 3.89]	
3.7 Cough	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.14, 6.97]	
3.8 Diarrhoea	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]	
3.9 Chills	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.5 [0.26, 8.79]	
3.10 Nausea	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.0 [0.14, 6.97]	
3.11 Constipation	1	208	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	3.0 [0.12, 72.80]	

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Comparison 13. Praziquantel and artesunate versus praziquantel

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure at eight weeks	2	265	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.62 [0.38, 0.99]
2 Haematuria at eight weeks	1	177	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.69 [0.40, 1.18]
3 Adverse events	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
3.1 Abdominal pain	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \; [0.0, 0.0]$
3.2 Dizziness	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \; [0.0, 0.0]$
3.3 Headache	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.4 Vomiting	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.5 Fever	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \; [0.0, 0.0]$
3.6 Itching	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \; [0.0, 0.0]$
3.7 Cough	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.8 Diarrhoea	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.9 Chills	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \; [0.0, 0.0]$
3.10 Nausea	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \; [0.0, 0.0]$
3.11 Constipation	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \; [0.0, 0.0]$

Comparison 14. Mefloquine versus placebo

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure at six	1	44	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.57 [0.40, 0.83]
weeks				

Comparison 15. Praziquantel versus mefloquine

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure at one month	1	45	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.15 [0.05, 0.43]

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Comparison 16. Praziquantel versus artesunate and mefloquine

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure at one month	1	44	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.23 [0.07, 0.74]

Comparison 17. Praziquantel versus praziquantel and albendazole

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure	1	193	Risk Ratio (M-H, Random, 95% CI)	0.90 [0.62, 1.30]

Comparison 18. Praziquantel versus praziquantel and artesunate

Outcome or subgroup title	No. of studies	No. of participants	Statistical method	Effect size
1 Parasitological failure at eight weeks	2	265	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.62 [1.01, 2.60]
2 Haematuria at eight weeks	1	177	Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	1.45 [0.85, 2.50]
3 Adverse events	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	Totals not selected
3.1 Abdominal pain	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \ [0.0, 0.0]$
3.2 Dizziness	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \ [0.0, 0.0]$
3.3 Headache	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.4 Vomiting	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.5 Fever	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \ [0.0, \ 0.0]$
3.6 Itching	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.7 Cough	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.8 Diarrhoea	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	$0.0 \ [0.0, \ 0.0]$
3.9 Chills	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.10 Nausea	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]
3.11 Constipation	1		Risk Ratio (M-H, Fixed, 95% CI)	0.0 [0.0, 0.0]

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

ADDITIONAL TABLES

Category	Prevalence among school-aged children	Action to be taken	Comment
High-risk community	50% by parasitological methods (intestinal or urinary schistoso- miasis; or 30% by questionnaire for visible haematuria (urinary schistosomiasis)	Treat all school-age children (en- rolled and not enrolled) once a year	Also treat adults considered to be at risk (from special groups to entire communities living in en- demic areas)
Moderate-risk community	> 10 to < 50% by parasitological methods (intestinal and urinary schistosomiasis); or 30% by questionnaire for visible haematuria (urinary schistosomi- asis)	Treat all school-age children (en- rolled or not enrolled) once every two years	Also treat adults considered to be at risk (special groups only)
Low-risk community	< 10% by parasitological meth- ods (intestinal and urinary schis- tosomiasis)	Treat all school-age children (enrolled and not enrolled) twice during their primary schooling age (for example, once on of sus- pected cases entry and once on exit)	Praziquantel should be available in dispensaries and clinics for treatment of suspected cases

Table 1. Population based treatment according to prevalence among schoolchildren (WHO)

Table 2. Definion of cure, reporting and calculation of egg counts

Study ID	Definition cure	Reporting of egg counts/10 mL urine	Methods to calculate egg counts	Comment
Abden Abdi 1989 SOM	Patients without schisto- some eggs in their urine after treatment	Mean (SD), % ER	Not reported	No hatching test em- ployed, cured might be underestimated because of dead eggs
Al Aska 1990 SAU	Clinical improvement Disappearance of ova from the urine on three successive examinations	Mean, range	Not reported	-
Basra 2012 GAB	Three consecutive urine samples without pres- ence of eggs	Median, interquartile range	Not reported	-

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Cure not reported	GMEC	Not reported	Hb and weight as out- comes
Two negative egg counts on two consecutive days	GMEC	Arithmetric mean of two egg counts per partici- pant before and after treat- ment including 0 egg counts (cured patients) . Geometric means of these arithmetic means	We received the data file from the study author Day to day variation in egg counts explains 10% cure rate with placebo
Defined as three neg- ative urine defined as the absence of hatched miracidia, although re- cently dead or black eggs might be present	Geometric mean miracidial count	At follow-up: If the first urine specimen con- tained hatched miracidia, then random 10 mL samples were taken from fur- ther bladder collections, the miracidial count was recorded, and the ge- ometric mean of the counts was compared di- rectly with the geomet- ric mean of the pretreat- ment counts	Quantitaive hatching test. if the first sedimented urine specimen was neg- ative, then two further urine specimens taken on consecutive days were sedimented and exam- ined
No definition of cure given, presumably ab- sence of urinary egg ex- cretion	Minimum and max- imum value, median, 90%value	Not reported	Excretion of eggs follow- ing treatment
No definition of cure given, cure rates and egg reduction rates as end points	Mean ± SD	"Treatment-related changes in egg counts were inves- tigated using paired Stu- dent's t test."	-
No definition of cure given	"median urine egg count"	Not reported	-
No definition of cure given, "negative"	GMEC	Not reported	"It would appear that the cure rate determined in any trial is dependent on the pretreatment egg count and on theurine examination techniques used."
	Cure not reported Two negative egg counts on two consecutive days Defined as three neg- ative urine defined as the absence of hatched miracidia, although re- cently dead or black eggs might be present No definition of cure given, presumably ab- sence of urinary egg ex- cretion No definition of cure given, cure rates and egg reduction rates as end points No definition of cure given, % negative, %	Cure not reportedGMECTwo negative egg counts on two consecutive daysGMECDefined as three neg- ative urine defined as the absence of hatched miracidia, although re- cently dead or black eggs might be presentGeometric mean miracidial countNo definition of cure given, presumably ab- sence of urinary egg ex- cretionMinimum and max- imum value, median, 90%valueNo definition of cure given, cure rates and egg reduction rates as end pointsMean ± SDNo definition of cure given, cure rates and egg reduction rates as end pointsMean ± SDNo definition of cure given, "negative"GMEC	Cure not reported GMEC Not reported Two negative egg counts on two consecutive days GMEC Arithmetric mean of two egg counts per partici- pant before and after treat- ment including 0 egg counts (cured patients) . Geometric means of these arithmetic means Defined as three neg- ative urine defined as the absence of hatched miracidia, although re- cently dead or black eggs might be present Geometric mean miracidial count Mat follow-up: If the first urine specimen con- tained hatched miracidia, then random 10 mL samples were taken from fur- ther bladder collections, the miracidial count was recorded, and the ge- ometric mean of the ge- ometric mean of the pretreat- ment counts No definition of cure given, presumably ab- sence of urinary egg ex- reduction rates as end points Minimum and max- imum value, median, 90%value Not reported No definition of cure given, cure rates and egg reduction rates as end points Mean ± SD "Treatment-related changes in egg counts were inves- tigated using paired Su- dent's t test." No definition of cure given "median urine egg counts" Not reported No definition of cure given GMEC Not reported

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Keiser 2010 CIV	Absence of urinary egg excretion Cure rate (CR, defined as the percentage of chil- dren excret- ing no <i>S. haematobium</i> eggs 26 days after treat- ment among children with confirmed parasites at baseline)	GMEC	S. <i>haema-tobium</i> egg counts be- fore and after treatment were averaged for every child (arithmetic mean) and the GM egg count for each treatment group was calculated. Because egg counts are over dis- persed, they were loga- rithmically transformed log [count+1], and the GM was expressed as the antilogarithm of the mean Egg reduction rate (ERR) defined as reduc- tion of geometric mean (GM) egg count among <i>S. haematobium</i> positive children after treatment, compared with the re- spective GM pretreat- ment The ERR was calculated as (1 - [GM egg count after treatment/GM egg counts at enrolment] x 100	(ERR; defined as reduc- tion of geometric mean egg count among <i>S. haematobium</i> - positive children after treatment, compared with the respective ge- ometric mean pretreat- ment)
King 1989 KEN	No definition of cure given	AMEC GMEC	Not reported	Infection was identified and quantified by Nucle- opore filtration
King 1990 KEN	No definition of cure given	AMEC GMEC	Not reported	Infection was identified and quantified by Nucle- opore filtration
King 2002 KEN	Cure defined as egg-neg- ative	GMEC	Not reported	-
McMahon 1979 TZA	Probable cure rate: excre- tion of no or only non vi- able eggs in the urine	GMEC, 95%confidence limit of the mean	Not reported	-
McMahon 1983 TZA	People were considered cured when no eggs or non-viable eggs were ex- creted in the urine	Screening: GMEC of miracidia/10 mL urine reduction in egg excre- tion	"In non cured cases the reduction of egg excre- tion was calculated."	-

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Mott 1985 GHA	Absence of <i>S. haemato- bium</i> eggs in two random 5 mL samples of urine from the same specimen	GMEC 5 mL urine sam- ples reduction in GMEC	Not reported	-
Olds 1999 KEN	No definition given	GMEC	"Egg counts are geomet- ric means in subjects who remained infected. Reduction in egg no. after treatment in infected children was sig- nificant in all infections at 45 days."	-
Omer 1981 SDN	100% reduction of egg excretion (absence of egg excretion in the urine) or 98% egg reduc- tion and neg miracidial hatching test	GMEC	Not reported	Only children with GMEC > 60/10 mL (in three egg counts) included
Oyediran 1981 NGA	No definition of cure given	GMEC mean ± SD	Not reported	Only children with GMEC > 60/10 mL (in three egg counts) included
Pugh 1983 MWI	No definition of cure given	AMEC % egg count reduction	Percentage reduction in egg output was deter- mined by comparing the arithmetic and geomet- ric means of pooled egg counts before and after treatment. The geomet- ric mean was obtained by recording the logarithm of egg counts and using the n +1 transformation for a series of counts after treatment that included zeros	We did not use a hatch- ing test to determine the viability of excreted ova since percentage reduc- tion in egg output rather than parasitological cure was our main criterion of efficacy
Rey 1983 NER	No definition of cure given	AMEC "nombre moyenne" average number	Not reported	If possible, a hatching test was that at the last control (6 months)
Rey 1984 NER	No definition of cure given, "negativation"	AMEC moyenne des nombres d'oeufs/10 mL urine Number average	Not reported	-

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Sacko 2009 MLI	The cure rate was calculated as the proportion of in- fected individuals who became parasitologically negative (0 egg/10 mL urine based on three urine samples) at three months post treatment	GMEC	Individual egg counts were calculated as the mean number of eggs per 10 mL of urine in the three urine samples. To com- pare the effect of the treatment on the inten- sity of the infection at 3, 6 and 18 months geo- metric mean egg/10 mL for all urine samples ex- amined for <i>S. haemato- bium</i> eggs were calcu- lated as log10(x+1) to al- low egg count of 0 to be included in the analyzic	-
Stephenson 1985 KEN	no definition of cure given	AMEC	Not reported	-
Stephenson 1989 KEN	-	AMEC GMEC	Not reported	-
Taylor 1988 ZWE	Cure defined as negative egg counts "infections as were cured by a negative GMEC at 1,3 and 6 months"	GMEC	Not reported	"in cases were only one egg was found in three (urine) examinations the egg count was always taken as positive."
Tchuente 2004 CMR	The parasitologic cure rates were calculated as the proportion of children excreting eggs at the first survey before treatment and who were not excret- ing eggs in their urine af- ter treatment	GMEC	Geometric mean (GM) values of all individuals were used to assess average egg counts of each group. The GM was calculated as the an- tilogarithm of the mean of all log trans- formed egg counts + 1. The intensity reduction rate was calculated as [1 – (GM egg counts per 10 mL of urine after treat- ment/GM egg counts per 10 mL before treat- ment)] × 100	The parasitological cure rates were calculated as the proportion of chil- dren excreting eggs at the first survey before treat- ment and who were not excreting eggs in their urine after treatment

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

van den Biggelaar 02 GAB	Negative for both eggs and circulating antigen failure: pos. for eggs or circulating antigen	GMEC interqu range	uartile Not reported	-
Wilkins 1987 GMB	No definition of cure given	GMEC	When appro- priate a log ₁₀ transfor- mation was used in sta- tistical analysis to make their skewed distribution approximate to normal. This was reversed for the presentation of results to give a geometric mean which included zero val- ues	-



Study ID	Sub- group	Time- point	Measure	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose			Placebo	P value dif- ference between groups		
				Egg count/10 mL (Range/95% CI) N		% egg re- duction	Egg count/10 mL (Range/95% CI) N		% egg re- duction	
				Baseline	Follow- up		Baseline	Follow- up		
de Jonge 1990 SDN	-	1 month	Median	66 N = 48	1 N = 40	98.5	124 N = 21	58 N = 18	53.2	P = 0.29 not sig- nificant
McMa- hon 1979 TZA	-	1 month	Miracidial count (95% CI)	288. 4 (33.2 to 2508.9) N = 32	1.1 (0 to 8.3) N = 30	99.6	324.9 (22.1 to 4783.3) N = 37	187.5 (6.3 to 5601.3) N = 29	42.3	Not reported
Pugh 1983 MWI	-	1 month	GMEC AMEC	385.5 780.9 N = 97	1.8/ 1.8	99.5 99.7	136.8 188.8 N = 52	119.9 437.2	12.35 (GMEC) - 131.5 (AMEC) (increase)	Not reported

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Taylor 1988 ZWE	light in- fections < 50/10 mL	1 month	GMEC N = (both light and heavy)	15.1 N = 77 (both groups)	0.4	99.7	15.7 N = 90 (both groups)	37.5	-138 (increase)	Not reported
	heavy in- fections < 100/10 mL	1 month	GMEC N = (both light and heavy)	204.7 N = 77 (both groups)	4.0	98.1	191.9 N = 90 (both groups)	147.0	23.39	Not reported
Olds 1999 KEN	-	45 days	GMEC	Not reported N = 95	1.4	-	N = 94	29.8	-	Not reported
Bor- rmann 2001 GAB	-	8 weeks	GMEC (range)	38.51 (1 to 3313) N = 90	1.11 N = 89	97.11	21.57 (1 to 778) N = 30	11.41 N = 30	47.1	Signifi- cant
Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA ²	without placebo	8 weeks	-	42.0 ± 1.7 N = 52	9.8 ± 0.5 N = 42	76.7	34.1 ± 0.8 N = 52	72.0±2.3 N = 44	- 111.5 (increase)	P < 0.001

Table 3. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus placebo: % egg reduction at one and two months (Continued)

¹P for therapeutic efficacy (not defined) Praziquantel versus placebo

² Treatment group: Praziquantel 40 mg/kg without placebo. Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA also reports a second treatment group (Praziquantel 40 mg/kg with placebo), data not shown.

Study ID	Sub- group	Time point	Measure	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single l dose			Placebo	P value for differ- ence be- tween		
				Egg count /10 mL % egg re- urine duction			Egg cour urine	nt/10 mL	% egg re- duction	groups
				Baseline	Follow- up	-	Baseline	Follow- up		
McMa- hon 1979 TZA	-	3 months	miracidial count (95% CI)	288. 4 (33.2 to 2508.9) N = 32	1.1 (0 to 16.3)	99.6	324.9 (22.1 to 4783.3) N = 37	149.4 (6.3 to 3556.6)	54	Not reported

Table 4. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus placebo: % egg reduction at later time points

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Pugh 1983 MWI	-	3 months	GMEC AMEC	385.5 780.9 N = 97	1.9 1.9	99.5 (GMEC) 99.75 (AMEC)	136.8 188.8 N = 52	85.9 270.3	37.2 (GMEC) 43.16 (AMEC)	Not reported
Taylor 1988 ZWE	light in- fections < 50/10 mL	3 months	GMEC	15.1 N = 77 (for both groups)	0.4	97.35	15.7 N = 90	19.8	-26.11 (increase)	Not reported
	heavy in- fections < 100/10 mL		GMEC	204.7 N = 77 (for both groups)	2.0	99.02	191.9 N = 90	94.7	50.65	Not reported
de Jonge 1990 SDN	-	5 months	median	66 N = 48	0	100	124 N = 21	95	23.38	P = 0.27 not sig- nificant
McMa- hon 1979 TZA	-	6 months	miracidial count (95% CI)	288. 4 (33.2 to 2508.9) N = 32	1.1 (0-20.3)	99.6	324.9 (22.1 to 4783.3) N = 37	188. 6 (13.9 to 2563.5)	41.95	Not reported
Pugh 1983 MWI	-	6 months	GMEC AMEC	385.5 780.9 N = 97	2.4 20.1	99.3 (GMEC) 97.4 (AMEC)	136.8 188.8 N = 52	69.7 261.8	49.0 GMEC -38.7 (increase) AMEC	Not reported
Befidi Mengue 1992 CMR	-	6 months	GMEC	41/10 mL N = 238	2/10 mL	95.1	39/10 mL N = 198	14/10 mL	64.1	
Taylor 1988 ZWE	light in- fections < 50/10 mL	6 months	GMEC	15.1 N = 77 (for both groups)	0.2	98.67	15.7 N = 90	11.7	25.5	Not reported
	heavy in- fections < 100/10 mL			204.7 N = 77 (for both groups)	0.6	99.7	191.9 N = 90	75.5	60	Not reported
Stephen- son 1989 KEN	-	8 months	GMEC AMEC	57/ 112 N = 105	0.2/ 1	99.64 (GMEC) 99.1	38/ 85 N = 104	36/ 102	5.26 (GMEC) -20	Not reported ¹

 Table 4. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus placebo: % egg reduction at later time points (Continued)

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Table 4. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus placebo: % egg reduction at later time points (Continued)

	(AMEC)	(increase)
		(AMEC)

¹Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose: significant egg reduction in praziquantel group (before, after treatment) P < 0.0002. no significant reduction in the placebo group (before, after treatment).

Table 5. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus 30 mg/kg single dose: % egg reduction

Study ID	Sub- group	Time point	Measure	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg (SD)			Praziquantel 30 mg/kg (SD)			
				Egg count/10 mL urine		% reduc- tion	Egg count/10 mL urine		% reduc- tion	groups
				Baseline	Follow- up		Baseline	Follow- up		
McMa- hon 1979 TZA	-	1 month	GMEC (95 Con- fidence limits of mean) N	288. 4 (33.2 to 2508.9) N = 33	1.1 (0-8. 3) N = 30	99.61	308. 5 (31.2 to 3034.7) N = 32	1.2 (0 to 15.4) N = 31	99.6	Not sig- nificant P value not reported
Rey 1983 NER ¹	-	1 month	AMEC N	7.5 ± 1.7 N = 57	0.24 N = 54	96.8	7.5 ± 1.7 N = 46	0.74 N = 39	90.13	Not sig- nificant
Taylor 1988 ZWE ²	heavy in- fection < 100/10 mL	1 month	GMEC N	204.7 N = 77 for both groups	4.0	98.04	185.4 N = 72 for both groups	3.1	98.32	Not reported
	light in- fection > 50/10 mL	1 month	GMEC	15.1	0.4	97.35	15.9	0.6	96.23	
Oyediran 1981 NGA ³	-	1 month	GMEC mean ± SE, N =	Stratum 1 87.4 ± 23. 46 N = 15 Stratum 2 339.4 ± 32.61 N = 5 Stratum 3	N = 21	97.69±0. 98	Stratum 1: 111.67 ± 47.14 N = 15 Stratum 2: 306.83 ± 54.29	N = 19	85.65 ± 13.08	Not sig- nificant Not reported

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

 Table 5. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus 30 mg/kg single dose: % egg reduction (Continued)

				518.00 ± 0.71 N = 2 N = 22			(N = 6) Stratum 3: 1507.00 ± 1400. 07 N = 2 N = 23			
King 1989 KEN		2-3 months	AMEC (± SD) GMEC N =	377 255 N = 64	31 (± 21) 2 N = 54	91.7 (AMEC) 99.2 (GMEC)	327 204 N = 69	22 ± 17 2 N = 60	93.27 (AMEC) 99 (GMEC)	Not sig- nificant Not reported
McMa- hon 1979 TZA		3 months	GMEC (95 Con- fidence limits of mean) N	288. 4 (33.2 to 2508.9) N = 33	1.1 (0-16. 3) N = 29	99.61	308. 5 (31.2 to 3034.7) N = 31	0.9 (0 to 13.4) N = 31	97.08	Not sig- nificant Not reported
Rey 1983 NER		3 months	AMEC N =	7.5 ± 1.7 N = 57	0.42 N = 52	94.4	7.5 ± 1.7 N = 46	1.21 N = 42	83.86	Not reported
Taylor 1988 ZWE ³	heavy in- fections < 100/10 mL	3 months	GMEC N =	204.7 N = 77 for both groups	2.0	99.02	185.4 N = 72 for both groups	1.1	99.4	Not reported
	light in- fections > 50/10 mL	3 months	GMEC	15.1	0.4	97.35	15.9	0.4	97.48	
Oyediran 1981 NGA ³	-	3 months	GMEC mean ± SE, N =	Stratum 1 87.4 ± 23. 46 N = 15 Stratum 2 339.4 ± 32.61 N = 5 Stratum 3 518.00 ± 0.71 N = 2 N = 22		97.55±0. 85 (N = 18)	Stratum 1 111.67 ± 47.14 N = 15 Stratum 2 306.83 ± 54.29 N = 6 Stratum 3 1507.00 ± 1400. 07 N = 2 N = 23		99.01±0. 47 (N = 19)	Not sig- nificant Not reported

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

McMa- hon 1979 TZA	-	6 months	GMEC (95 Con- fidence limits of mean)	288. 4 (33.2 to 2508.9) N = 33	1.1 (0 to 20.3) N = 28	99.6	308. 5 (31.2 to 3034.7) N = 32	1.4 (0 to 39.5) N = 28	99.46	Not sig- nificant Not reported
Rey 1983 NER	-	6 months	AMEC	7.5 ± 1.7 N = 57	4 N = 34	46.6	7.5 ± 1.7 N = 46^2	0.18 N = 28	97.6	Not reported
Taylor 1988 ZWE ³	heavy in- fections < 100/10 mL	6 months	GMEC N =	204.7 (N = 77)	0.6	99.7	185.4 (N = 72)	0.7	99.62	Not sig- nificant Not reported
	light in- fections > 50/10 mL	6 months	GMEC N =	15.1 (N = 77)	0.2	98.67	15.9 (N = 72)	0.1	99.37	
Oyediran 1981 NGA ⁴	-	6 months	GMEC mean ± SE, (N =)	Stratum 1 87.4 ± 23. 46 (N = 15) Stratum 2 339.4 ± 32.61 (N = 5)	(N = 15)	93.09±0. 12	Stratum 1 111.67 ± 47.14 (N = 15) Stratum 2 306.83 ± 54.29 (N = 6)	(N = 17)	98.72±0. 28	Not sig- nificant Not reported
	-	9 months		Stratum 3 518.00 \pm 0.71 (N = 2)	(N = 6)	92.4 ± 5. 92	Stratum 3 1507.00 \pm 1400. 07	(N = 8)	96.49±1. 59	
	-	12 months		(N = 22)	(N = 3)	99.3 ± 0. 26	(N = 2) (N = 23)	(N = 4)	99.28±0. 46	

Table 5. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose versus 30 mg/kg single dose: % egg reduction (Continued)

¹Baseline data not reported separately per group.

 2 A reduction as low as 46% after praziquantel 40 mg/kg was not observed by any other study that reported this outcome. At six months, five other studies reported % egg reduction above 90% (see Table 4 and Table 5)

³Heavy and light infections together; N = 77 for Praziquantel 40 mg/kg and N = 72 for Praziquantel 30 mg/kg.

⁴ GMEC/10 mL urine, stratum 1: 60 to 250, stratum 2: 251 to 500, stratum 3 > 500.

Table 6. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg multiple doses versus single dose: % egg reduction

Study ID	Time point	Measure	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg single dose	% egg re- duction	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg multiple doses	% egg re- duction	Com- ments
			Egg count/10 mL		Egg count/10 mL		

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Table 6.	Praziquantel 40) mg/kg multiple dose	s versus single dose: % eg	g reduction (Continued)
----------	-----------------	-----------------------	----------------------------	-------------------------

			Baseline	Follow-up		Baseline	Follow-up		
van den Biggelaar 02 GAB ¹	2 years	GMEC (IQR)	47 N = 45	9 (2-45)	80.85	47 N = 45	2 (1-3)	95.74	Significant P = 0.002

¹Baseline egg counts not reported separately per treatment group; no difference at baseline stated. Praziquantel 40 mg/kg given every 3 months over 2 years. Location: Gabon, endemic area.

Table 7. Metrifonate 20 mg/kg given as divided dose versus placebo: % egg reduction

Study ID	Time point	Measure	Metrifonate given as div	e 21.5 mg, ided dose	20 mg/kg	Placebo or	no treatment	:	P value difference between groups
			Egg count/1	10 mL urine	% egg re- duction	Egg count/2	10 mL urine	% egg re- duction	
			Baseline	Follow-up		Baseline	Follow-up		
de Jonge 1990 SDN 1	1 month	median N = (re- ports min, max, 90th percentile and median of egg counts/10 mL)	95 N = 38	1 N = 32	98.94	124 N = 21	58 N = 18	53.22	Not signif- icant P = 0.29
Jewsbury 1976	11 weeks	median N =	101 N = 32	0	100	26 N = 38	60	-130.77 (increase)	Not reported
ZWE ²	11 weeks	median N =	40 N = 23	0	100				
de Jonge 1990 SDN 1	5 months	median N = (re- ports min, max, 90th percentile and median	124 N = 38	1 N = 32	99.19	124 N = 21	95 N = 19	23.38	Not signif- icant P = 0.27

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

		of egg counts/10 mL)							
Stephen- son 1985 KEN 3	6 months	AMEC N =	109 N = 202	7	94	110 N = 198	124	-12.7 (increase)	Not reported

Table 7. Metrifonate 20 mg/kg given as divided dose versus placebo: % egg reduction (Continued)

¹Metrifonate 2 x 10 mg/kg, dose interval two weeks. Placebo: multivitamins.

²Reports two groups with metrifonate 7.5 mg x 3, dose interval two weeks. Control group: nil.

³ Metrifonate 3 x 7.5 mg/kg, dose interval one to two weeks.

Study ID	Time point	Measure	Artesunate 4 mg/kg/d fo		r 3 days Placebo				P value difference between
			Egg count/2	10 mL urine	% egg reduction	Egg count/	10 mL	% egg reduction	groups
			Baseline	Follow-up		Baseline	Follow-up		
Borrmann 2001 GAB	8 weeks	GMEC (range) 95% CI N =	35.22 (1- 4360) N = 90	10.8 N = 89	69.34	21.56 (1-778) N = 30	11.41 N = 30	47.1	Not signif- icant
Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA ¹	8 weeks	Mean ova count ± SD N =	39.8 ± 1.1 N = 52	19.1 ± 1.0 N = 44	52.1	34.1 ± 0.8 N = 52	72.0 ± 2.3 N = 44	111.5 (increase)	P for "ther- apeutic ef- ficacy" < 0. 001

Table 8. Artesunate versus placebo: % egg reduction

¹Treatment group: Praziquantel 40 mg/kg without placebo. Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA also reports a second treatment group (Praziquantel 40 mg/kg with placebo), data not shown.

Table 9. Praziquantel and Artesunate versus Praziquantel: % egg reduction

Study ID	Time point	Measure	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg and artesunate 4 mg/kg/	single dose d for 3 days	Praziquantel 40 mg/kg s	P value difference between groups		
			Egg count/10 mL	% egg re- duction	Egg count/10 mL	% egg re- duction	_	

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

Table 3. Traziquanter and Artesunate versus Fraziquanter: 70 egg reduction (Contri	lable 9.	Praziquantel and Artesunate versus Praziquant	el: % egg reduction	(Continued)
--	----------	---	---------------------	-------------

			Baseline	Follow-up		Baseline	Follow-up	-	
Borrmann 2001 GAB	8 weeks	GMEC (range), (95% CI) N =	31.5 (1 to 3225) N = 90	0.36 N = 88	98.8	38.51 (1 to 3313) N = 90	1.11 (0.7 to 1.7) N = 89	97.11	Not signif- icant
Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA ¹	8 weeks	mean ± SD N =	62.2 ± 2.1 N = 52	4.0 (± 15. 2) N = 44	93.6	39.8 (± 1. 1) N = 52	19.1 (± 1. 0) N = 44	52.1	Not reported

¹Treatment group: Praziquantel 40 mg/kg without placebo. Inyang Etoh 2009 NGA also reports a second treatment group (Praziquantel 40 mg/kg with placebo), data not shown.

WHAT'S NEW

Last assessed as up-to-date: 23 May 2014.

Date	Event	Description
7 July 2014	New search has been performed	The review has been updated and revised with a new author team
7 July 2014	New citation required but conclusions have not changed	A new author team was put in place for this review update.

CONTRIBUTIONS OF AUTHORS

VK developed the protocol with input from PG and DS. VK and FZ assessed eligibility and extracted the data. We resolved any disagreements through discussion with DS and PG. VK entered the data and drafted the manuscript with input from DS, PG and PO. DS, PG and PO assisted in interpretation of the results and revisions of the text.

DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

We have no known conflicts of interest.

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)

SOURCES OF SUPPORT

Internal sources

• Liverpool School of Tropical Medicine, UK.

External sources

• This review was supported by the Department for International Development, UK.

DIFFERENCES BETWEEN PROTOCOL AND REVIEW

While inclusion criteria of the first protocol included all RCTs which studied antischistosomal drugs, we decided to change the protocol. We excluded trials which evaluated obsolete drugs as ambilhar, oltipraz and niridazole. We also excluded studies which compared a combination of praziquantel and albendazole to placebo only, as this comparison is not of interest for this review. We included trials evaluating metronidazole.

We did not contact researchers or organizations looking for unpublished studies, as stated in the protocol. We did not report parasitological outcomes at three months as primary outcomes.

The older version of this review concluded that both metrifonate and praziquantel were effective in treating urinary schistosomiasis, even if metrifonate had operational disadvantages. As implications for further research, evaluation of different metrifonate doses and regimens and of evaluation of artemisinin drugs and of combination therapy is recommended.

While we agree with these conclusions, the data on egg reduction allow some further recommendations. We have newly included three trials evaluating artemisinin drugs, and one recent trial using mefloquine, and present this new evidence here.

Additional analysis carried out in this edition of the review, which was not in the previous edition (Danso-Appiah 2008), is the presentation of egg reduction rates in summary tables.

INDEX TERMS

Medical Subject Headings (MeSH)

Anthelmintics [*therapeutic use]; Artemisinins [therapeutic use]; Mefloquine [therapeutic use]; Praziquantel [therapeutic use]; Randomized Controlled Trials as Topic; Schistosomiasis haematobia [*drug therapy]; Trichlorfon [therapeutic use]

MeSH check words

Adult; Child; Humans

Drugs for treating urinary schistosomiasis (Review)