Topographic mapping of the interfaces between human and aquatic mosquito habitats to enable barrier targeting of interventions against malaria vectors
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Geophysical topographic metrics of local water accumulation potential are freely available and have long been known as high-resolution predictors of where aquatic habitats for immature Anopheles mosquitoes are most abundant, resulting in elevated densities of adult malaria vectors and human infection burden. Using existing entomological and epidemiological survey data, here we illustrate how topography can also be used to map out the interfaces between wet, unoccupied valleys and dry, densely populated uplands, where malaria vector densities and infection risk are focally exacerbated.
These topographically identifiable geophysical boundaries experience disproportionately high vector densities and malaria transmission risk, because this is where *Anopheles* mosquitoes first encounter humans when they search for blood after emerging or ovipositing in the valleys. Geophysical topographic indicators accounted for 67% of variance for vector density but for only 43% for infection prevalence, so they could enable very selective targeting of interventions against the former but not the latter (targeting ratios of 5.7 versus 1.5 to 1, respectively). So, in addition to being useful for targeting larval source management to wet valleys, geophysical topographic indicators may also be used to selectively target adult *Anopheles* mosquitoes with insecticidal residual sprays, fencing, vapour emanators or space sprays to barrier areas along their fringes.

1. Introduction

Recent attempts to demonstrate the value of targeting malaria transmission hotspots with elevated vector densities and human infection burden yielded disappointing results in a rural setting with dispersed settlement patterns and variable but ubiquitous transmission [1]. However, it has been suggested that this geographically selective approach might be more effective in settings with more aggregated populations, because this will result in less dispersal of *Anopheles* mosquitoes and dispersion of malaria transmission across the landscape [1]. Taking this rationale further, the urban contexts of towns and cities may perhaps offer the most ideal settings for geographical targeting of supplementary interventions: dense human populations surrounding aquatic larval habitats allow *Anopheles* mosquitoes to feed nearby and then return to oviposit, thus limiting their dispersal and the diffusion of malaria transmission across the landscape [2–4]. Also, urban settings have lower transmission intensity than rural areas, because high population density dilutes out vector biting burden [5,6] and urban planning can reduce it even further [2,7,8]. Transmission in urban settings may therefore be more vulnerable to control with effective interventions generally and targeted interventions specifically. Furthermore, infrastructure, institutional capacity and governance systems are often better developed than in rural areas, and greater numbers of people can be protected per unit of surface area covered, so several intervention strategies may be viable in towns and cities that would otherwise be considered infeasible [2,8–11]. However, one of the greatest challenges to selective geographical targeting of malaria is the very fine scales that heterogeneity occurs at [12–16]. Hotspots can occur at scales of less than 100 m, and even at the level of single households [1,13,14], so mapping these out at sufficiently high resolution may not be realistically feasible across large programmatic scales with existing entomological and epidemiological survey techniques [1,14,17].

Geophysical topographic indicators of local water accumulation potential have long been recognized as high-resolution (as fine as 10–20 m) predictors of locations with abundant aquatic larval habitats for mosquitoes and therefore high densities of adult vectors and human malaria infection burden [18–25]. Geophysical topographic predictors of local wetness could therefore be useful for identifying even very small geographical hotspots of malaria transmission. Specifically, topographic predictors of local wetness could enable spatial targeting of supplementary interventions at the very fine spatial resolutions that are probably required to achieve improvements in impact or efficiency, relative to blanket coverage [1,14,17].

Dar es Salaam in Tanzania is a typical African coastal city, where local government programmes for larval source management have been highly effective [26] and sustainably institutionalized [27]. At the time of this study, three-quarters of all malaria vector mosquitoes and half of all human infections occur in small, scattered, haphazardly distributed loci, outside of spatially aggregated hotspots that could be detected with existing field survey techniques [17]. This study was therefore undertaken to assess a comprehensive range of geophysical topographic indicators as high-resolution predictors of malaria transmission, with which to map out areas for targeting larval source management interventions. However, in addition to the expected hydrological indicators of where larval habitat occurred, novel geophysical topographic indicators for barrier targeting of supplementary vector control interventions against adult *Anopheles* mosquitoes were also identified.

2. Material and methods

2.1. Study area

The study was conducted in urban and peri-urban areas of Dar es Salaam, covering a total of 498 km² and encompassing an overall population of 3.6 million people [26]. The city is situated along the shores
of the Indian Ocean in Tanzania, having a hot (mean daily temperature of 26°C) and humid climate (two rainy seasons), which provides ideal conditions for Anopheles gambiae proliferation and malaria transmission [28]. Urban Dar es Salaam has historically experienced relatively modest, but nevertheless stable and persistent, malaria transmission intensity, with an entomological inoculation rate just above one infectious bite per person per year [26,28].

The geophysical topography of Dar es Salaam is divided into three distinct zones [29]: the upland zones (greater than 100 m.a.s.l.), consisting of hilly areas, with plains dissected by many well-drained streams on the western and northern sides of the city; the middle plateau (62–100 m.a.s.l.), which is characterized by gently undulating plateaus with isolated hills and rocky outcrops, dissected by many streams in the central parts of the city; and the lowlands (0–62 m.a.s.l.), consisting of uniform relief with gentle slopes invariably less than 3%, except along the immediate margins of the three big river valley systems, the Msimba River in the central parts of the city, the Keko drain and the Mzinge River in the southern parts. This zone consists of the coastal belt extending from the northern to the southern parts of the city [29].

The study encompassed 71 of the 90 (79%) wards in the city, of which 15 are the same original wards first covered with larvicide by the Dar es Salaam Urban Malaria Control Programme (UMCP) during its operational research phase that ended in 2009 [28]. Following transition to fully programmatic funding and management [26,27], blanket coverage with microbial larvicides had just been reintroduced to these same 15 wards at the outset of this study in March 2010, before comprehensive scale up to cover 56 and management [26,27], blanket coverage with microbial larvicides had just been reintroduced to these same 15 wards at the outset of this study in March 2010, before comprehensive scale up to cover 56 wards by January 2012 [30]. Between March and October 2010, a coated granule larvicide formulation (VectorBac®; Valent BioSciences Corporation) of Bacillus thuringiensis var. israelensis (Bti) was applied under short-term management of a private sector contractor (Research Triangle International), before the government of Tanzania (GoT) through the Ministry of Health and Social Welfare (MoHSW) took over direct management of all larviciding activities in January 2011. The MoHSW reintiated larvicide application in February 2011 using the same granule Bti product as used by the external contractor until the end of July 2011, before moving to a pre-diluted aqueous suspension formulation (Bactivec®, Labiofam®) of Bti from September 2011 through to the end of this study [26].

2.2. Data collection and collation

This study uses secondary data collected from two distinct phases of entomological and epidemiological surveys which have been described in detail elsewhere [26]. Briefly, malaria infection prevalence was recorded using two distinct phases of rolling, cross-sectional, cluster-sampled household surveys with rapid diagnostic tests for Plasmodium falciparum malaria from March 2010 to July 2012, while a community-based trapping scheme to conduct longitudinal surveys of mosquito densities at high spatial resolution was scaled up across the study area over the same period, with a brief interruption over the last quarter of 2010 and the first of 2011.

Additionally, a comprehensive range of geophysical topographical indicators of hydrology (table 1) were derived from a 20 × 20 m grid of the study area. This was done with SAGA GIS, a free open source software package (www.saga-gis.org) [43], based on a digital elevation model of Dar es Salaam with 20 m resolution, which was freely obtained from the Faculty of Geo-Information Science and Earth Observation, University of Twente, Enschede, The Netherlands. The weighted averages (based on ten cell units (TCUs), which represent the lowest level administrative unit in Tanzania, usually consisting of at least 10 to a maximum of 100 houses) for discrete geophysical topographic variables were computed to obtain values of each geophysical topographic predictor for each of the study locations. The extracted values of geophysical topography were linked with those of mosquito vector densities and infection prevalence in each location using TCU centroids, which were calculated with ArcGIS v.10 software (ESRI Corporation, Redlands, CA). The subsequent analyses were done at the TCU level. The boundaries of both the sampling clusters for mosquitoes and the cross-sectional sampling clusters were obtained through the participatory mapping approach as described elsewhere [30,44]. A handheld global positioning system receiver with an accuracy of 5 m was used to provide spatial references of specific locations for Ifakara tent traps used to catch mosquitoes, as well as households included in cross-sectional surveys. These were the basis for calculating the centroids of the sampling clusters.

2.3. Data analysis

2.3.1. Spatial autocorrelation testing

Assessment of spatial autocorrelation was performed in the preceding study [17], using Moran’s I (MI) statistic in ArcGIS v.10. Briefly, the assessment revealed that both Anopheles mosquitoes (MI = 0.15) and...
Table 1. Definitions of geophysical topographical indicators that describe local hydrology in Dar es Salaam city.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>variables</th>
<th>descriptions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>altitude above channel network</td>
<td>This is the vertical distance to a channel base level. It is the difference between the interpolated channel network base level and this base level from the original elevations [25,31].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>aspect</td>
<td>This is the direction of the maximum gradient and relates to the degree of solar exposure. The aspect determines the effect of solar heating, air temperature and moisture (microclimatic influence). The orientation that the hill slope faces ranges from 0° to 360° (0° and 360° north, 90° east, 180° south and 270° west) [22].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>channel network</td>
<td>This is a naturally created drain and may be either dry (valley) or conveying water (river). It defines the extent/coverage of rivers and other local drainages/valleys [25,31].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>elevation</td>
<td>The vertical distance of a point or level on or affixed to the surface of the Earth, measured from mean sea level. Elevation was derived from the digital elevation model. Primarily influences water movement throughout a landscape and within drainage channels.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>hill shading</td>
<td>This shows local areas with shadows thrown upon raised landscapes (<a href="http://support.esri.com/en/knowledgebase/GISDictionary/term/hillshading">http://support.esri.com/en/knowledgebase/GISDictionary/term/hillshading</a>). The higher the shading values, the lighter and warmer the surface (more exposed to sun rays); and the lower the hill shading values, the darker and cooler the surface becomes.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>profile curvature</td>
<td>This is the curvature in a horizontal plane and is perpendicular to the direction of the maximum slope. A positive value indicates that the surface is sidewardly convex at that cell. A negative plan indicates that the surface is sidewardly concave at that cell. A value of zero indicates that the surface is linear. Profile curvature relates to the convergence and divergence of flow across a surface [32] (Raster curvature. <a href="http://www.et-st.com/et_surface/userguide/Raster/ETG_RasterCurvature.htm">http://www.et-st.com/et_surface/userguide/Raster/ETG_RasterCurvature.htm</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>planform curvature</td>
<td>Profile curvature is the curvature intersecting with the plane defined by the Z-axis and maximum gradient direction. Positive values describe convex profile curvature, and negative values describe concave profile. A negative value indicates that the surface is upwardly convex at that cell, and a positive value indicates that the surface is upwardly concave; a value of zero indicates that the surface is linear. The profile curvature affects the flow velocity of water draining the surface and influences erosion and deposition. In locations with convex (negative) (raster curvature. <a href="http://www.et-st.com/et_surface/userguide/Raster/ETG_RasterCurvature.htm">http://www.et-st.com/et_surface/userguide/Raster/ETG_RasterCurvature.htm</a>).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>slope</td>
<td>This is a measure of the angle of descent or ascent for each pixel (calculated as the rate of change in altitude). The angle of incline on a hillside is called the slope, and the lower the slope value, the flatter the terrain; the higher the slope value, the steeper the terrain. Slope has a strong influence on overland and subsurface flow velocity, drainage and accumulation of water [33].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topographic convergence index (TCI)</td>
<td>The TCI is the direction of water flow between adjacent cells based on the aspects of neighbouring cells. It determines whether water flow from neighbouring cells diverges (positive values less than or equal to 100 indicating dry areas) or converges (negative values greater than or equal to —100 indicating saturated areas) [25,31].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topographic position index (TPI)</td>
<td>The difference between the elevation at a cell and the average elevation in a neighbourhood surrounding that cell. TPI is used to measure geophysical topographic slope positions and to automate landform classifications whereby negative values indicate valleys and positive values signify ridges [34].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topographic ruggedness index (TRI)</td>
<td>A measure of terrain roughness may be the standard deviation of the slope, the standard deviation of the elevation, the slope convexity, the variability of the plan convexity or some other measure of geophysical topographic texture [34]. In this study, TRI corresponds to the average elevation change between any point on a digital elevation model grid (one grid is 20 × 20 m) and its surrounding area [35].</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>topographic wetness index (TWI)</td>
<td>This is the estimate of the predicted water accumulation (provides an index of potential moisture availability), and it was calculated as the ratio of the contributing upslope drainage area and the local slope as developed by Tarboton [36]. The TWI ranges from less than 0 to infinity [37]. High values (TWI &gt; 20) are found for converging terrains and valleys, while low values (TWI &lt; 20) are typical of steep and diverging terrains [38,39]. TWI is a proxy for the depth of the groundwater, soil pH, vegetation species richness and soil organic matter [40–42].</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
malaria infection prevalence ($MI = 0.17$) were spatially auto-correlated, showing a tendency towards clustering.

2.3.2. Spatial modelling and prediction

All variables indicated in table 1 were used in the analysis. Two distinct conditional autoregressive (CAR) models adjusted for larvicide application regimes were fitted using a contiguous neighbour structured random effect to elucidate the spatial interactions that arise between geophysical topography (table 1), densities of *A. gambiae* and malaria infection prevalence and to map their spatial predictions. The CAR model accounts for spatial effects and, in essence, the mean or probability values estimated at any given location are conditional on the levels in the neighbouring areas. The model for densities of *A. gambiae* was fitted using a Poisson-lognormal CAR model [45], and malaria infection prevalence was fitted using a binomial logit CAR model [46]. The autoregression parameters and confidence intervals for each model were obtained using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) methods [46,47]. Coefficients for the *A. gambiae* model and the infection prevalence logit model were exponentiated to present relative rates and odds ratios, respectively. Significant variables are presented in figure 1. Transects of all the significant geophysical topographic variables as well as the predicted entomology/parasitology outcome in different parts of the city were prepared to aid interpretation of the results. The CAR spatial modelling was performed using CrimeStat IV® (for obtaining autoregression parameters) [48] and SAGA GIS® (for mapping the final model results), which are both open source GIS software packages. Transect values were extracted using SAGA GIS® and graphs were prepared using Microsoft Excel.

3. Results

3.1. Geophysical predictors of malaria hazard and risk at fine spatial scales

Consistent with results from previous analyses [26], the application of larvicide by means of granular *Bti*, after but not before the MoHSW took over the management of all delivery activities from an external contractor, resulted in a reduction of both densities of adult *A. gambiae* and human malaria infection
prevalence (table 2). Densities of *A. gambiae* continued to decline after the MoHSW introduced the liquid larvicide formulation, and the malaria entomological inoculation rate dropped below the threshold of 0.1 infectious bites per person per year [26], below which transmission may be destabilized [50–52]. However, this had little effect upon malaria infection prevalence (table 2), presumably because of the long-term persistence of untreated, chronic malaria infections at high prevalence [26], which can take years to dissipate [53–56]. The impacts of larviciding upon vector densities estimated with these models that allow for spatial autocorrelation (table 2) were considerably greater than those previously estimated with non-spatially explicit regression models, presumably because the latter treated each surveyed location as geographically independent and did not allow for the effects of *Anopheles* mosquitoes flying into larvicide-treated areas from untreated areas nearby.

Consistent with previous reports [18–21,23,25,57–59], spatial variations of malaria vector density and human infection prevalence were associated with local geophysical topographic predictors of aquatic habitat suitability in an intuitively obvious manner (table 2). Higher densities of *A. gambiae* were found...
in locations with concave planform curvature (figure 1c) occurring at the bottoms of steep slopes, while elevated malaria infection was associated with high topographic wetness index, or with lower elevations (table 2), both of which clearly map out the bottoms of valleys and other depressions across Dar es Salaam (figure 1e and a, respectively).

However, some associations of malaria vector density and infection prevalence with additional geophysical topographic indicators were observed that were initially surprising and counterintuitive. High densities of *A. gambiae* and elevated malaria infection prevalence were associated with indicators of good natural drainage, at the boundaries between dry and wet areas (table 2), which would normally be considered least likely to provide suitable aquatic larval habitats for *Anopheles* mosquitoes [18,24,25,60–64]. Specifically, higher densities of adult *A. gambiae* mosquitoes were caught in locations with steep slopes (figure 1b), or with high topographic roughness index (figure 1f), which is essentially equivalent (table 2) to slope (figure 1b). Similarly, higher malaria infection prevalence among humans was surprisingly associated with convex profile curvature (figure 1g) occurring at the tops of steep slopes. The panels in figure 1 are grouped into vertical pairs, so that topographically similar indicators of hydrology and ecosystem geography can be compared and contrasted: while figure 1a,e clearly map out valleys and depressions with high local water accumulation and wetness, figure 1b,f clearly delineate the well-drained slopes leading from the bottoms of those wet valleys to the higher, drier areas above them where most of the residents of Dar es Salaam actually live. In figure 1c,g it can be seen that these two geophysical topographic indicators map out the tops of those slopes at the edges of valleys.

To illustrate how these three different sets of geophysical topographic indicators of elevated malaria hazard and risk are closely associated with each other, and often vary across their full range of values within distances of less than 100 m, a detailed geophysical topographic, entomological and epidemiological profile of the transect illustrated in figure 1d is presented in figure 2. Figure 2 illustrates how steep slopes, high topographic roughness index, high (convex) profile curvature and high (convex) planform curvature are found at the edges of valleys with low elevation and high topographic wetness index. The most obvious biological explanation for this observation is that these interfaces between
lowlands and uplands are where most host-seeking adult *Anopheles* mosquitoes first encounter and attack humans, when they disperse in search of blood after emerging or ovipositing.

The model fits as measured by adjusted $R^2$ were 0.86 in the *A. gambiae* density model and 0.58 in the malaria infection model. Compared with previous analyses [26], models combining geophysical topographic predictors with larvicide intervention status account for very high proportions of variance in *A. gambiae* densities but far less so for malaria infection prevalence. Only three geophysical topographic predictors of *A. gambiae* accounted for more than half (67%) of the variance in malaria vector density transmission hazard, with most of the remainder (19%) accounted for by the larvicide application status (table 2). By contrast, the geophysical topographic indicators of malaria prevalence accounted for only 43% of variance in this indicator of infection burden (table 2). Indeed, the map of the vector densities predicted by the fitted model presented in table 2 (figure 3a) has far greater contrast than that for predicted malaria infection prevalence (figure 3b). Vector densities are predicted to be very focal; indeed, they are specifically localized along the edges of valleys so that the cartographic expression of this model fit (figure 3a) is essentially identical to maps of geophysical topographic variables capturing steep slopes (figure 1b and f) or convex curvatures at the tops of those steep slopes (figure 1c and g). By contrast, malaria infection prevalence among humans is far more homogeneously distributed across the city, with what little contrast there is in the maps of predicted risk (figure 3b) defining the flood-prone valley bottoms where very few people actually live.

Correspondingly, geophysical topographic indicators provide a far more selective basis for geographically targeting the vectors of malaria (figure 4a) than human infections (figure 4b) in Dar es Salaam. Figure 4a illustrates just how selective targeting of adult vector control to these geophysical topographically mapped boundaries could be: geographical targeting in order of model-predicted vector density would account for 85% of all the *A. gambiae* caught if only the 15% of survey locations with the highest predicted hazard were included. By contrast, figure 4b reveals that only 60% of detected malaria infections would be captured by targeting a full 40% of survey locations with the highest predicted infection prevalence risk. Expressing these proportions of locations and mosquitoes or malaria infections covered relative to each other gives estimated targeting ratios of 5.7 and 1.5 to 1, respectively (figure 4).

### 4. Discussion

The results of this study illustrate, for the first time, that while conventional geophysical topographic predictors of wetness, such as concave planform curvature, topographic wetness index and low elevation, may be valuable for spatial targeting of larval source management, indicators of dryness on steep slopes, and at the tops of those steep slopes, also have unforeseen utility for targeting adult malaria vector mosquitoes. These steep, dry slopes occur at the periphery of human settlements, immediately adjacent to wet, low-lying areas with abundant aquatic habitat. This is therefore where residents are
disproportionately exposed to adult *Anopheles* mosquitoes dispersing from nearby aquatic habitats after emerging or ovipositing [2–4,8,65].

The observed predictive value of geophysical topographic indicators of wetness for elevated densities of *A. gambiae* and malaria infection prevalence, predominantly in the main river valleys, drainage lines and local depressions of the city landscape [44], is fully consistent with previous studies in Kenya [18,63,66,67] and Tanzania [19,68,69]. However, the observation that local geophysical topography predicts not only the distribution of aquatic habitats but also the peripheries of human settlements that surround them is novel and was not foreseen at the outset of the study. These narrow boundaries between dry human habitat and wet mosquito habitat are where malaria vectors first encounter and attack human hosts when they seek blood after emerging or ovipositing. They could therefore be exploited to define target zones for barrier interventions to kill adult mosquitoes, such as the residual surface spraying [70] methods this term was originally applied to [71], or alternatives such as insecticide netting barriers [72], vapour-phase insecticide emanators [73] or nocturnal space spraying [74]. Barrier targeting of adult vector control at high spatial resolution (figure 4a) would not only directly protect the local residents but also shield the rest of the population in upland areas further away from these wet valleys [70,75], thus maximizing communal benefit to all residents through mass suppression of vector populations.

Similar geophysical topographic indicators of abundant vector larval habitat, and the steep banks of the valleys, where they usually occur, were also predictive of human infection prevalence but had far less predictive power. The weaker predictive value may well be explained by variations in human resilience factors, such window screening, bed net use and time spent indoors [26], all of which could attenuate the dependence of infection risk on exposure hazard. For example, human infection prevalence is known to be highly dependent on local vector density among households lacking window screens but is essentially unaffected by it in well-screened houses [26]. Furthermore, many of the human infections detected in these surveys appear to have been long-standing chronic infections [26] that might not necessarily be directly related to ongoing transmission exposure. Also, what little contrast can be seen in maps of model-predicted malaria infection risk depicts the wet valley bottoms (figure 4b) where very few people actually live (figures 1h and 2b). Such geophysical topographic indicators of likely infection burden are therefore far less useful for targeting interventions to increase human resilience against exposure hazard, such as bed nets, mosquito-proofed housing or repellents, as well as testing and treatment or mass administration of curative drugs to tackle chronic morbidity burden [76] and clear the infectious reservoir [77], than they are for targeting vector population suppression interventions against adult vectors.

The approach presented could be readily applied and assessed in other African settings, as a means to identify heterogeneity in malaria risk patterns and detect zones for spatially selective targeted interventions. Specifically, it may be used to predict the distribution of malaria transmission across

---

**Figure 4.** (a,b) Spatial targeting efficiency of the locations with the highest predicted densities of *A. gambiae* and human infection prevalence.
the landscapes where dense human populations surround aquatic habitats such as rivers, swamps and flooding zones, or vice versa in some flood-prone rural settings. In urban Africa, these zones tend to be illegally occupied informal settlements [78], inhabited mostly by the poor whose human resilience against malaria risk is very low [79,80]. To tap the full potential of this approach to mapping areas for selectively targeted interventions against adult mosquitoes, the resolution of geophysical indicators needs to be high enough to accurately capture transmission at fine spatial scales that hazard and risk really occur at [17,81]. For this reason, the approach is really only suitable for use by locally managed, decentralized mosquito control programmes that can practically target appropriate interventions at very fine geographical scales, rather than for cruder prioritization of interventions across larger provincial or national scales [20,82,83]. Beyond evaluating in a wider diversity of settings where sufficient entomological and epidemiological data are available that have been mapped at high resolution, this approach also needs to be field-validated by empirically evaluating the actual, rather than the predicted, impacts of vector control activities directed by these targeting criteria.

Another limitation of this study is that these spatial models relied exclusively on geophysical topographical factors as the only geographical predictor variables. The formation of larval Anopheles gambiae habitats and risk of malaria transmission also depend on many other geographically variable factors [84,85], such as ground water levels, soil characteristics, weather, vegetation, human behaviour, land use, drainage infrastructure and education, as well as health service access and quality [86,87]. It is therefore possible that better predictive models of malaria infection risk could be developed using richer sets of independent indicator variables.

5. Conclusion

Geophysical topographic indicators of local water accumulation potential can be used to target not only aquatic habitats with larval source management but also the peripheries of human settlements along the drier banks of these wet valleys, where adult Anopheles mosquitoes seek blood first encounter and attack the residents. Vector control interventions against adult mosquitoes, such as residual surface spraying [70,71], treated netting barriers [72], vapour-phase insecticides [73] or nocturnal space sprays [74], could be selectively and efficiently deployed to barrier zones using geophysical topographic predictors of steep slopes and the tops of those steep slopes.
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